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Abstract

Different deep eutectic solvent (DES) mixtures were studied as reaction media for the continuous synthesis of enantiomerically enriched products by testing different experimental set-ups. L-Proline-catalysed cross-aldol reactions were efficiently performed in continuo, with high yield (99%), anti-stereoselectivity, and enantioselectivity (up to 97% ee). Moreover, using two different DES mixtures, the diastereoselectivity of the process could be tuned, thereby leading to the formation, under different experimental conditions, to both the syn- and the anti-isomer with very high enantioselectivity. The excess of cyclohexanone was recovered and reused, and the reaction could be run and the product isolated without the use of any organic solvent by a proper choice of DES components. The dramatic influence of the reaction media on the reaction rate and stereoselectivity of the process suggests that the intimate architecture of DESs deeply influences the reactivity of different species involved in the catalytic cycle.

Introduction

The aldol reaction is a powerful synthetic tool to create new C–C bonds [1]. It offers several possibilities to control the stereochemical outcome of the process and to afford stereochemically defined chiral products [2]. Among all the possible options, the L-proline-catalysed stereoselective cross-aldol reaction remains the greener choice. After the pioneering works by List and Barbas [3], a huge effort was made by the scientific community to improve both the yield and the stereoselectivity of the reaction. The most explored strategies involve the development of a new class of catalysts (mainly prolinamide derivatives) [4-6], the study of additives in combination with proline itself [7-13], and the use of unusual reaction media [14-19].
In this context, it was recently reported that L-proline-catalysed direct aldol reactions may be successfully carried out also in deep eutectic solvents (DESs) [20-22]. Recently, our group reported on the possibility of running organocatalyzed, stereoselective reactions in DESs, promoted by an enantiopure primary amine, with advantages in terms of reaction sustainability. In particular, the possibility to strongly reduce the amounts of organic solvent and the recyclability of the catalyst were demonstrated [23]. Moreover, in this approach, no structural modification of the precious chiral catalyst was necessary.

A well-explored strategy aimed at positively realizing the recovery and the reuse of the catalyst is represented by the immobilization of the catalytic species [24-27]. Synthetic modifications of the original catalyst, however, are required in order to attach the catalyst to the material of choice. The aim of the present study was to develop a catalytic system working in continuo, whereas DES acts at the same time as catalyst trap and as reaction medium, immiscible with the organic reactants. The main advantage of this approach is that the catalyst (i.e., L-proline) would be kept in an environmentally benign reaction medium, without the need of any synthetic modification. Of note, in the herein proposed system, readily assembled using standard glassware, the use of the organic solvent, both for the reaction and for the isolation process, would be strongly reduced or even, ideally, eliminated.

**Results and Discussion**

Among the plethora of possible DES mixtures [28-33], based on our previous experience [34-39] and preliminary studies on the physicochemical properties of DES combinations, we decided to focus our attention on the use of a few choline chloride (ChCl)-based eutectic mixtures as reaction media (Table 1) [40].

The behaviour of DES mixtures A–E in the proline-catalysed model aldol reaction between cyclohexanone and 4-nitrobenzaldehyde was preliminarily investigated under standard batch conditions (Scheme 1).

In our hands, the reaction proceeded completely in 20 hours and with high conversion (≥95%) in all tested DESs (A–E, Table 2, entries 1–5). While low diastereoselectivity was observed in DES A (Table 2, entry 1), anti-stereoselectivity (up to 85:15) and high enantiomeric excess in favour of the anti isomer (up to 92% ee) were instead detected running the reaction in DESs B–E (Table 2, entries 2–5).

| Table 1: ChCl-based eutectic mixtures used in the present work. |
| DES | Components | Molar ratio |
| DES A | ChCl/urea | 1:2 |
| DES B | ChCl/urea/H₂O | 1:2:1.5 |
| DES C | ChCl/urea/H₂O | 1:2:4 |
| DES D | ChCl/fructose/H₂O | 1:1:1 |
| DES E | ChCl/glycerol | 1:2 |

<p>| Table 2: DES screening for the proline-catalyzed in batch aldol reaction. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>DES</th>
<th>Conv. (%)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>dr (anti: syn)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>ee % (anti/syn)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>57:43</td>
<td>81/80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>82:18</td>
<td>89/69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>85:15</td>
<td>92/54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>75:25</td>
<td>84/67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>70:30</td>
<td>82/67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Conversion and dr were evaluated by NMR technique on the crude reaction mixture; <sup>b</sup>ee was evaluated by using an HPLC with a chiral stationary phase.

Based on these results, we turned our attention to design and realize a home-made system, to be easily assembled with common glassware, for the continuous synthesis of the aldol product, using a DES mixture as reaction media able to hold back the proline.

In these very explorative studies, different experimental set-ups were investigated, focusing especially on some points, such as (a) the phase contact between the organic phase, composed by cyclohexanone and the aldehyde, and the DES phase, (b) the ratio between DES and L-proline, and, finally, (c) the possible interaction between the aldol product and the DES network (Figure 1). Due to its favourable physical and mechanical prop-

![Scheme 1: L-Proline-promoted stereoselective aldol reaction in DES.](image-url)
properties, DES A was selected for the initial screening of the different experimental conditions in continuo.

The first experimental set-up that was studied (Figure 1, I) was built using a test tube of reduced diameter (green color in the picture) containing the DES and L-proline, surrounded by an external, larger cylinder filled with a solution of cyclohexanone and 4-nitrobenzaldehyde. The organic solution, fluxed by a HPLC pump onto the bottom of the internal smaller tube, went back through DES due to the difference in the viscosity of the two phases, thereby generating an upper organic phase (blue in the picture) which finally ended into the organic phase of the larger tube, that was continuously pumped into the DES phase to realize a closed cycle.

In set-up II, the mixture of DES and L-proline was covered with the solution of ketone and aldehyde in a 10 mL graduated cylinder. The organic phase was continuously pumped on the bottom of the DES phase and recirculated (Figure 1, II). In order to improve the contact surface between the two phases and favour the phases interaction, nitrogen was used as a diffusor, thus realizing in set-up III a better mixing of the two phases (Figure 1, III).

By monitoring the transformations performed with the above-described different set-ups, it was observed that both the diastereoselection and the enantioselectivity were constant during the reaction time (Table 3). With set up I (Table 3, entries 1–5), after 20 h, a 39% conversion was reached, while full conversion was obtained after 48 h of reaction. Remarkably, high ee values for the syn adduct were observed (up to 94% ee), unfortunately, with a low diastereoisomeric ratio (dr). Using set-up II (Table 3, entries 6 and 7), after 24 h, the conversion was still very low (35%) and the ee for the syn aldol was up to 90%, the complete conversion was achieved after 48 h. Interestingly, the analysis of the mass of the crude mixture showed that a part of the product was trapped into the DES phase. In order to quantitatively collect the aldol adduct, the DES was diluted with 1 mL of water and extracted five times with 2 mL of ethyl acetate. Using this procedure, all the aldol adduct was completely recovered.

In the set-up III (Table 3, entries 8–11) the presence of a more efficient phase mixing led to a faster conversion. After only 5 h (Table 3, entry 8), 26% conversion was observed, with interesting diastereoselection and high enantioselection (up to 92% for the syn adduct). After 48 h, the aldohyd was almost quantitatively converted into the desired aldol product, with high enantioselectivity for both the syn (up to 92%) and the anti (up to 90%) isomers.

Having identified the system III as the best experimental set-up, the general scope was briefly investigated by running the reaction with a few different aldehydes and comparing the activities
Table 3: Three different set-ups for the aldol reaction in continuo.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Set-up</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Conv. (%)</th>
<th>anti:syn&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>ee% (anti/syn)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>59:41</td>
<td>70/94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>58:42</td>
<td>68/92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>55:45</td>
<td>79/92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>53:47</td>
<td>76/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>wash&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>52:48</td>
<td>70/84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>49:51</td>
<td>78/90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>64:36</td>
<td>84/83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>62:38</td>
<td>86/92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>63:37</td>
<td>90/91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>64:36</td>
<td>84/85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>wash&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>67:33</td>
<td>84/85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Conversion and dr were evaluated after removing cyclohexanone from samples taken at indicated reaction times; <sup>b</sup>ee was evaluated by HPLC on chiral stationary phase. <sup>c</sup>in order to wash the pump 2 mL of cyclohexanone were used.

Of DES mixtures A and B in the reactions performed in continuo (Scheme 2).

In the case of 4-nitrobenzaldehyde, the use of DES B (a ternary mixture of ChCl, urea and water, 1:2:1.5 ratio) led to impressive results, both in reaction rate and stereoselectivity, compared to the reaction run in DES A (Table 4, entries 1–4). The reaction proceeded completely in only 15 h, and afforded a clean product (aldol 1, Scheme 2) that was easily isolated by evaporation of excess cyclohexanone, with high anti-diastereoselectivity (up to 90:10), and enantioselectivity (up to 92%) for the major anti isomer.

By performing the reaction with 4-chlorobenzaldehyde in DES A (entries 5 and 6, Table 4), the desired aldol product 2 was obtained in 99% yield after only 24 h, with up to 73% enantioselectivity for the anti isomer. Notably, using DES B (Table 4, entries 7 and 8) a high anti diastereoselectivity (up to 88:12) jointly with a very high ee for the major isomer (up to 88% ee) was detected. It is worth mentioning that when working in DES A, the aldol adduct 2 was partially retained in the DES phase and an extraction with ethyl acetate was necessary to quantitatively recover the product. However, as for the reaction in DES B, the whole aldol product was recovered simply by evaporating the organic phase (distilling off the excess of cyclohexanone; for experimental details see Supporting Information File 1).

Analogous results were obtained in the reaction with 4-bromobenzaldehyde. In DES B, the aldol product 3 was isolated in higher yield and stereoselectivity than in DES A (Table 4, entries 9–12; 93% ee for the major anti isomer). While the reaction with benzaldehyde led to poor results, the conversion of 2-nitrobenzaldehyde in the expected aldol adduct 5 proceeded in moderate yield (51% after 24 h), but with a remarkable anti-diastereoselectivity (93:7) and enantioselectivity (up to 97%).

The different stereoselectivities of the reaction observed in different DES phases could be related to the creation of different tridimensional networks between DES and L-proline, and thus of different chiral reaction environments possibly affecting the stereochemistry of the intermediate species involved in the catalytic cycle [41]. The equilibrating nature of the aldol reaction

![Scheme 2: Aldol reaction under continuous flow conditions in DESs.](image-url)
Typically, reactions run in DES mixtures lead to a very clean crude mixture. The recovery of the final aldol adduct can be, indeed, achieved using a reduced quantity of cyclohexanone (12 mL for 1.3 grams of crude aldol), that could be recovered by distillation and reused in new reactions (for experimental details on the product recovery, mass balance and \(^{1}\text{H} \text{NMR} \) spectra of the crude mixture see Supporting Information File 1).

Finally, we also performed preliminary recycling experiments using two different DESs and set-up III. DES mixtures A or B (1.5 mL), containing L-proline (0.35 equiv, 195 mg), previously used for 48 h in the aldol reaction of cyclohexanone with 4-nitrobenzaldehyde, were recycled in the same transformation. At the end of the reaction, the pump was washed with 3 mL of \(\text{EtOAc} \) to quantitatively recover the aldol adduct (Supporting Information File 1).

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the possibility of a continuous, organocatalyzed, stereoselective process in DES was, for the first time, studied and successfully developed. Using different experimental setups, it was possible to realize efficient proline-catalysed cross-aldol reactions in continuo with high yield (99%), anti-stereoselectivity, and enantioselectivity (up to 97% ee). Moreover, using two different DES mixtures, the diastereoselection of the process could be tuned, to obtain both the syn- and the anti-isomer with very high ee values working under different experimental conditions.
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