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## General details

All commercially available reagents [Sigma Aldrich Chemie GmbH (Schnelldorf, Germany), Fluka (Seelze, Germany) and POCH (Poland)] were used without further purification. Solvents (methylene chloride, tetrahydrofuran) were dried by simply allowing them to stand over activated (oven-roasted in high-vacuum) $3 \AA$ molecular sieves [ $20 \%$ mass/volume ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{v}$ ) loading of the desiccant] at least for 48 h [1]; $n$-Hexane and ethyl acetate were purified by fractional distillation before use. All non-aqueous reactions were carried out under oxygenfree (argon-protective) conditions using over-dried glassware; all the reactions with lowboiling dimethyl- and diethyl- amines were performed in sealed reaction vessel equipped with Teflon-cap (Sigma Aldrich Ace pressure tube, volume ca. 25 mL ). Lipase from Candida antarctica $B$ [Novozym 435 - immobilized on a macroporous acrylic resin, specified activity: $>10000 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{g}$ or $10 \mathrm{PLU} / \mathrm{mg}$, purchased from Novo Nordisk; Chirazyme L-2, c.-f., C2, Lyo. -carrier-fixed on (carrier 2), and Chirazyme L-2, c.-f., C3, Lyo. - carrier-fixed on (carrier 3), specified activity: 150 kU , both enzymes purchased from Roche], lipase from Candida antarctica A [Lipase A - cross-linked enzyme aggregate (CLEA), specified activity: $\geq 1.5 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{mg}$, purchased from Sigma (cat. nr.: 16698)], lipase from Burkholderia (formerly Pseudomonas) cepacia [Lipase, immobilized on Immobead 150 from Pseudomonas cepacia (PS-Immobead 150), specified activity: $\geq 900 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{g}$, purchased from Sigma (cat. nr.: 54327), Amano PS - native lipase, specified activity: >23.000 U/g, Amano PS-IM - immobilized on diatomite, specified activity: $500 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{g}$, Amano PS-C II - immobilized on ceramic, specified activity: $\geq 30.000 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{g}$, all enzymes purchased from Amano Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.], lipase from Pseudomonas fluorescens [Amano AK - native lipase, specified activity: >20.000 U/g, purchased from Amano Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.], lipase from Candida cylindracea (also named Candida rugosa) [CCL, specified activity: $12.000 \mathrm{IU} / \mathrm{g}$, purchased from Sigma], lipase from Mucor javanicus [Amano Lipase M - native lipase, specified activity: $>10.000 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{g}$, purchased from Sigma (cat. nr.: 534803)], lipase from Thermomyces lanuginosus [Lipase, immobilized on Immobead 150 from Thermomyces lanuginosus (Thermomyces lanuginosusImmobead 150), specified activity: $\geq 3000 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{g}$, purchased from Sigma (cat. nr.: 76546), and Lipozyme TL IM - immobilized on silica gel (a silica granulated), specified activity: 170 IUN/g, purchased from Novozymes (Bagsvaerd, Denmark)], lipase from Rhizomucor miehei [Lipozyme RM IM - commercially immobilized, specified activity: $150 \mathrm{IU} / \mathrm{g}$, was purchased from Novozymes A/S (Bagsvaerds, Denmark)]. All enzymes studied were used without any pretreatment. Analytical scale enzymatic reaction were performed in thermo-stated glass vials ( $V=4 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) placed in Chemglass CG-1991-04 GOD Anodized Aluminum Reaction Block, 48
position 48, 19 mm hole depth, for circular top Hot plate stirrer. Melting points were obtained with an MPA100 Optimelt SRS apparatus and are uncorrected. Thin-layer chromatography was carried on TLC aluminum plates with silica gel Kieselgel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ (Merck, Germany) (0.2 mm thickness film) using UV light as a visualizing agent. Preparative separations were carried out by: (i) column chromatography using Merck silica gel (230-400 mesh), with grain size $40-63 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ or by (ii) PLC PSC-Fertigplatten Kieselgel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}(20 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ with 2 mm thickness layer) glass plates purchased from Merck, Germany. The gas chromatographic (GC) analyses were performed with an HP Series II 5890 instrument (Maryland, United States) equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID) and fitted with HP-50+ (30 m) semi-polar column; the GC injector was maintained at $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Column temperature programs are given for respective analytes in the compound characterization data paragraphs; Helium ( $2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) was used as carrier gas; retention times $\left(t_{\mathrm{R}}\right)$ are given in minutes under these conditions. The enantiomeric excesses (\% ee) of optically active compounds were determined by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analyses performed on Shimadzu CTO-10ASV chromatograph (Shimadzu Corporation, Japan) equipped with STD-20A UV detector and Chiralcel OD-H (Daicel Chemical Industries Ltd., Japan) chiral column using mixtures of $n$ -hexane/iso-propyl alcohol or Chiralcel OJ (Daicel Chemical Industries Ltd., Japan) chiral column using mixtures of $n$-hexane/ethyl alcohol or $n$-hexane/tert-butanol/triethyl amine as mobile phase in appropriate ratios given in experimental section (see later for further details for each individual compound); both chiral columns were analytical type, dimension 250 mm x 4.6 mm ; the HPLC analyses were executed in an isocratic manner if not stated otherwise; flow ( $f$ ) is given in mL/min; racemic compounds were used as standards; HPLC conditions and retention times ( $t_{\mathrm{R}}$ ) are given in Table S 1 . Optical rotations were measured with a P20 polarimeter (Belligham \& Stanley Ltd.) in a 2 dm long cuvette using the sodium D line (589 nm ); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}$ are given in units of: $\operatorname{deg} \mathrm{dm}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$; the concentration $c$ is in $\mathrm{g} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$. UV spectra were measured with a Varian Cary 3 UV-Visible Spectrophotometer (Varian, Inc., USA). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were measured with a Varian Mercury 400BB spectrometer (Varian, Inc., USA) operating at 400 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and 100 MHz for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ nuclei; chemical shifts $(\delta)$ are given in parts per million ( ppm ) on the delta scale related to the solvent peak used as reference value; signal multiplicity assignment: s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; q, quartet; m, multiplet; coupling constant $(J)$ are given in hertz $(\mathrm{Hz})$; All samples were recorded as solutions in fully deuterated chloroform $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$, methanol $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right)$ or benzene $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right)$, respectively. All NMR reports for Supporting Information document were created by ACD/NMR Processor Academic Edition 12.0. (Freeware software provided by ACD/Labs, USA \& Canada) and show only delta range where signals were present. Mass spectra were
recorded on a Micro-mass ESI Q-TOF spectrometer in ESI mode; sample of analyte ( 2 mg ) was prepared by dissolving it in methanol ( 1 mL ). The spectrometer had an electrospray ion source and a linear ion trap analyzer. FT-IR spectra of neat samples were recorded on a Perkin Elmer System 2000 FTIR Spectrometer equipped with a Pike Technologies GladiATR attenuated total reflectance (ATR) accessory with a monolithic diamond crystal stage and a pressure clamp; FT-IR spectra were recorded in transmittance mode in the $300-4000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ range, in ambient air at room temperature, with $2 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ resolution, $0.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ interval and accumulation of 32 scans; unit are given in \%T.

## Compound characterization data and representative synthetic procedures:

## Synthesis of 1-(10H-phenothiazin-10-yl)propan-2-ol ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-3

Method A: To a stirred solution of 10 H -phenothiazine $\mathbf{1}(2 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF ( 70 mL ) at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} n$-butyllithium ( 9.4 mL of 1.6 M solution in hexane, 15 mmol ) was added dropwise in gentle flow of argon. After 1 h of stirring, propylene oxide $2(1.16 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.4 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added dropwise, then cooling bath was removed, and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. The reaction mixture was subsequently quenched by adding finely crushed ice $(10 \mathrm{~g})$, then extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layer was washed with water ( 100 mL ), combined and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Evaporation of the solvent gave crude product as an oily residue, which was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using mixture of hexane/AcOEt (80:20, v/v) as an eluent. After high-vacuum drying the desired alcohol crystallized as light-gray solid ( $1.84 \mathrm{~g}, 7.15 \mathrm{mmol}$, $71 \%)$.

Method B: To a stirred solution of 10 H -phenothiazine $\mathbf{1}(5 \mathrm{~g}, 25.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF ( 120 mL ) at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} n$-butyllithium ( 23.5 mL of 1.6 M solution in hexane, 37.6 mmol ) was added dropwise in gentle flow of argon. After 1 h of stirring, propylene oxide $2(2.91 \mathrm{~g}, 50.2 \mathrm{mmol}$, 3.5 mL ) was added dropwise, then cooling bath was removed, and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. The reaction mixture was subsequently quenched by adding finely crushed ice $(50 \mathrm{~g})$, then extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layer was washed with water ( 220 mL ), isolated and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. After filtration and solvent evaporation under reduced pressure, an oily residue was purified by vacuum distillation thus obtaining amorphous non-crystalline solid ( $4.94 \mathrm{~g}, 19.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 77 \%$ ), which solidified after drying under high-vacuum. Attention: since phenothiazine $\mathbf{1}$ is lightsensitive reagent the above-mentioned reactions have been performed in flasks covered with aluminum folic.

Light-gray crystalline solid; yield $64-77 \%$; $\mathrm{Mp} 84-85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ [from hexane/AcOEt (80:20, v/v)] (Lit [2]: mp $78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); bp $156-157{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, p=0.1$ Torr (Lit [3]: bp 192-196 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, p=0.3-0.5$ Torr); $R_{\mathrm{f}}$ [hexane/AcOEt (80:20, v/v)] 0.20; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta: 1.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.52 (br. s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}$ ), 3.80 (dd, $J=13.6,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}$ ), 3.97 (dd, $J=13.6,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}$ ), 4.14-4.24 (m, 1H, CH), 6.90-7.02 (m, 4H, Ph), 7.15-7.25 (m, 4H, Ph); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta: 17.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 52.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 60.6(\mathrm{CH}), 113.3(2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Ph}), 120.3(\mathrm{Ph}), 123.8$ $(\mathrm{Ph}), 124.6(2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Ph}), 125.0(2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Ph}), 142.6(\mathrm{Ph}) ; \mathrm{HRMS}\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}, \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}\right):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}{ }_{\text {caldd }}=258.0947$, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found $=258.0722$; FTIR $v_{\max }$ (neat): 3508, 2970, 2889, 1737, 1590, 1569, 1485, 1451, 1400, 1377, 1342, 1342, 1284, 1247, 1218, 1124, 1110, 1062, 1034, 943, 855, 833, 745, 732, 626, 600, 526; UV/VIS: $\lambda_{\max }=255 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;$ GC $\left[200-260\left(10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}\right)\right]: t_{R}=7.98 \mathrm{~min}$ or [250-260 ( $10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ )]: $t_{R}=5.14 \mathrm{~min} ;$ HPLC [ $n$-hexane $\left./ i-\operatorname{PrOH}(90: 10, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}) ; \mathrm{f}=0.8 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}\right]$ : $t_{R}=8.979 \mathrm{~min}(R)-5,9.784 \mathrm{~min}(S)-\mathbf{5}$.

## Synthesis of racemic esters ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-4a-c

To a solution of the 1-(10H-phenothiazin-10-yl)propan-2-ol ( $\pm$ )- $\mathbf{3}$ ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.78 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(118 \mathrm{mg}, 1.17 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DMAP $(9.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added. The mixture was cooled to $0-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in ice bath. Next, one of the appropriate acyl chloride (1.17 $\mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and subsequently added dropwise to the reaction mixture. Afterward, the cooling bath was removed, and the resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight, and then quenched with water ( 5 mL ). The water phase was extracted $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic layer was washed with saturated solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, brine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$. After filtering off the drying agent, and distillation off the residuals of solvent under reduced pressure the crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using hexane/AcOEt (90:10, $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) as an eluent, thus obtaining corresponding esters ( $\pm$ )-4a-c as white crystal or colorless oil with $41-68 \%$ yield, respectively.

## 1-(10H-Phenothiazin-10-yl)propan-2-yl acetate ( $\pm$ )-4a:

White crystal; yield $41 \%$; Mp 68-70 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ [from hexane/AcOEt (90:10, v/v)]; $R_{\mathrm{f}}$ [hexane/AcOEt (90:10, v/v)] 0.41; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta: 1.25\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\right), 1.92$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 3.88-3.96 (m, 1H, $\mathrm{NCH}_{2}$ ), 4.01-4.11 (m, 1H, $\mathrm{NCH}_{2}$ ), 5.19-5.28 (m, 1H, CH ), 6.90-7.17 (m, 8H, Ph); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta: 18.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\right), 22.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}\right)$, 53.3 $\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 69.7(\mathrm{CH}), 118.1(\mathrm{Ph}), 124.7(\mathrm{Ph}), 128.0(\mathrm{Ph}), 129.2(2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Ph}), 129.3(2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Ph}), 147.5$ $(\mathrm{Ph}), 173.2(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}, \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}\right):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd $=300.1053,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}{ }_{\text {found }}=300.0837$; FTIR $v_{\max }$ (neat): 2987, 2929, 1738, 1591, 1570, 1483, 1456, 1366, 1256, 1137, 1125, 1070,

1035, 1012, 956, 940, 898, 867, 754, 598, 466; UV/VIS: $\lambda_{\max }=253 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;$ GC [200-260 $\left.\left(10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}\right)\right]: t_{R}=8.90 \mathrm{~min}$ or $\left[250-260\left(10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}\right)\right]: t_{R}=5.75 \mathrm{~min}$; HPLC [ $n$-hexane $/ i-\mathrm{PrOH}$ (99.5:0.5, v/v); $\mathrm{f}=0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}]: t_{R}=19.419 \mathrm{~min}(S)-\mathbf{4 a}, 20.740 \mathrm{~min}(R)-\mathbf{4 a}$.

## 1-( $\mathbf{1 0 H}$-Phenothiazin-10-yl)propan-2-yl butanoate ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-4b:

Colorless oil; yield 68\%; $R_{\mathrm{f}}$ [hexane/AcOEt (90:10, v/v)] 0.43; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ $\delta: 0.85\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.26\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\right), 1.52(\mathrm{sxt}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $2.18\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}\right.$ ), 3.90-3.98 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}$ ), 4.03-4.13 (m, 1 H , $\left.\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right)$, 5.18-5.30 (m, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}\right)$, 6.86-7.19 (m, 8H, Ph); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ : $14.8\left(C H_{3}\right), 18.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} C H_{2}\right), 20.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\right), 38.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}\right), 53.3\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 69.6(\mathrm{OCH}), 118.1$ (2C, Ph), 124.7 (2C, Ph), 128.0 (Ph), 129.2 (2C, Ph), 129.3 (2C, Ph), 147.5 (Ph), 175.7 $(C=O)$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}, \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}\right):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd $=328.1366,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}{ }_{\text {found }}=328.1172$; FTIR $v_{\max }$ (neat): 2964, 2874, 1728, 1593, 1571, 1456, 1379, 1341, 1285, 1253, 1226, 1178, 1132, 1066, 1037, 954, 931, 863, 744, 627, 601, 526, 469, 444; UV/VIS: $\lambda_{\max }=254 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ; \mathrm{GC}$ [200-260 (10 $\left.\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}\right)\right]: t_{R}=11.18 \mathrm{~min}$ or [250-260 $\left.\left(10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}\right)\right]: t_{R}=8.02 \mathrm{~min}$; HPLC $[n-$ hexane $/ i-\operatorname{PrOH}(99.5: 0.5, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}) ; \mathrm{f}=0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}]: t_{R}=14.157 \mathrm{~min}(S)-\mathbf{4 b}, 15.512 \mathrm{~min}(R)-\mathbf{4 b}$.

## 1-( $\mathbf{1 0 H}$-Phenothiazin-10-yl)propan-2-yl decanoate ( $\pm$ )-4c:

Colorless oil; yield 44\%; $R_{\mathrm{f}}$ [hexane/AcOEt (90:10, v/v)] 0.56; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ $\delta: 0.89\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.20-1.29\left(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}, 6 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\right), 1.42-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), $2.18\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}\right.$ ), 3.92-3.99 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}$ ), 4.03-4.12 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right)$, 5.21-5.31 (m, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}\right), 6.86-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ : $15.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $18.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\right), 24.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $31.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 33.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}\right), 53.4\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 69.6(\mathrm{OCH}), 118.1(2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Ph}), 124.7$ (2C, Ph), $128.0(\mathrm{Ph}), 129.2$ (2C, Ph), 129.3 (2C, Ph), 147.5 (Ph), 175.8 ( $C=O$ ); HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$, $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}{ }_{\text {calcd }}=412.2305,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}{ }_{\text {found }}=412.2048$; FTIR $v_{\max }$ (neat): 2923, 2853, 1731, 1593, 1572, 1458, 1377, 1342, 1285, 1254, 1226, 1163, 1133, 1109, 1066, 1038, 965, 929, 865, 746, 726, 469; UV/VIS: $\lambda_{\max }=254 \mathrm{~nm}(E t O H) ;$ HPLC [ $n$-hexane $/ i-\operatorname{PrOH}(99.5: 0.5, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$; $\mathrm{f}=0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}]: t_{R}=9.557 \mathrm{~min}(S)-\mathbf{4 c}, 10.242 \mathrm{~min}(R)-\mathbf{4 c}$.

## General procedure for analytical-scale kinetic resolution of ( $\pm$ )-3 - enzyme screening

At first, racemic ( $\pm$ )-1-(10H-phenothiazin-10-yl)propan-2-ol ( $\pm$ )-3 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in MTBE ( 1 mL ). Afterward, the corresponding lipase preparation [20 mg, 20\% $\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ (catalyst/substrate)] and vinyl acetate ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 11.66 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) were added in one portion. The reaction mixture was stirred ( 500 rpm , IKA RCT basic) in a thermo-stated
screw-capped test glass vial ( $V=4 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The progress of the reaction was monitored by GC and then HPLC analyses in order to obtain information concerning values of $\%$ conversion, \% ee and $E$. For HPLC analysis, the samples taken from the reaction mixture ( 30 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ ) were diluted with mobile phase composed of $n$-hexane/iso- $\operatorname{PrOH}(1.5 \mathrm{~mL} ; 3: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ and filtered before injection. For additional data, see Figure 1 in the main manuscript.

## General procedure for analytical-scale kinetic resolution of ( $\mathbf{\pm} \mathbf{- 3}$ - solvent screening

The reaction mixture containing ( $\pm$ )-3 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), the appropriate organic solvent ( 1 mL ), vinyl acetate ( $948 \mathrm{mg}, 11 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and respective lipase (Novozym 435 or Lipozyme TL IM) [20 mg, 20\% w/w (catalyst/substrate ( $\pm$ )-3)] was stirred ( 500 rpm , IKA RCT basic) in thermo-stated glass vial $(V=4 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Further manipulations were carried out by analogy with the previous procedure for the enzyme screening (see section enzyme screening above). For additional data, see Table 1 in the main manuscript.

## General procedure for analytical-scale kinetic resolution of ( $\pm$ )-3 - acyl donor screening

The reaction mixture containing racemic ( $\pm$ )- $\mathbf{3}$ ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), MTBE ( 2 mL ), the corresponding acylation agent (3 equiv), and respective lipase (Novozym 435 or Lipozyme TL IM) [20 mg, 20\% w/w (catalyst/substrate ( $\pm$ )-3)] was stirred ( 500 rpm , IKA RCT basic) in thermo-stated screw-capped test glass vial $(V=4 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Further manipulations were carried out by analogy with the previous enzymatic procedures (see sections enzyme screening and solvent screening above). For additional data, see Table 2 in the main manuscript. In order to measure the specific rotations for the enantioenriched fatty acid esters [butyrate $(R)-(-)-\mathbf{6 b}$ and decanoate $(R)-(-)-\mathbf{6 c}]$, the appropriate reactions with the highest eevalues were stopped, and the enzyme was filtered off, washed with MTBE ( 10 mL ), and after solvent evaporation the crude of the reaction was purified by column chromatography on silica gel by using mixture of hexane/AcOEt (50:10, v/v) as an eluent, affording desired optically active esters $(R)-(-)-\mathbf{6 b} \mathbf{- c}$, respectively. Their specific rotations are as follows: $(R)-(-$ )-6b: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}=-6.85\left(c 1.46, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$, for $>99 \%$ ee $) ;(R)-(-)-\mathbf{6 c}:[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}=-7.26\left(c 1.86, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$, for $99 \%$ ee).

## General procedure for gram-scale (Novozym 435)-catalyzed KR of ( $\pm$ )-3

Racemic ( $\pm$ )-3 ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 3.89 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in MTBE ( 10 mL ). Afterward, suspension of Novozym 435 [200 mg, $20 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ (catalyst/substrate ( $\pm$ )-3)] and vinyl acetate ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 11.66$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.1 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added in one portion. The reaction mixture was stirred ( 500 rpm , IKA RCT basic) in round-bottomed flask ( 50 mL ) equipped with a Teflon-coated magnetic stir bar
$(2 \mathrm{~cm} \times 5 \mathrm{~mm}, 2 \mathrm{~g})$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 days. The progress of the reaction was monitored by GC and chiral HPLC analysis until the required conversion was achieved (ca. $51 \%$ ). The enzyme was then removed by filtration and washed with separate portions of MTBE ( 20 mL ) and methanol ( 10 mL ), respectively. The volatiles were evaporated from the permeate under reduced pressure, and the crude residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel ( 145 g of silica gel was taken) using gradient of hexane/AcOEt (50:10, 20:10, v/v) mixture as an eluent thus affording enantioenriched ( S )-(+)-1-(10H-phenothiazin-10-yl)propan-2-ol (S)-$(+)-5\left[475 \mathrm{mg}, 1.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 95 \%\right.$ isolated yield, $>99 \% \mathrm{ee},[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}=+12.29(c 1.18, \mathrm{MeOH})$ or $\left.[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}=+39.78\left(c 1.02, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)\right]$ and $(R)-(-)-1-(10 \mathrm{H}$-phenothiazin-10-yl)propan-2-yl acetate $(R)-(-)-\mathbf{6 a}\left[469 \mathrm{mg}, 1.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 81 \%\right.$ isolated yield, $94 \% \mathrm{ee},[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}=-4.26(c 0.94, \mathrm{MeOH})$ or $\left.[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-8.75\left(c 1.03, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)\right]$. The isolated yield is based on the maximum amount which can be stechiometrically obtained, that is, on a half amount of $( \pm)$ - $\mathbf{3}$ used. (The experimental conditions and results of enzymatic resolution reactions are collected in Table $\mathbf{3}$ in the main manuscript; physical, spectroscopic and analytical data are identical as for the corresponding racemic standard compounds).

## General procedure for gram-scale (Lipozyme TL IM)-catalyzed KR of ( $\pm$ )-3

The reaction was carried out under identical conditions as in the case of gram-scale synthesis catalyzed by Novozym 435 (see above). The crude mixture was analyzed by GC and chiral HPLC analyses and according to their indications the reaction was terminated when conversion reached desired ca. 46\% (3 days). The appropriate purification procedure yielded enantioenriched (S)-(+)-1-(10H-phenothiazin-10-yl)propan-2-ol (S)-(+)-5 [361 mg, 1.40 $\mathrm{mmol}, 72 \%$ isolated yield, $84 \%$ ee, $\left.[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{22}=+31.88\left(c 1.49, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)\right]$ and $(R)-(-)-1-(10 H-$ phenothiazin-10-yl)propan-2-yl acetate ( $R$ )-(-)-6a [392 mg, $1.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 67 \%$ isolated yield, $>99 \%$ ee, $\left.[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-8.30\left(c 1.44, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)\right]$.

General procedure for the determination of the absolute configuration of (S)-(+)-5 Esterification of $(S)-(+)-1-(10 H-p h e n o t h i a z i n-10-y l) p r o p a n-2-o l(S)-(+)-5$ with $(R)$ - or (S)- $\alpha$-methoxy- $\alpha$-phenylacetic acid

A catalytic amount of DMAP ( 5 mg ) was added to a solution of enantiopure ( S )-(+)-1-(10H-phenothiazin-10-yl)propan-2-ol (S)-(+)-5 (100 mg, $0.39 \mathrm{mmol},>99 \%$ ee), (R)- or (S)- $\alpha-$ methoxy- $\alpha$-phenylacetic acid ( $65 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as appropriate, and DCC $(96 \mathrm{mg}, 0.47$ mmol ) in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 24 h of stirring at room temperature, precipitated dicyclohexylurea was removed by filtration and then the urea cake was rinsed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 10 mL ). The combined $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solutions were evaporated to dryness, and the crude product
was purified by preparative layer chromatography using a mixture of hexane/AcOEt (50:10, $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) as an eluent. Appropriately, the separated fraction was removed from the plate together with $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ gel, placed in a round-bottomed flask, and stirred along with mixture of hexane/AcOEt ( $100 \mathrm{~mL} ; 1: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) for over 1 h . Finally, silica gel was filtered off, the fraction was rinsed with AcOEt ( 50 mL ), and the resulting filtrate was evaporated to dryness to afford the corresponding pure product $\mathbf{1 1}$ or $\mathbf{1 2}$.

## ( $\boldsymbol{R}$ )-MPA ester 11:

White crystals; yield $93 \%$; Mp $110-111{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ [from hexane/AcOEt]; $R_{\mathrm{f}}$ [hexane/AcOEt (50:10, $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})] 0.55 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta: 1.05\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.32\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, 3.55 (dd, $J=13.6,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ), 3.94 (dd, $J=13.6,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ), $4.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CHC}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 5.38-5.47 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 6.74-6.82 (m, 4H, $\left.\mathrm{Ph} H\right), 6.98-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{Ph} H), 7.11-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph} H), 7.57-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph} H) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta: 17.4$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 51.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 57.3\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 68.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 83.0(\mathrm{CHC}=\mathrm{O}), 116.4(2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Ph})$, 123.2 (2C, Ph), $126.5(\mathrm{Ph}), 127.5(2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Ph}), 127.5(2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Ph}), 127.9$ (2C, Ph), 128.6 (2C, Ph), $137.3(\mathrm{Ph}), 145.6(\mathrm{Ph}), 170.2(C=\mathrm{O})$; HRMS calculated for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 406.1477$; found: 406.1559 .

## (S)-MPA ester 12:

Yellowish semisolid; yield $92 \%$; $R_{\mathrm{f}}$ [hexane/AcOEt (50:10, v/v)] 0.55; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 400\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta: 1.16\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.28\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.4,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ), 3.92 (dd, $J=13.5,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ), 4.77 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHC}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 5.50 (dt, $J=6.5,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 6.69-6.83 (m, 4H, $\mathrm{Ph} H$ ), 6.94-7.05 (m, 2H, $\mathrm{Ph} H$ ), 7.08-7.31 (m, 5H, $\mathrm{Ph} H), 7.60-7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph} H) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta: \mathrm{ppm} 17.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 51.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)$, $57.2\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 68.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 83.1(\mathrm{CHC}=\mathrm{O}), 116.1(2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Ph}), 123.2(2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Ph}), 126.3$ (Ph), 127.5 (2C, Ph), 127.5 (2C, Ph), 127.9 (2C, Ph), 128.7 (2C, Ph), 137.3 (Ph), 145.5 (Ph), $170.2(C=O)$; HRMS calculated for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 406.1477$; found: 406.1559.

## X-ray crystallography

## Crystal structure determination of (S)-(+)-5

Colorless single crystals, suitable for X-ray diffraction studies, were grown by slow diffusion of hexane $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ into a concentrated solution of the $(S)-(+)-5(50 \mathrm{mg})$ in AcOEt $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0-5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Selected crystal of dimensions $0.53 \times 0.22 \times 0.22 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ was mounted in inert oil and transferred to the cold gas stream of the diffractometer. Diffraction data were measured at 120.0(2) K with mirror monochromated $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$ radiation on an Oxford Diffraction к-CCD Gemini A Ultra diffractometer. Cell refinement and data collection as well as data reduction and analysis were performed with the CRYSALIS ${ }^{\text {PRO }}$ Software [4]. The structure was solved by direct methods using the SHELXS-97 structure solution program and refined by full-matrix least-squares against $F^{2}$ with SHELXL-2013 [5] and OLEX2 [6] programs. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. Hydrogen atoms attached to carbon atoms were added to the structure model at geometrically idealized coordinates and refined as riding atoms with $\operatorname{Uiso}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 \mathrm{Ueq}\left(\mathrm{CH}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ or $\operatorname{Uiso}(\mathrm{H})=1.5 \mathrm{Ueq}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. The hydrogen atom of the hydroxyl group was refined with a restraint of $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}=0.84(2) \AA$. An absolute $(S)$-configuration for the compound molecule (+)-5 was successfully determined using anomalous dispersion effects. Flack parameter [7] calculated from 991 selected quotients (Parsons' method) [8] equals 0.007 (4). Further analysis of the absolute structure was performed using likelihood methods with PLATON [9]. A total of 999 Bijvoet pairs (coverage of 1.00) were included in the calculations. The resulting value of the Hooft parameter [10] was $0.002(4)$, with a P3 probability for an inverted structure smaller than $1 \times 10^{-100}$. These results indicated that the absolute structure has been correctly assigned. Crystal Data for $(S)-(+)-5, \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NOS}$ ( $M=257.34$ ): monoclinic, space group $P 2_{1}$ (no. 4), $a=8.24979$ ( 8 ) $\AA, b=5.57607$ (4) $\AA, c=$ $13.88078(11) \AA, \beta=96.1455(8)^{\circ}, V=634.865(10) \AA^{3}, Z=2, T=120.0(1) \mathrm{K}, \mu(\mathrm{CuK} \alpha)=$ $2.144 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$, Dcalc $=1.346 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$, 35287 reflections measured $(6.4 \leq 2 \Theta \leq 133.5), 2254$ unique ( $R_{\text {int }}=0.0268, R_{\text {sigma }}=0.0100$ ) which were used in all calculations. The final $R_{1}$ was $0.0214(I>2 \sigma(I))$ and $w R_{2}$ was 0.0584 (all data). CCDC-1018655 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for compound $(S)-(+)-5$. This can be obtained free of charge on application to CDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB21EZ, UK [Fax: (+44)1223-336-033; email: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk]. ORTEP drawing was made using Ortep3 for Windows [11].

Table 1 Crystal data and structure refinement parameters for $(S)-(+)-\mathbf{5}$.

| Compound | (S)-(+)-5 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NOS}$ |
| $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | 257.34 |
| Crystal size $/ \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ | $0.532 \times 0.222 \times 0.218$ |
| T/K | 120.0(1) |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | $P 2_{1}$ |
| $a / A ̊$ | 8.24979(8) |
| $b / \AA$ | 5.57607(4) |
| $c / A$ | 13.88078(11) |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 96.1455(8) |
| $V / \AA^{3}$ | 634.865(10) |
| Z | 2 |
| $D_{\text {calc }} / \mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ | 1.346 |
| Radiation, $\lambda / \AA$ | $\mathrm{CuK}_{\alpha}(\lambda=1.5418)$ |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 2.144 |
| $F(000)$ | 272.0 |
| $2 \Theta$ Range / ${ }^{\circ}$ | 6.4-133.5 ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| Reflections collected | 35287 |
| Independent refln. | 2254 |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0268 |
| Parameters/restraints | 168/2 |
| $S\left(F^{2}\right)^{[a]}$ | 1.078 |
| $R 1, \mathrm{w} R 2(I>2 \sigma(I))^{[b]}$ | 0.0214, 0.0584 |
| $R 1, \mathrm{w} 2$ (all data) | 0.0215, 0.0584 |
| $\Delta \rho_{\text {min } / \text { max }} / \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$ | +0.14/-0.14 |
| Flack parameter | 0.007(4) |

[a] Goodness-of-fit $S=\left\{\Sigma\left[w\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}-F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}\right] /(n-p)\right\}^{1 / 2}$ where $n$ is the reflections number and $p$ is the parameters number; $[\mathrm{b}] R 1=\Sigma| | F_{\mathrm{o}}\left|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right| / \Sigma\right| F_{\mathrm{o}} \mid, w R 2=\left\{\Sigma\left[w\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}-F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}\right] / \Sigma\left[w\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}\right]\right\}^{1 / 2}$.

Chemical base-mediated methanolysis of $(\boldsymbol{R})-(-)$-1-( 10 H -phenothiazin-10-yl)propan-2-yl acetate $(\boldsymbol{R})-(-)-\mathbf{- 6 a}$ as the method for the synthesis of $(\boldsymbol{R})-(-)-7$

To a solution of enantiopure $(R)-(-)-\mathbf{6 a}(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.67 \mathrm{mmol},>99 \%$ ee $)$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ a solution of $\mathrm{NaOH}(29 \mathrm{mg}, 0.73 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h until complete consumption of the starting material (TLC). Then MeOH was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the resulting oil was suspended in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the water phase was back-extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was rinsed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, combined and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Mg}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After solvent evaporation, the reaction crude was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using
hexane/AcOEt (80:20, v/v) mixture as an eluting system to afford alcohol ( $R$ )-(-)-7 [130 mg; $\left.0.51 \mathrm{mmol} ; 76 \% ; 98 \% \mathrm{ee},[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{22}=-35.5\left(c 1.73, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)\right]$.

## Synthesis of (2R)-(+)- and (2S)-(-)-10-(2-bromopropyl)-10H-phenothiazine (R)-(+)-8 and (S)-(-)-8

Method A: Enantiopure ( S )-(+)-1-(10H-phenothiazin-10-yl)propan-2-ol (S)-(+)-5 (660 mg, $2.6 \mathrm{mmol},>99 \%$ ee) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $0-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Next, $\mathrm{PBr}_{3}(690$ $\mathrm{mg}, 2.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.24 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was injected and after 30 min ice-bath was removed, and the reaction mixture was further stirred at room temperature for additional 2 h . The reaction was terminated by addition of saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the water phase was back-extracted using $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. Excess solvent and other volatile reaction components were removed under reduced pressure, and the crude product was re-crystallized from EtOH ( 8 mL ) to afford optically active ( $2 R$ )-(+)-10-(2-bromopropyl)-10H-phenothiazine $(R)-(+)-\mathbf{8}$ as white crystals ( $220 \mathrm{mg}, 0.69 \mathrm{mmol}, 27 \%,>99 \%$ ee). The same procedure was conducted toward $(R)-(-)-7\left[1.15 \mathrm{~g}, 4.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 98 \% \mathrm{ee},[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{23}=+4.55\left(c 0.99, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)\right]$ and allowed to yield $(S)-(-)-\mathbf{8}\left[392 \mathrm{mg}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 27 \%, 96 \% \mathrm{ee},[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{21}=-3.86\left(c 1.16, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)\right]$.

Method B: To a solution of $(S)-(+)-5(1 \mathrm{~g}, 3.89 \mathrm{mmol},>99 \% \mathrm{ee})$ in anhydrous THF ( 20 mL ), triphenylphosphine ( $2.45 \mathrm{~g}, 9.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and pyridine ( $338 \mathrm{mg}, 4.27 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.34 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) were added and cooled to $0-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Next, solution of tetrabromomethane ( $1.55 \mathrm{~g}, 4.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 10 mL ) was added dropwise over 10 min with vigorous stirring. Upon addition of the tetrabromomethane, the colorless solution turned a pale brown color and was stirred for an additional 12 h at room temperature. The solvent excess was partially condensed under vacuum, and the crude product was filtered over a short pad of silica gel $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. The permeate was evaporated to dryness and the remaining solid was purified by recrystallization from EtOH ( 20 mL ) affording $(R)-(+)-\mathbf{8}(958 \mathrm{mg}, 2.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 77 \%, 23 \% \mathrm{ee})$ as white crystals. White crystals; Mp $126-127{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from EtOH) [Lit [3]: mp 125-126 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from EtOH)]; $R_{\mathrm{f}}$ [hexane/AcOEt (90:10, v/v)] 0.69; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta: 1.41\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 3.64-3.74 (m, 1H, CH), 4.02-4.15 (m, 2H, CH2), $6.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph} H), 6.61-6.69$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph} H)$, 6.78-6.86 (m, 2H, $\mathrm{Ph} H), 6.98-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph} H) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ $\delta: 23.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 45.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 55.6(\mathrm{CH}), 116.0(2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Ph}), 123.3(\mathrm{Ph}), 126.5(\mathrm{Ph}), 127.6(2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Ph})$, $128.1(2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Ph}), 145.0(\mathrm{Ph})$; HRMS calculated for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: m/z 320.0103; found: 320.0286; FTIR $v_{\max }$ (neat): $1590,1569,1483,1454,1441,1376,1333,1284,1250,1229,1186,1124$, $1102,1049,1032,1005,932,855,744,727,648,575,535,502,438$; UV/VIS: $\lambda_{\max }=255 \mathrm{~nm}$ (EtOH); HPLC [ $n$-hexane $/ i-\operatorname{PrOH}(99.9: 0.1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}) ; \mathrm{f}=0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}]: t_{R}=14.769 \mathrm{~min}(R)-\mathbf{8}$,
$15.736 \mathrm{~min}(S)-\mathbf{8}$ or HPLC [ $n$-hexane $/ i-\operatorname{PrOH}$ (99.9:0.1, $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ); $\mathrm{f}=0.3 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}]: t_{R}=23.165 \mathrm{~min}$ (R)-8, $24.654 \mathrm{~min}(S)-\mathbf{8}$.

## Synthesis of $N, N$-dimethyl-1-(10H-phenothiazin-10-yl)propan-2-amine (promethazine) $(R)-(+)-9$ and (S)-(-)-9 base

Method A (single inversion): The solution of respective optically active bromide ( $R$ )-(+)-8 ( $>99 \% \mathrm{ee}$ ) or $(S)-(-)-\mathbf{8}(96 \% \mathrm{ee})(150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol})$ and dimethylamine $(5.85 \mathrm{~mL}$ of 2 M solution in THF, 11.7 mmol ) in dry $\mathrm{PhCH}_{3}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was placed in glass sealed tube ( $V=25$ mL ) and stirred for 7 days at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (temperature of oil bath). The reaction was stopped by evaporation of residual volatiles of amine and subsequent addition of saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(20$ $\mathrm{mL})$. Next, water phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$, the organic solvent was directly evaporated under reduced pressure and the reaction crude purified by preparative layer chromatography (PLC) on plates coated with unmodified silica gel matrix using eluent system composed of $\mathrm{PhCH}_{3} /{\mathrm{AcOEt} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(33: 67: 10, ~ v / v / v) ~ m i x t u r e, ~ a f f o r d i n g ~ d e s i r e d ~}_{\text {( }}$ optically active amine ( $S$ )-(-)-9 [62 mg, $0.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 47 \%, 84 \% \mathrm{ee},[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{21}=-1.94$ (c 0.78 , $\left.\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)\right]$ or $(R)-(+)-9\left[78 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}, 59 \%, 92 \% \mathrm{ee},[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{22}=+1.45\left(c 1.04, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)\right]$ as a clear amber oil, respectively.

Method B (double inversion): The solution of respective optically active bromide $(R)-(+)-\mathbf{8}$ ( $>99 \%$ ee) or $(S)-(-)-\mathbf{8}(96 \%$ ee) $(180 \mathrm{mg}, 0.56 \mathrm{mmol})$ and dimethylamine $(1.41 \mathrm{~mL}$ of 2 M solution in THF, 2.80 mmol ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was placed in glass sealed tube $(V=25 \mathrm{~mL})$, and stirred for 4 days at $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (temperature of oil bath). The reaction was stopped by evaporation of residual volatiles of amine and subsequent addition of saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(20$ $\mathrm{mL})$. Next, water phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$, the organic solvent was directly evaporated under reduced pressure and the reaction crude purified by preparative layer chromatography (PLC) on plates coated with unmodified silica gel using eluent system composed of $\mathrm{PhCH}_{3} / \mathrm{AcOEt} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(33: 67: 10, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ mixture yielding desired optically active $(R)-(+)-9\left[73 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}, 46 \%, 97 \% \mathrm{ee},[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{21}=+1.64\left(c 0.61, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)\right]$ or $(S)-(-)-9$ [ $41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 23 \%, 94 \% \mathrm{ee},[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{21}=-1.40\left(c 1.01, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ ] as a clear amber oils. Amber oil; $R_{\mathrm{f}}\left[\mathrm{PhCH}_{3} / \mathrm{AcOEt} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(33: 67: 10, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})\right] 0.15 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta: 0.87$ (d, $J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $2.06\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 2.95-3.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.45$ (dd, $J=13.3,8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 3.84 (dd, $J=13.3,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 6.63-6.76 (m, 4H, $\mathrm{Ph} H$ ), 6.88-6.99 (m, 2H, $\mathrm{Ph} H), 7.05-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph} H) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta: 0.89\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $2.08\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 2.97-3.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.46\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.2,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.85(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=13.2,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 6.67-6.76 (m, 4H, $\mathrm{Ph} H$ ), 6.91-6.97 (m, 2H, $\mathrm{Ph} H$ ), 7.07-7.12 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph} H) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta: 11.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 40.9\left(2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 51.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 56.1(\mathrm{CH})$,
$116.2(\mathrm{Ph}), 122.8(\mathrm{Ph}), 126.4(\mathrm{Ph}), 127.4(\mathrm{Ph}), 146.1(\mathrm{Ph}) ;$ HRMS calculated for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 285.1425; found: 285.1078; FTIR $v_{\max }$ (neat): 3062, 2967, 2932, 2866, 2823, 2774, 1593, 1571, 1456, 1356, 1335, 1285, 1250, 1227, 1188, 1159, 1128, 1105, 1037, 974, 928, 852, 745, 723, 695, 620, 604, 541, 495, 432; UV/VIS: $\lambda_{\max }=255 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ; \mathrm{GC}\left[200{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ (isothermally)]: $t_{R}=30.99 \mathrm{~min}$; HPLC $\left[n\right.$-hexane $/$ tert $-\mathrm{BuOH} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(96.5: 3: 0.5, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}) ; \mathrm{f}=1.0$ $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}]: t_{R}=17.076 \mathrm{~min}(R)-9,22.468 \mathrm{~min}(S)-9$ or $[n$-hexane $/$ EtOH $(95: 5, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}) ; \mathrm{f}=0.5$ $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}]: t_{R}=14.157 \mathrm{~min}(R)-\mathbf{9}, 17.476 \mathrm{~min}(S)-\mathbf{9}$.

## Synthesis of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-diethyl-1-(10H-phenothiazin-10-yl)propan-2-amine (ethopropazine) $(S)-(-)-10$ and ( $R$ )-(+)-10 base

Method A (single inversion): The solution of respective optically active bromide $(R)-(+)-\mathbf{8}$ ( $>99 \%$ ee) or $(S)-(-)-\mathbf{8}(96 \% ~ e e)(150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol})$ and diethylamine ( $2.43 \mathrm{~mL}, 23.4$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{PhCH}_{3}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was placed in glass sealed tube $(V=25 \mathrm{~mL})$, and stirred for 7 days at $140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (temperature of oil bath). The rest of the procedure is essentially the same as for the Method A synthesis of promethazine $\mathbf{9}$ enantiomers what allowed to obtain (S)-(-)-10 $\left[55 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 38 \%, 90 \%\right.$ ee, $\left.[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}=-3.94\left(c 1.02, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)\right]$ or $(R)-(+)-\mathbf{1 0}[34 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 23 \%, 93 \%$ ee, $\left.[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{22}=+9.68\left(c 1.24, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)\right]$ as a clear amber oils, respectively.
Method B (double inversion): A glass sealed tube ( $V=25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was charged with a solution of respective optically active bromide $(R)-(+)-\mathbf{8}(>99 \%$ ee) or $(S)-(-)-\mathbf{8}(96 \%$ ee) ( 180 mg , $0.56 \mathrm{mmol})$ and diethylamine $(1.16 \mathrm{~mL}, 11.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the mixture was stirred for 4 days at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (temperature of oil bath). The rest of the procedure is essentially the same as for the Method B synthesis of promethazine 9 enantiomers what allowed to obtain $(R)-(+)-\mathbf{1 0}\left[59 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}, 38 \%, 98 \% \mathrm{ee},[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{23}=+10.60\left(c 0.42, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)\right]$ or $(S)-(-)-\mathbf{1 0}$ $\left[55 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 31 \%, 96 \% \mathrm{ee},[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-9.80\left(c 0.51, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)\right]$ as a clear amber oils, respectively.
Amber oil; $R_{\mathrm{f}}\left[\mathrm{PhCH}_{3} / \mathrm{AcOEt} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(33: 67: 10, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})\right] 0.22 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ : 0.95-1.04 (m, 9H, CH3), 2.43-2.54 (m, 2H, CH $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.56-2.67 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 3.28-3.31 (m, 1H, CH), 3.72 (dd, $J=13.6,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 4.03 (dd, $J=13.6,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $6.86-$ $6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph} H), 7.07-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph} H)$ or ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta: 0.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 0.93 (t, $J=7.2,6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $2.18\left(\mathrm{dq}, J=13.2,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ ), 2.29-2.40 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 3.26 (ddd, $J=8.7,6.5,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 3.51 (dd, $J=13.2,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $3.80\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.2,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.66-6.77(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph} H), 6.91-6.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph} H), 7.06-$ $7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph} H) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta: 14.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 14.8\left(2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 45.6$ $\left(2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 52.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 53.3(\mathrm{CH}), 118.0(2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Ph}), 124.5(2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Ph}), 128.0(\mathrm{Ph}), 129.2(2 \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{Ph})$, $129.3(2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Ph}), 147.7(\mathrm{Ph})$; HRMS calculated for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 313.1738$; found:
313.1496; FTIR $v_{\max }$ (neat): $3062,2966,2928,2869,2809,1593,1571,1456,1361,1334$, 1314, 1285, 1249, 1227, 1205, 1178, 1128, 1104, 1067, 1037, 927, 868, 848, 743, 727, 696, 676, 624, 605, 539, 509, 445; UV/VIS: $\lambda_{\max }=255 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{EtOH})$; GC $\left[200{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ (isothermally)]: $t_{R}$ $=36.99 \mathrm{~min}$; HPLC [ $n$-hexane $/$ tert $-\mathrm{BuOH} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(96: 3.5: 0.5, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}) ; \mathrm{f}=0.9 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ]: $t_{R}=$ $17.785 \mathrm{~min}(S)-\mathbf{1 0}, 19.315 \mathrm{~min}(R)-\mathbf{1 0}$.

10-[(2S)-2-Methoxypropyl]-10H-phenothiazine (S)-16:
Amber oil; $R_{\mathrm{f}}\left[\mathrm{PhCH}_{3} / \mathrm{AcOEt}(90: 10, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})\right] 0.64 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta: 1.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $3.09\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.50\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.4,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, one of $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 3.54-3.63 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 3.87 (dd, $J=13.4,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, one of $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 6.60-6.71 (m, 4H, $\mathrm{Ph} H$ ), 6.86-6.93 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph} H), 7.04-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph} H) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta: 17.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 53.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $56.7\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 73.9(\mathrm{CH}), 116.1(2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Ph}), 122.9(2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Ph}), 126.2(\mathrm{Ph}), 127.4$ (2C, Ph), 127.9 (2C, Ph), $146.0(\mathrm{Ph}) ;$ HRMS calculated for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 272.1109$; found: 272.0670.

Table S1. Analytical separation conditions of different compounds enantiomers by Chiralcel OD-H and Chiralcel OJ chiral columns (Daicel)


| Compound ${ }^{\text {a }}$ b | Ratio of $\boldsymbol{n}$-hexane to 2-PrOH [v/v] | Elution velocity [mL/min] | Retention time (min) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $( \pm)$-3 | 90:10 | 0.8 | $8.979(R)$ and $9.784(S)$ |
| $( \pm)-\mathbf{4 a}$ | 99.5:0.5 | 0.5 | $19.419(S)$ and $20.740(R)$ |
| $( \pm)-3+( \pm)-4 \mathbf{a}$ | 0-20 min: 99.5:0.5 20-22 min: gradient 22-45 min: 90:10 | $0-20 \mathrm{~min}: 0.5$ $20-22$ min: gradient $22-45 \mathrm{~min}: 0.8$ | $\begin{gathered} ( \pm) \text {-4a: } 18.986(S) \text { and } 20.188(R) \\ ( \pm) \text {-3: } 33.078(R) \text { and } 33.900(S) \end{gathered}$ |
| ( $\pm$ )-4b | 99.5:0.5 | 0.5 | $14.167(S)$ and $16.612(R)$ |
| $( \pm)-3+( \pm)-4 b$ | 0-16 min: 99.5:0.5 16-18 min: gradient 18-41 min: 90:10 | $0-20 \mathrm{~min}: 0.5$ $16-18$ min: gradient $18-41 \mathrm{~min}: 0.8$ | $\begin{gathered} ( \pm)-\mathbf{4 b}: 13.998(S) \text { and } 16.364(R) \\ ( \pm)-\mathbf{3}: 29.341(R) \text { and } 30.165(S) \end{gathered}$ |
| $( \pm)-4 \mathrm{c}$ | 99.5:0.5 | 0.5 | $9.557(S)$ and $10.242(R)$ |
| $( \pm)-3+( \pm)-4 c$ | 0-16 min: 99.5:0.5 16-18 min: gradient 18-41 min: 90:10 | $0-20 \mathrm{~min}: 0.5$ $16-18 \mathrm{~min}:$ gradient $18-41 \mathrm{~min}: 0.8$ | $\begin{aligned} & ( \pm)-4 \mathrm{c}: 9.559(S) \text { and } 10.244(R) \\ & ( \pm)-3: 29.296(R) \text { and } 29.089(S) \end{aligned}$ |
| ( $\pm$ )-8 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 99.9:0.1 } \\ \text { [99.9:0.1] } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \\ {[0.3]} \end{gathered}$ | $14.769(R)$ and $16.736(S)$ $[23.165(R)$ and $24.654(S)]$ |
| Compound ${ }^{\text {a,c }}$ | Ratio of $\boldsymbol{n}$-hexane to abs. EtOH [v/v] | Elution velocity [mL/min] | Retention time (min) |
| ( $\pm$ )-9 | 95:5.0 | 0.5 | $14.157(R)$ and $17.476(S)$ |
| Compound ${ }^{\text {a,d }}$ | Ratio of $\boldsymbol{n}$-hexane to $t$ - BuOH to $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ [ $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ] | Elution velocity [mL/min] | Retention time (min) |
| ( $\pm$ )-9 | 96.5:3.0:0.5 | 1.0 | $17.076(R)$ and $22.468(S)$ |
| ( $\pm$ )-10 | 96:3.5:0.5 | 0.9 | $17.785(S)$ and $19.315(R)$ |

[^0]HPLC analytical separation for both enantiomers of ( $\pm$ )-3


HPLC analytical separation for mixture of both enantiomers of ( $\pm$ )-3 and ( $\pm$ )-4a

$(S)-(+)-5$ in $>99 \%$ ee

$(R)-(-)-7$ in $98 \%$ ee [after $\mathbf{N a O H}$-mediated methanolysis of enantiopure ( $\boldsymbol{R}$ )-(-)-6a]
mV


Analytical data for 1-( 10 H -phenothiazin-10-yl)propan-2-yl acetate ( $\pm$ )-4a:
HPLC analytical separation for both enantiomers of ( $\pm$ )-4a

$(R)-(-)-6 \mathrm{a}$ in $>99 \%$ ee
mV


Analytical data for 1-(10H-phenothiazin-10-yl)propan-2-yl butanoate ( $\pm$ )-4b:
HPLC analytical separation for both enantiomers of ( $\pm$ )-4b


HPLC analytical separation for mixture of both enantiomers of ( $\pm$ )-3 and ( $\pm$ )-4b

$(R)-(-)-6 b$ in $>99 \%$ ee


Analytical data for 1-(10H-phenothiazin-10-yl)propan-2-yl decanoate ( $\pm$ )-4c:
HPLC analytical separation for both enantiomers of ( $\pm$ )-4c


HPLC analytical separation for mixture of both enantiomers of ( $\pm$ )-3 and ( $\pm$ )-4c
mV

(R)-(-)-6c in $\mathbf{> 9 9 \%}$ ee


Analytical data for 10-(2-bromopropyl)-10H-phenothiazine (土)-8:
HPLC analytical separation for both enantiomers of ( $\pm$ )-8

$(R)-(+)-8$ in $>99 \%$ ee
$m \mathrm{~V}$

$(S)-(-)-8$ in $96 \%$ ee


## Analytical data for promethazine ( $\pm$ )-9:

HPLC analytical separation for both enantiomers of ( $\pm$ )-9

$(S)-(-)-9$ in $84 \%$ ee [product of Method A $\left(\mathbf{P h C H}_{3}\right)$ obtained from $(\boldsymbol{R})-(+)-8(>99 \%$ ee $\left.)\right]$ mV

$(R)-(+)-9$ in $92 \%$ ee [product of Method $\mathbf{A}\left(\mathbf{P h C H}_{3}\right)$ obtained from $(S)-(-)-\mathbf{8}(\mathbf{9 6 \%}$ ee)] $\mathrm{m} V$


HPLC analytical separation for both enantiomers of ( $\pm$ )-9

$(R)-(+)-9$ in $97 \%$ ee [product of Method B (MeOH) obtained from (R)-(+)-8 (>99\% ee)]

$(S)-(-)-9$ in $94 \%$ ee [product of Method B (MeOH) obtained from (S)-(-)-8 (96\% ee)]


Analytical data for ethopropazine ( $\pm$ )-10:
HPLC analytical separation for both enantiomers of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-10
mV

$(S)-(-)-10$ in $90 \%$ ee [product of Method A $\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{3}\right)$ obtained from $(\boldsymbol{R})-(+)-8(>99 \%$ ee)] mV

$(R)-(+)-10$ in $93 \%$ ee [product of Method $A\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{3}\right)$ obtained from $(S)-(-)-\mathbf{8}(\mathbf{9 6 \%}$ ee)] mV


HPLC analytical separation for both enantiomers of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-10
mV

$(R)-(+)-10$ in $98 \%$ ee [product of Method B (MeOH) obtained from $(R)-(+)-8(>99 \%$ ee)] mv

$(S)-(-)-10$ in $96 \%$ ee [product of Method B (MeOH) obtained from (S)-(-)-8 (96\% ee)] mV


Dissolved in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and recorded on Varian Mercury 400 BB spectrometer 400 MHz




11:22:21 19-Sep-2013
130919_S 25 (0.430) Cm (1:26) 100 258.0604 TOF MS ES+






| Wavelength (nm) Abs |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 305.00 | 0.226 |
| 255.00 | 1.770 |
| 203.00 | 1.395 |

## 1-(10H-Phenothiazin-10-yl)propan-2-yl acetate ( $\pm$ )-4a

Dissolved in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ and recorded on Varian Mercury 400 BB spectrometer 400 MHz






FID1A, (ZOLWACO11HO.D)


| Wavelength (nm) | Abs |
| :--- | :--- |
| 305.00 | 0.577 |
| 253.00 | 4.056 |
| 206.00 | 3.126 |

## 1-(10H-Phenothiazin-10-yl)propan-2-yl butanoate ( $\pm$ )-4b

Dissolved in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ and recorded on Varian Mercury 400 BB spectrometer 400 MHz



10:03:14
26-Sep-2013 TOF MS ES+
(0.566) Cm (31:48)





Wavelength (nm)
Abs

| 305.00 | 0.193 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 254.00 | 1.531 |
| 201.00 | 1.449 |

## 1-(10H-Phenothiazin-10-yl)propan-2-yl decanoate ( $\pm$ )-4c

Dissolved in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ and recorded on Varian Mercury 400 BB spectrometer 400 MHz




10:12:57



Wavelength (nm) Abs

| 304.00 | 0.126 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 254.00 | 0.842 |
| 203.00 | 0.901 |

## ( $\boldsymbol{R}$ )-MPA ester 11

Dissolved in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ and recorded on Varian Mercury 400 BB spectrometer 400 MHz




131202_DP32R 94 (1.611) Cm (91:113)
(S)-MPA ester 12

Dissolved in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ and recorded on Varian Mercury 400 BB spectrometer 400 MHz




10:20:43 02-Dec-2013


## 10-[2-Bromopropyl]-10H-phenothiazine ( $\pm$ )-8

Dissolved in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ and recorded on Varian Mercury 400 BB spectrometer 400 MHz






| Wavelength (nm) | Abs |
| :---: | :---: |
| 309.00 | 0.237 |
| 255.00 | 0.654 |
| 203.00 | 0.697 |

Dissolved in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ and recorded on Varian Mercury 400 BB spectrometer 400 MHz





Wavelength (nm) Abs

| 306.00 | 0.302 |
| :---: | :--- |
| 255.00 | 2.185 |
| 203.00 | 2.334 |

Dissolved in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ and recorded on Varian Mercury 400 BB spectrometer 400 MHz



Dissolved in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ and recorded on Varian Mercury 400 BB spectrometer 400 MHz


Dissolved in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ and recorded on Varian Mercury 400BB spectrometer 400 MHz


10:48:35





| Wavelength (nm) | Abs |
| :---: | :---: |
| 307.00 | 0.259 |
| 255.00 | 1.817 |
| 201.00 | 1.840 |

## 10-[(2S)-2-Methoxypropyl]-10H-phenothiazine (S)-16:

Dissolved in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ and recorded on Varian Mercury 400 BB spectrometer 400 MHz
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[^0]:    ${ }^{a}$ The samples were carried out at 254 nm and at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
    ${ }^{b}$ Chiralcel OD-H column was used.
    ${ }^{c}$ Chiralcel OJ column was used.
    ${ }^{c}$ Tandemic system composed of two serially coupled Chiralcel OJ-Chiralcel OJ columns was used.

