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Phase signal calculation using glycerol as reference
Phase signal derived "normalized mass" calculation experiment by using glycerol injection for compensating solvent viscosity effects. A portion of 5% aqueous glycerol was injected after the equilibration of the system with the running buffer, in this case 140 µmol/L calcium carbonate. Supplementary Figure 1A chronologically shows four citric acid injections, followed by glycerol injection at $t_g = 4437$ s. The phase and the amplitude signal represent the originally recorded data. The calculated overlay of the four citric acid injections (Suppl Fig. 1A, $t_1 = 2021$ s; $t_2 = 2360$ s; $t_3 = 2699$ s; $t_4 = 3038$ s) and the glycerol injection (Suppl Fig. 1A, $t_g = 4437$ s), merged in Suppl. Fig. 1B-E at $t = 0$ is shown. Suppl. Figures 1B and 1C show the raw phase and amplitude signal of all injections whereby in Suppl. Figures 1D and 1E the calculated signals are presented.
Figure S1: Experimental determination of the viscosity effect according to the established glycerol method previously described by the manufacturer. A, Phase and amplitude signal for 4 citric acid injections, followed by a 5% glycerol injection. Note that individual signals from the four citric acid injections cannot be distinguished in the overlay image. B-E, Comparison between the original signals (B,C) and calculated mass normalized phase signal (D) and corresponding amplitude signal (E). The latter is identical with the original amplitude signal (C).