

CuCl-catalyzed aerobic oxidation of 2,3-allenols to 1,2-allenic ketones with 1:1 combination of phenanthroline and bipyridine as ligands

Shuxu Gao¹, Yu Liu¹ and Shengming Ma^{*1,2}

Full Research Paper **Open Access** Address Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2011, 7, 396-403. ¹Shanghai Key Laboratory of Green Chemistry and Chemical doi:10.3762/bjoc.7.51 Processes, Department of Chemistry, East China Normal University, 3663 North Zhongshan Road, Shanghai 200062, P. R. China and Received: 25 December 2010 ²State Key Laboratory of Organometallic Chemistry, Shanghai Accepted: 11 March 2011 Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 345 Published: 07 April 2011 Lingling Lu, Shanghai 200032, P. R. China. Fax: (+86)-21-6260-9305 This article is part of the Thematic Series "Allene chemistry". Email: Shengming Ma* - masm@sioc.ac.cn Guest Editor: K. M. Brummond * Corresponding author © 2011 Gao et al: licensee Beilstein-Institut License and terms: see end of document. Keywords: allenic ketone; allenol; Cu(I) catalyst; oxidation

Abstract

A protocol has been developed to prepare 1,2-allenyl ketones using molecular oxygen in air or pure oxygen as the oxidant from 2,3allenylic alcohols with moderate to good yields under mild conditions. In this reaction CuCl (20 mol %) with 1,10-phenanthroline (10 mol %) and bipyridine (10 mol %) was used as the catalyst. It is interesting to observe that the use of the mixed ligands is important for the higher yields of this transformation: With the monoligand approach developed by Markó et al., the yields are relatively lower.

Introduction

The oxidation of alcohols is one of many fundamental reactions in organic synthesis [1,2]. Usually, stoichiometric oxidants such as MnO_2 [3], PCC [4], PDC [4], etc. were employed for this type of transformation. However, the cost and the byproducts derived from these reagents cause economic and environmental problems [5]. In the past decades, much attention has been paid to catalytic oxidation of alcohols using molecular oxygen as the oxidant with Pd [6-10], Cu [11-13], Ru [14,15] as the catalysts. 1,2-Allenic ketones have become very useful in organic synthesis [16-33]. Current methods for the oxidation of allenic alcohols to ketones include oxidation with MnO_2 [30,34,35], Swern oxidation [17,24] or Dess-Martin oxidation [16,17,24,25,28,31-33]: Catalytic aerobic oxidation has not so far been reported. Due to the synthetic potential of 1,2-allenyl ketones, it is desirable to develop an aerobic oxidation protocol for 2,3-allenols. In this paper we wish to report the CuClcatalyzed aerobic oxidation of 2,3-allenols by applying a mixed ligand approach using copper as the catalyst [12,13].

Results and Discussion

After screening the Pd- [6-10] and Ru-catalyzed [14,15] protocols without success, we began a study of the oxidation of 2-hexyl-1-phenylbuta-2,3-diene-1-ol (**1a**) with O₂ based on the pioneering study of oxidation of normal simple alcohols by Markó et al. [12]. Under the original conditions [12], the expected allenylic ketone **2a** was obtained in 59% yield when CuCl and 1,10-phenanthroline were used (Table 1, entry 1). A series of bases and solvents were then screened for the oxidation of **1a**. The results are summarized in Table 1 and Table 2. We found that (1) K₂CO₃ is the most effective base (Table 1, entry 1) and that organic bases such as NEt₃ and DBU are generally ineffective (Table 1, entries 6 and 7); (2) toluene is the best solvent (Table 2).

In order to improve the yield further, we examined the effect of ligands. When 2,2'-bipyridine, which has a weaker coordinating ability, was used [36], the yield of **2a** was lower (Table 3, entry 2). With 4,7-diphenyl-1,10-phenanthroline the



^aThe reaction was carried out using 0.3 mmol of **1a**, 20 mol % of CuCl, 20 mol % of phen, 20 mol % of DBAD, and 2.0 equiv of base in 3 mL of toluene under 1 atm of oxygen unless otherwise stated. ^{b1}H NMR yield using CH₂Br₂ as the internal standard. ^{c1}.0 equiv K₂CO₃ was used. ^dIsolated yield. ^e50% of **1a** was recovered as determined by ¹H NMR analysis. ^{f1}5 mol % of catalyst was used. ^{g32%} of **1a** was recovered by column chromatography. ^{h53%} of **1a** was necevered as determined by ¹H NMR analysis. ⁱ¹⁰⁰ mg of 3 Å MS and 20 mol % of KOH was used. ^{j28%} of **1a** was recovered as determined by ¹H NMR analysis. ^{k70%} of **1a** was recovered as determined by ¹H NMR analysis. ^{l72%} of **1a** was recovered by column chromatography.

Table 2: Screening of solvents for the CuCl-catalyzed oxidation of 1a^a.

entry solvent time (h) yield of $2a$ (%) 1 toluene 40 59^b 2 CH ₃ CN 48 NR ^c 3 DCE 47 31^d 4 CHCl ₃ 47 20^e 5 DME 47 NR ^f	=•= H ⁱ 1	n-Hex Ph O	$\begin{array}{c} 20 \text{ mol }\% \text{ CuCl} \\ 20 \text{ mol }\% \text{ phen} \\ \hline 20 \text{ mol }\% \text{ DBAD} \\ 1.0 \text{ equiv } \text{K}_2\text{CO}_3 \\ \text{O}_2 \text{ (1 atm)} \\ \text{solvent, rt} \end{array}$	$= \bullet = \bigvee_{\substack{n-\text{Hex} \\ O}}^{n-\text{Hex}} Ph$ 2a
	entry	solvent	time (h)	yield of 2a (%)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	toluene	40	59 ^b
3 DCE 47 31 ^d 4 CHCl ₃ 47 20 ^e 5 DME 47 NR ^f	2	CH ₃ CN	48	NR ^c
4 CHCl ₃ 47 20 ^e 5 DMF 47 NR ^f	3	DCE	47	31 ^d
5 DMF 47 NR ^f	4	CHCI3	47	20 ^e
	5	DMF	47	NR ^f

^aThe reaction was carried out using 0.3 mmol of **1a**, 20 mol % of CuCl, 20 mol % of phen, 20 mol % of DBAD, and 1.0 equiv of K_2CO_3 in 3 mL of solvent under 1 atm of oxygen. ^bIsolated yield. ^c64% of **1a** was recovered as determined by ¹H NMR analysis. ^{d1}H NMR yield using CH₂Br₂ as the internal standard. ^e78% of **1a** was recovered as determined by ¹H NMR analysis. ^f76% of **1a** was recovered as determined by ¹H NMR analysis.

vield was slightly improved to 66% (Table 3, entry 3). These experimental results obviously indicated that the CuClcatalyzed oxidation of allenic alcohol was influenced by the coordinating ability of nitrogen ligands. Consequently, we carried out the reaction with a mixture of a stronger coordinating ligand together with a relatively weaker coordinating ligand. Indeed, it was interesting to observe that when 4,7diphenyl-1,10-phenanthroline and 2,2'-bipyridine were mixed in the ratio of 1:1 [37,38], the isolated yield was improved to 82% (Table 3, entry 6). The yield with 1,10-phenanthroline and 2,2'bipyridine (1:1) was 83% (Table 3, entry 10). However, 4,7diphenyl-1,10-phenanthroline is relatively expensive (1 g, \$ 94, Aldrich), so the cheaper 1,10-phenanthroline (5 g, \$ 26.4, Aldrich) was used for further study. The effect of ratio of 1,10phenanthroline vs 2,2'-bipyridine on the yield was also studied: A ratio of 1:1 proved to be the best (Table 3, entries 7–12). This may be explained by considering that the coordination of 2,2'bipyridine is important for the formation of the catalytically active species and may be easily replaced with that of the alcohol. We also tried N-methylimidazole (NMI), which was used in oxidation of primary aliphatic alcohols reported by Markó et al. [13], however, both the turnover and yield were low (Table 3, entry 14). Both 2,9-dimethyl-4,7-diphenyl-1,10phenanthroline and iPr-Pybox were ineffective in this reaction (Table 3, entries 4 and 5).

Some other Cu(I) catalysts, such as CuBr, CuI, and CuCN were also investigated, but no higher yield was achieved (Table 4). Further studies led to the observation that air (300 psi, 35 °C (oil bath)) could be used instead of pure oxygen



^aThe reaction was carried out using 0.3 mmol of **1a**, 20 mol % of CuCl, 20 mol % of nitrogen ligand, 20 mol % of DBAD, and 1.0 equiv of K₂CO₃ in 3 mL of toluene under 1 atm of oxygen. ^{b1}H NMR yields determined by 300 MHz, ¹H NMR analysis using CH₂Br₂ as the internal standard. ^c52% of **1a** was recovered as determined by ¹H NMR analysis. ^d87% of **1a** was recovered as determined by ¹H NMR analysis. ^e82% of **1a** was recovered as determined by ¹H NMR analysis. ^fThe reaction was carried out using 0.5 mmol of **1a**, 5 mol % of CuCl, 5 mol % of *t*-BuOK, 5 mol % of DBAD and the indicated ligands in 5 mL of C₆H₅F at 70 °C under 1 atm of oxygen. 52% of **1a** was recovered as determined by ¹H NMR analysis.

(1 atm, 15–24 °C) to shorten the reaction time from 40 to 10 hours and the yield was similar (86%) (Table 5, entry 1). Thus, 20 mol % of CuCl, 10 mol % of 1,10-phenanthroline,

10 mol % of 2,2'-bipyridine and 50 mol % of K_2CO_3 in toluene with air (300 psi, 35 °C) as the oxidant were defined as the standard conditions.

Under the standard conditions a series of 1-aryl-2,3-allenols were oxidized to the corresponding 1,2-allenic aryl ketones: A *para*-nitro group led to a 63% yield of **2e** (Table 5, entry 5); heteroaryl groups such as furanyl and thienyl were also tolerated under the reaction conditions, affording the corresponding allenic ketones **2f** and **2g** in 61% and 73% yields, respectively (Table 5, entries 6 and 7), whilst the reaction of 1-naphthyl-substituted **1h** afforded **2h** in 74% yield (Table 5, entry 8). Trisubstituted allenic alcohol **1j** was also oxidized to the corresponding allenic ketone **2j** in 91% yield (Table 5, entry 10).



The reaction may be easily carried out on a 1 g scale: the oxidation of allenol **1k** afforded the corresponding allenic ketone **2k** in 74% yield in 12 hours with just 10 mol % of CuCl and 5 mol % each of 1,10-phenanthroline and 2,2'-bipyridine (Scheme 1).



When the reaction of 1-alkyl-substituted-2,3-allenols oxidation was conducted under 1 atm of oxygen at 60 °C, 81% of conversion was observed and the corresponding allenic ketones **21** and **2m** were obtained in 58% and 60% isolated yields (72% and 74% based on the starting material consumed), respectively (Scheme 2). As a comparison, it should be noted that when **11** was oxidized with air (300 psi, 60 °C), the allenic ketone **21** was formed in 43% ¹H NMR yield with 73% conversion of **11** within 10 hours.

Table 5: The CuCI-catalyzed oxidation of allenic alcohols using air as the oxidant^a. 20 mol % CuCl 10 mol % phen 10 mol % bpy 20 mol % DBAD 0.5 equiv K₂CO₃ HC air (300 psi) toluene, 35 °C entry substrate time (h) yield (%)^b R^1 R^2 R³ 1 Ph n-C₆H₁₃ H (1a) 10 86 (2a) 2 p-EtC₆H₄ *n-*Pr H (1b) 10 83 (2b) 3 p-BrC₆H₄ *n-*Pr H (1c) 6 80 (2c) 4 p-CIC₆H₄ n-C₆H₁₃ H (1d) 6 78 (2d) 5 p-O2NC6H4 n-C₆H₁₃ H (1e) 6 63 (2e) 6 3-furanyl 11 61 (2f) n-C₆H₁₃ H (1f) 7 3-thienyl n-C5H11 H (1g) 85 73 (2g) 1-naphthyl 8 H (1h) 11 74 (2h) Me 9 Ph allyl H (1i) 11 75 (2i) 10 Ph Bu *n*-C₅H₁₁ (1j) 11 91 (2j)

^aThe reaction was carried out using 0.3 mmol of **1**, 20 mol % of CuCl, 10 mol % of phen, 10 mol % of bpy, 20 mol % of DBAD, and 0.5 equiv of K₂CO₃ in 3 mL of toluene, air (300 psi, 35 °C (oil bath)). ^bIsolated yields.



Conclusion

In conclusion, we have developed a method for the aerobic oxidation of 2,3-allenols, which uses molecular oxygen in air or pure oxygen as the oxidant. In this reaction, CuCl with a 1:1 ratio of 1,10-phenanthroline and bipyridine was used as the catalyst to provide the best results. A series of 1,2-allenic ketones were obtained in moderate to good yields under mild conditions. Compared to the traditional monoligand approach, allenols are obviously unique demanding a mixed ligands approach for better yields probably as a consequence of the coordinating ability of the allene moiety. Further study in this area is being pursued in this laboratory.

Experimental General experimental methods for starting materials

The starting allenols **1a–e**, **1i**, **1k**, **1l**, **1m** were prepared via the reaction of propargyl bromides and corresponding aldehydes in the presence of SnCl₂ and NaI in DMF [39,40]; allenols **1f** [41], **1g** [42], **1h** [43], and **1j** [44] were prepared as reported. These starting allenols were purified by flash chromatography before use.

General experimental procedure for the aerobic oxidation of allenic alcohols 2-Hexyl-1-phenylbuta-2,3-dien-1-one (**2a**)

Typical procedure: 1,10-phenanthroline (5.5 mg, 0.03 mmol), 2,2'-bipyridine (4.7 mg, 0.03 mmol), CuCl (5.9 mg, 0.06 mmol), K_2CO_3 (20.6 mg, 0.15 mmol), and 1.5 mL of dry toluene were added successively into an oven dried reaction vessel (sealed with a stopper to isolate the contents from atmospheric moisture). The resulting mixture was stirred at rt for 0.5 h. Then the stopper was removed to add DBAD (13.7 mg,

0.06 mmol), 2-hexyl-1-phenylbuta-2,3-dien-1-ol (69.6 mg, 0.3 mmol), and 1.5 mL of dry toluene. The reaction vessel was then transferred to an autoclave, which was charged with air to a pressure of 300 psi, and stirred at 35 °C (oil bath). After 10 h, the pressure was carefully released in the hood, the mixture filtered through a short column of silica gel (100–140 mesh) and washed with diethyl ether. Evaporation of the solvent and flash chromatography on silica gel (eluent: petroleum ether/ diethyl ether = 30:1) afforded **2a** (59.3 mg, 86%): liquid; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.76 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.49 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.39 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 5.04 (t, J = 2.7 Hz, 2H), 2.46-2.35 (m, 2H), 1.58-1.45 (m, 2H), 1.45-1.20 (m, 6H), 0.89 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 217.0, 194.9, 138.3, 131.9, 129.0, 127.8, 106.9, 79.3, 31.6, 28.9, 27.8, 22.6, 14.0 ppm; MS (*m*/*z*) 228 (M⁺, 7.25), 105 (100); IR (neat) 2927, 2857, 1933, 1653, 1599, 1450, 1312, 1273 cm⁻¹; HRMS-EI (m/z) calcd for C₁₆H₂₀O⁺ [M⁺]: 228.1514; found: 228.1517.

2-Propyl-1-(4-ethylphenyl)buta-2,3-dien-1-one (2b)

The reaction of 1,10-phenanthroline (5.3 mg, 0.03 mmol), 2,2'bipyridine (4.6 mg, 0.03 mmol), CuCl (5.9 mg, 0.06 mmol), K₂CO₃ (20.8 mg, 0.15 mmol), dry toluene (1.5 mL), DBAD (13.7 mg, 0.06 mmol), and 2-propyl-1-(4-ethylphenyl)buta-2,3dien-1-ol (64.7 mg, 0.3 mmol)/dry toluene (1.5 mL) afforded **2b** (53.0 mg, 83%): liquid; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.73 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.22 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 5.05 (t, J = 2.9 Hz, 2H), 2.69 (q, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 2.44–2.32 (m, 2H), 1.63–1.45 (m, 2H), 1.25 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H), 0.99 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 216.5, 194.4, 148.8, 135.8, 129.3, 127.3, 106.5, 79.1, 30.1, 28.8, 21.1, 15.1, 13.7 ppm; MS (m/z) 214 (M⁺, 1.75), 133 (100); IR (neat) 2964, 2931, 2872, 1933, 1650, 1607, 1458, 1414, 1273, 1182, 1058 cm⁻¹; HRMS-EI (m/z) calcd for C₁₅H₁₈O⁺ [M⁺]: 214.1358; found: 214.1360.

2-Propyl-1-(4-bromophenyl)buta-2,3-dien-1-one (2c) The reaction of 1,10-phenanthroline (5.4 mg, 0.03 mmol), 2,2'bipyridine (4.6 mg, 0.03 mmol), CuCl (5.9 mg, 0.06 mmol), K₂CO₃ (20.6 mg, 0.15 mmol), dry toluene (1.5 mL), DBAD (13.8 mg, 0.06 mmol), and 2-propyl-1-(4-bromophenyl)buta-2,3-dien-1-ol (80.3 mg, 0.3 mmol)/dry toluene (1.5 mL) afforded **2c** (64.1 mg, 80%): liquid; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.62 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.52 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 5.07 (t, J = 2.9 Hz, 2H), 2.41–2.30 (m, 2H), 1.60–1.45 (m, 2H), 0.97 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 217.0, 193.7, 137.0, 131.1, 130.5, 126.7, 106.7, 79.7, 29.7, 21.1, 13.7 ppm; MS (m/z) 266 (M⁺ (⁸¹Br), 1.68), 264 (M⁺ (⁷⁹Br), 1.76), 185 (100); IR (neat) 2961, 2929, 2870, 1931, 1653, 1585, 1458, 1391, 1270, 1071, 1010 cm⁻¹; HRMS-EI (m/z) calcd for C₁₃H₁₃O⁸¹Br⁺ [M⁺]: 266.0129; found: 266.0136.

2-Hexyl-1-(4-chlorophenyl)buta-2,3-dien-1-one (2d)

The reaction of 1,10-phenanthroline (5.5 mg, 0.03 mmol), 2,2'bipyridine (4.8 mg, 0.03 mmol), CuCl (6.0 mg, 0.06 mmol), K₂CO₃ (20.9 mg, 0.15 mmol), dry toluene (1.5 mL), DBAD (13.8 mg, 0.06 mmol), and 2-hexyl-1-(4chlorophenyl)buta-2,3-dien-1-ol (79.6 mg, 0.3 mmol)/dry toluene (1.5 mL) afforded **2d** (62.0 mg, 78%): liquid; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.70 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.35 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 5.06 (t, *J* = 2.6 Hz, 2H), 2.43–2.32 (m, 2H), 1.55–1.42 (m, 2H), 1.43–1.18 (m, 6H), 0.88 (t, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 3H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 217.0, 193.6, 138.2, 136.6, 130.4, 128.1, 106.9, 79.6, 31.6, 28.9, 27.7, 22.5, 14.0 ppm; MS (*m/z*) 264 (M⁺ (³⁷Cl), 0.76), 262 (M⁺ (³⁵Cl), 2.08), 139 (100); IR (neat) 2927, 2857, 1931, 1654, 1590, 1460, 1397, 1274, 1091 cm⁻¹; HRMS-EI (*m/z*) calcd for C₁₆H₁₉O³⁵Cl⁺ [M⁺]: 262.1124; found: 262.1130.

2-Hexyl-1-(4'-nitrophenyl)buta-2,3-dien-1-one (2e)

The reaction of 1,10-phenanthroline (5.5 mg, 0.03 mmol), 2,2'bipyridine (4.7 mg, 0.03 mmol), CuCl (5.9 mg, 0.06 mmol), K_2CO_3 (20.8 mg, 0.15 mmol), dry toluene (1.5 mL), DBAD (13.9 mg, 0.06 mmol), and 2-hexyl-1-(4-nitrophenyl)buta-2,3dien-1-ol (82.7 mg, 0.3 mmol)/dry toluene (1.5 mL) afforded **2e** (51.4 mg, 63%) (eluent: petroleum ether/diethyl ether = 20:1): liquid; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.24 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.84 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 5.12 (t, *J* = 2.9 Hz, 2H), 2.44–2.33 (m, 2H), 1.57–1.44 (m, 2H), 1.44–1.20 (m, 6H), 0.89 (t, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 3H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 218.0, 193.4, 149.4, 143.6, 129.7, 123.1, 107.6, 80.4, 31.5, 28.8, 27.7, 27.3, 22.5, 14.0 ppm; MS (*m*/*z*) 273 (M⁺, 2.56), 150 (100); IR (neat) 2927, 2857, 1930, 1660, 1602, 1526, 1461, 1349, 1272, 1104, 1011 cm⁻¹; HRMS-EI (*m*/*z*) calcd for C₁₆H₁₉NO₃⁺ [M⁺]: 273.1365; found: 273.1367.

2-Hexyl-1-(3-furanyl)buta-2,3-dien-1-one (2f)

The reaction of 1,10-phenanthroline (5.4 mg, 0.03 mmol), 2,2'bipyridine (4.8 mg, 0.03 mmol), CuCl (6.2 mg, 0.06 mmol), K₂CO₃ (21.3 mg, 0.15 mmol), dry toluene (1.5 mL), DBAD (13.6 mg, 0.06 mmol), and 2-hexyl-1-(3-furanyl)buta-2,3-dien-1-ol (66.2 mg, 0.3 mmol)/dry toluene (1.5 mL) afforded **2f** (40.4 mg, 61%): liquid; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.10 (s, 1H), 7.37 (s, 1H), 6.81 (d, *J* = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 5.21 (t, *J* = 2.9 Hz, 2H), 2.39–2.27 (m, 2 H), 1.52–1.38 (m, 2H), 1.38–1.18 (m, 6H), 0.87 (t, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 3H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 215.8, 186.4, 147.4, 143.0, 126.7, 110.0, 108.1, 80.0, 31.6, 28.9, 27.8, 27.6, 22.6, 14.1 ppm; MS (*m*/*z*) 218 (M⁺, 3.49), 95 (100); IR (neat) 2956, 2928, 2857, 1933, 1724, 1645, 1561, 1509, 1458, 1379, 1311, 1163, 1077, 1009 cm⁻¹; HRMS-EI (*m*/*z*) calcd for C₁₄H₁₈O₂⁺ [M⁺]: 218.1307; found: 218.1305.

2-Pentyl-1-(3-thienyl)buta-2,3-dien-1-one (2g)

The reaction of 1,10-phenanthroline (5.5 mg, 0.03 mmol), 2,2'bipyridine (4.8 mg, 0.03 mmol), CuCl (5.9 mg, 0.06 mmol), K₂CO₃ (20.9 mg, 0.15 mmol), dry toluene (1.5 mL), DBAD (13.7 mg, 0.06 mmol), and 2-pentyl-1-(3-thienyl)buta-2,3-dien-1-ol (66.4 mg, 0.3 mmol)/dry toluene (1.5 mL) afforded **2g** (48.3 mg, 73%) (eluent: petroleum ether/diethyl ether = 50:1): liquid; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.07 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H) 7.53 (d, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 7.25 (dd, *J*₁ = 4.8 Hz, *J*₂ = 3.3 Hz, 1H), 5.16 (d, *J* = 2.7 Hz, 2H), 2.41–2.32 (m, 2H), 1.56–1.42 (m, 2H), 1.42–1.24 (m, 4H), 0.90 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 3H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 216.0, 187.1, 141.5, 132.2, 128.2, 125.1, 107.6, 79.6, 31.4, 27.9, 27.5, 22.4, 14.0 ppm; MS (*m*/*z*) 220 (M⁺, 3.02), 111 (100); IR (neat) 2956, 2927, 2861, 1933, 1641, 1511, 1460, 1411, 1260, 1082 cm⁻¹; HRMS-EI (*m*/*z*) calcd for C₁₃H₁₆OS⁺ [M⁺]: 220.0922; found: 220.0922.

2-Methyl-1-(1-naphthyl)buta-2,3-dien-1-one (2h)

The reaction of 1,10-phenanthroline (5.5 mg, 0.03 mmol), 2,2'bipyridine (4.8 mg, 0.03 mmol), CuCl (6.2 mg, 0.06 mmol), K₂CO₃ (21.4 mg, 0.15 mmol), dry toluene (1.5 mL), DBAD (13.5 mg, 0.06 mmol), and 2-methyl-1-naphthylbuta-2,3-dien-1ol (63.6 mg, 0.3 mmol)/dry toluene (1.5 mL) afforded 2h (47.2 mg, 74%, an unknown substance could not be separated via column chromatography and the purity of **2h** is 95%, which was determined by ¹H NMR with mesitylene as the internal standard): liquid; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.11-8.03 (m, 1H), 7.95–7.81 (m, 2H), 7.61–7.39 (m, 4H), 4.80 (q, J = 2.8 Hz, 2H), 2.11 (t, J = 2.7 Hz, 3H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl₃) & 218.6, 197.5, 136.8, 133.5, 130.6, 130.4, 128.2, 126.9, 126.5, 126.1, 125.3, 123.9, 104.8, 78.2, 13.8 ppm; MS (m/z) 208 (M⁺, 63.16), 155 (100); IR (neat) 3059, 1957, 1930, 1650, 1508, 1285, 1251, 1204, 1155, 1080, 1059 cm⁻¹; HRMS-EI (m/z) calcd for C₁₅H₁₂O [M⁺]: 208.0888; found: 208.0887.

2-Allyl-1-phenylbuta-2,3-dien-1-one (2i)

The reaction of 1,10-phenanthroline (5.4 mg, 0.03 mmol), 2,2'bipyridine (4.6 mg, 0.03 mmol), CuCl (6.1 mg, 0.06 mmol), K₂CO₃ (21.5 mg, 0.15 mmol), dry toluene (1.5 mL), DBAD (13.7 mg, 0.06 mmol), and 2-allyl-1-phenylbuta-2,3-dien-1-ol (55.1 mg, 0.3 mmol)/dry toluene (1.5 mL) afforded **2i** (41.1 mg, 75%) (eluent: petroleum ether/diethyl ether = 40:1): liquid; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.78 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.50 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.39 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 5.98–5.82 (m, 1H), 5.22–5.04 (m, 4H), 3.20–3.14 (m, 2H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 217.2, 194.1, 138.0, 134.9, 132.1, 129.0, 127.8, 116.4, 105.3, 79.8, 32.5 ppm; MS (*m*/*z*) 184 (M⁺, 2.53), 105 (100); IR (neat) 3081, 3062, 2982, 1956, 1931, 1651, 1598, 1578, 1447, 1422, 1316, 1272 cm⁻¹; HRMS-EI (*m*/*z*) calcd for C₁₃H₁₂O [M⁺]: 184.0888; found: 184.0889.

2-Butyl-1-phenylnona-2,3-dien-1-one (2j)

The reaction of 1,10-phenanthroline (5.6 mg, 0.03 mmol), 2,2'bipyridine (4.9 mg, 0.03 mmol), CuCl (6.2 mg, 0.06 mmol), K₂CO₃ (21.5 mg, 0.15 mmol), dry toluene (1.5 mL), DBAD (14.1 mg, 0.06 mmol), and 2-butyl-1-phenylnona-2,3-dien-1-ol (82.2 mg, 0.3 mmol)/dry toluene (1.5 mL) afforded **2j** [43] (74.8 mg, 91%): liquid; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.71 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.50–7.42 (m, 1H), 7.40–7.32 (m, 2H), 5.36 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.48–2.30 (m, 2H), 2.16–1.96 (m, 2H), 1.55–1.11 (m, 10H), 0.93 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 0.84 (t, J =7.2 Hz, 3H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 213.3, 195.7, 138.9, 131.5, 128.8, 127.6, 107.4, 95.0, 31.1, 30.2, 28.6, 28.4, 27.8, 22.31, 22.29, 13.89, 13.86 ppm.

2-Propyl-1-phenylbuta-2,3-dien-1-one (2k)

The reaction of 1,10-phenanthroline (49.1 mg, 0.27 mmol), 2,2'bipyridine (42.6 mg, 0.27 mmol), CuCl (54.2 mg, 0.54 mmol), K_2CO_3 (373.8 mg, 2.7 mmol), dry toluene (9 mL), DBAD (124.4 mg, 0.54 mmol), and 2-propyl-1-phenylbuta-2,3-dien-1ol (1.0141 g, 5.4 mmol)/dry toluene (9 mL) afforded **2k** (0.7512 g, 74%): liquid; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.76 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.50 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.39 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 5.05 (s, 2H), 2.39 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.62–1.46 (m, 2H), 0.99 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 217.1, 194.9, 138.3, 131.9, 129.0, 127.8, 106.7, 79.3, 29.9, 21.1, 13.7 ppm; MS (*m*/*z*) 186 (M⁺, 6.46), 105 (100); IR (neat) 2961, 2932, 2872, 1933, 1651, 1598, 1578, 1447, 1315, 1271 cm⁻¹; HRMS-EI (*m*/*z*) calcd for C₁₃H₁₄O⁺ [M⁺]: 186.1045; found: 186.1045.

General experimental procedure for the oxidation of allenic alcohols with pure oxygen 3-Hexylocta-1,2-dien-4-one (**2I**)

Typical procedure: 1,10-phenanthroline (6.9 mg, 0.0375 mmol), 2,2'-bipyridine (5.8 mg, 0.0375 mmol), CuCl (5.9 mg, 0.06 mmol), and K₂CO₃ (20.9 mg, 0.15 mmol) were added sequentially to an oven dried Schlenk tube, which was purged with air and refilled with oxygen (twice). Then 1.5 mL of dry toluene was added, the resulting mixture was stirred at rt for 0.5 h which was followed by the sequential addition of DBAD (14.0 mg, 0.06 mmol), 2-hexyl-1-butylbuta-2,3-dien-1-ol (63.8 mg, 0.3 mmol) and 1.5 mL of dry toluene. After stirring at 60 °C for 24 h, the reaction mixture was filtered through silica gel (100-140 mesh) and washed with diethyl ether. Evaporation of the solvent and flash chromatography on silica gel (eluent: petroleum ether/ether = 50:1) afforded 2l (37.1 mg, 58%) (conv. = 81%, yield = 72% (based on the alcohol consumed)): liquid; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.14 (d, J = 2.7 Hz, 2H), 2.62 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 2.18–2.09 (m, 2H), 1.60-1.47 (m, 2H), 1.42-1.18 (m, 10H), 0.92-0.78 (m, 6H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 216.2, 201.3, 108.5, 79.3, 38.9, 31.6, 28.8, 27.8, 27.2, 26.2, 22.5, 22.3, 14.0, 13.8 ppm; MS (*m/z*) 208 (M⁺, 1.00), 85 (100); IR (neat) 2958, 2929, 2862, 1934, 1679, 1461, 1174 cm⁻¹; HRMS-EI (*m/z*) calcd for C₁₄H₂₄O⁺ [M⁺]: 208.1827; found: 208.1828.

4-Pentyl-1-phenylhexa-4,5-dien-3-one (2m)

The reaction of 1,10-phenanthroline (6.9 mg, 0.0375 mmol), 2,2'-bipyridine (5.9 mg, 0.0375 mmol), CuCl (6.1 mg, 0.06 mmol), K₂CO₃ (21.4 mg, 0.15 mmol), dry toluene (1.5 mL), DBAD (13.9 mg, 0.06 mmol), and 2-pentyl-1-(phenylethyl)buta-2,3-dien-1-ol (72.7 mg, 0.3 mmol)/dry toluene (1.5 mL) afforded **2m** (43.8 mg, 60%) (conv. = 81%, yield = 74% (based on the alcohol consumed)): liquid; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.31–7.22 (m, 2H), 7.22–7.13 (m, 3H), 5.13 (s, 2 H), 3.02–2.85 (m, 4H), 2.22–2.10 (m, 2H), 1.45–1.20 (m, 6H), 0.88 (t, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 3H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 216.3, 200.1, 141.3, 128.3, 126.0, 108.6, 79.7, 40.9, 31.4, 30.9, 27.4, 26.1, 22.4, 14.0 ppm; MS (*m*/*z*) 242 (M⁺, 0.87), 105 (100); IR (neat) 2956, 2928, 2861, 1933, 1678, 1496, 1456, 1171, 1100 cm⁻¹; Anal. calcd for C₁₇H₂₂O: C, 84.25; H, 9.15. found: C, 84.16; H, 9.50.

Supporting Information

Supporting Information File 1 ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of products prepared. [http://www.beilstein-journals.org/bjoc/content/ supplementary/1860-5397-7-51-S1.pdf]

Acknowledgements

Financial support from the National Basic Research Program of China (2009CB825300) is greatly appreciated.

References

- Sheldon, R. A.; Kochi, J. K. Metal-Catalyzed Oxidations of Organic Compounds; Academic Press: New York, 1981.
- Trost, B. M.; Fleming, I.; Ley, S. V. Comprehensive Organic Synthesis; Pergamon: Oxford, 1991; Vol. 7.
- 3. Fatiadi, A. J. Synthesis 1976, 65. doi:10.1055/s-1976-23961
- Cainelli, G.; Cardillo, G. Chromium Oxidations in Organic Chemistry; Springer: Berlin, 1984.
- Anastas, P.; Warner, J. Green Cemistry: Theory and Practice; Oxford University Press: Oxford, 1998.
- Blackburn, T. F.; Schwartz, J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1977, 157. doi:10.1039/C39770000157
- Peterson, K. P.; Larock, R. C. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 3185. doi:10.1021/jo971268k
- Nishimura, T.; Onoue, T.; Ohe, K.; Uemura, S. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 6750. doi:10.1021/jo9906734
- ten Brink, G. J.; Arends, I. W. C. E.; Sheldon, R. A. Science 2000, 287, 1636. doi:10.1126/science.287.5458.1636

- 10. Muzart, J. *Tetrahedron* **2003**, *59*, 5789. doi:10.1016/S0040-4020(03)00866-4 For a review.
- Semmelhack, M. F.; Schmid, C. R.; Cortés, D. A.; Chou, C. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 3374. doi:10.1021/ja00323a064
- Markó, I. E.; Giles, P. R.; Tsukazaki, M.; Brown, S. M.; Urch, C. J. Science 1996, 274, 2044. doi:10.1126/science.274.5295.2044
- Markó, I. E.; Gautier, A.; Dumeunier, R.; Doda, K.; Philippart, F.; Brown, S. M.; Urch, C. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 1588. doi:10.1002/anie.200353458
- 14. Sheldon, R. A.; Arends, I. W. C. E.; ten Brink, G. J.; Dijksman, A. Acc. Chem. Res. 2002, 35, 774. doi:10.1021/ar010075n
- 15. Zhan, B.; Thompson, A. *Tetrahedron* **2004**, *60*, 2917. doi:10.1016/j.tet.2004.01.043
- Marshall, J. A.; Robinson, E. D. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 3450. doi:10.1021/jo00298a012
- Mashall, J. A.; Wang, X. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 960. doi:10.1021/jo00003a013
- 18. Hashmi, A. S. K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1995, 34, 1581. doi:10.1002/anie.199515811
- Hashmi, A. S. K.; Schwarz, L.; Choi, J. H.; Frost, T. M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2000, 39, 2285. doi:10.1002/1521-3773(20000703)39:13<2285::AID-ANIE2285>3.0.CO ;2-F
- 20. Ma, S.; Zhang, J. Chem. Commun. 2000, 117. doi:10.1039/a908627g
- 21. Ma, S.; Yin, S.; Li, L.; Tao, F. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 505. doi:10.1021/ol0170859
- 22. Ma, S.; Yu, Z. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2002**, *41*, 1775. doi:10.1002/1521-3773(20020517)41:10<1775::AID-ANIE1775>3.0.CO ;2-8
- 23. Ma, S.; Zhang, J.; Lu, L. Chem.–Eur. J. 2003, 9, 2447. doi:10.1002/chem.200305341
- 24. Ma, S.; Yu, S.; Yin, S. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 8996. doi:10.1021/jo034633i
- 25. Ma, S.; Yu, Z. *Chem.–Eur. J.* **2004**, *10*, 2078. doi:10.1002/chem.200305341
- 26. Ma, S.; Yu, S. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 5063. doi:10.1021/ol052073z
- 27. Ma, S.; Gu, Z.; Yu, Z. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 6291. doi:10.1021/jo0507441
- Sromek, A. W.; Rubina, M.; Gevorgyan, V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 10500. doi:10.1021/ja053290y
- Dudnik, A. S.; Gevorgyan, V. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 5195. doi:10.1002/anie.200701128
- Marx, V. M.; Burnell, D. J. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 1229. doi:10.1021/ol900029d
- 31. Alcaide, B.; Almendros, P.; Martínez del Campo, T. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 2844. doi:10.1002/ejoc.200700128
- Sampath, M.; Loh, T.-P. Chem. Commun. 2009, 1568. doi:10.1039/b819959k
- 33. Zhao, J.; Loh, T.-P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 7232. doi:10.1002/anie.200902471
- 34. Russell, S. W.; Weedon, B. C. L. J. Chem. Soc. D 1969, 85. doi:10.1039/C2969000085
- 35. Landor, P. D.; Landor, S. R.; Mukasa, S. J. Chem. Soc. D 1971, 1638. doi:10.1039/C29710001638
- Rannulu, N. S.; Rodgers, M. T. J. Phys. Chem. A 2007, 111, 3465. doi:10.1021/jp066903h
- 37. Reetz, M. T.; Li, X. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 2962. doi:10.1002/anie.200462613

- Reetz, M. T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 2556. doi:10.1002/anie.200704327
- 39. Li, J.; Zhou, C.; Fu, C.; Ma, S. Tetrahedron 2009, 65, 3695. doi:10.1016/j.tet.2009.02.061
- 40. Mukaiyama, T.; Harada, T. *Chem. Lett.* **1981**, *10*, 621. doi:10.1246/cl.1981.621
- Alcaide, B.; Almendros, P.; Aragoncillo, C.; Redondo, M. C. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 98. doi:10.1002/ejoc.200400527
- 42. Yang, Y.; Shen, Z.; Loh, T.-P. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 1209. doi:10.1021/ol8027362
- 43. Isaac, M. B.; Chan, T. H. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1995, 1003. doi:10.1039/C39950001003
- 44. Ma, S.; Yu, S.; Yin, S. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 8996. doi:10.1021/jo034633i

License and Terms

This is an Open Access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0</u>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The license is subject to the *Beilstein Journal of Organic Chemistry* terms and conditions: (http://www.beilstein-journals.org/bjoc)

The definitive version of this article is the electronic one which can be found at: doi:10.3762/bjoc.7.51