

Open Access

Synthesis of conformationally restricted glutamate and glutamine derivatives from carbonylation of orthopalladated phenylglycine derivatives

Esteban P. Urriolabeitia*, Eduardo Laga and Carlos Cativiela

Full Research Paper

Address:

Instituto de Síntesis Química y Catálisis Homogénea, CSIC - Universidad de Zaragoza, Pedro Cerbuna 12, 50009 Zaragoza, Spain

Email:

Esteban P. Urriolabeitia* - esteban@unizar.es

* Corresponding author

Keywords:

C–H functionalization; carbonylation; glutamic acid; glutamide; palladium; phenylglycine

Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2012, 8, 1569-1575.

doi:10.3762/bjoc.8.179

Received: 25 June 2012 Accepted: 16 August 2012 Published: 18 September 2012

This article is part of the Thematic Series: "C-H Functionalization".

Guest Editor: H. M. L. Davies

© 2012 Urriolabeitia et al; licensee Beilstein-Institut. License and terms: see end of document.

Abstract

A new method for the regioselective synthesis of 2-alkoxycarbonyl- and 2-(aminocarbonyl)phenylglycinate methyl esters has been developed. The reaction of the orthopalladated complex $[Pd(\mu-Cl)(C_6H_4(CH(CO_2Me)NMe_2)-2)]_2$ (1) with nucleophiles HNu under a CO atmosphere results in the selective incorporation of the C(O)Nu moiety to the phenyl ring and formation of the carbonyl species $ortho-C_6H_4(C(O)Nu)(CH(CO_2Me)NMe_2)$ (2a–j) (Nu = OR, NHR, NR₂). Compounds 2a–j are conformationally restricted analogues of glutamic acid and glutamine and are interesting due to their biological and pharmacological properties. The reaction of $[Pd(\mu-Cl)(C_6H_4(CH(CO_2Me)NHTf)-2)]_2$ (3) with nucleophiles in a CO atmosphere results, however, in the formation of the cyclic isoindolinone or the open 2-carboxyphenylglycine methyl esters, with the reaction outcome being driven by the choice of the solvent.

Introduction

The selective functionalization of organic molecules is, at the present time, one of the most developed areas of organic and organometallic chemistry. Several factors have contributed to this spectacular growth. The main one is the use of transition metals, such as Rh, Ru, Pd, Pt or Au, with the capability of activating and breaking C-H bonds and, thus, transforming the inert C-H unit into the reactive C-M group (M = transition metal) [1-3]. In addition, the introduction of the concept of a "directing group" enables the attack of the metal on a unique

position [4], therefore affording highly selective processes and avoiding the obtainment of unwanted isomers.

Probably the aspect of this method of synthesis with the greatest impact is the oxidative coupling of two C–H bonds to give a new C–C bond, because it avoids the use of prefunctionalized substrates, minimizes the amount of waste generated during the reaction and, in general, allows for the reactions to occur under mild conditions and tolerates a variety of functional groups

[5-10]. This is advantageous when reactive or sensitive fragments are present in the molecular scaffold.

We are interested in the regioselective functionalization of α -amino acids [11-13], due to the extraordinary interest in these delicate molecules as building blocks of peptides and proteins, and because of their relevant biological activity. In this context, we have recently reported C–H bond activation processes on a variety of arylglycines substituted at the phenyl ring, and the corresponding synthesis of a new family of orthopalladated complexes [12]. The carbonylation of these compounds allows for a general synthesis of methyl (1*H*)-isoindolin-1-one-3-carboxylates under very mild reaction conditions, regardless of whether the substituents at the aryl ring R_n are electron-withdrawing or electron-releasing. This method, shown in Scheme 1, represents a real synthetic alternative to other classical preparative pathways [12].

Scheme 1: Synthesis of methyl (1*H*)-isoindolin-1-one-3-carboxylates by carbonylation of phenylglycine derivatives [12].

With the aim of expanding the scope of application of this method, we report in this paper the results obtained when other functional groups on the same starting material (methyl phenylglycinate) are changed. In particular, we have detected that the presence of different types of substituents at the nitrogen atom has a critical effect on the final outcome of the reaction and that, instead of the expected (1*H*)-isoindolin-1-ones, conformationally restricted glutamines and glutamates can be obtained. The undoubted importance of conformationally constrained

aminoacids is based on the fact that their incorporation into peptides constitutes a very useful strategy to reduce their flexibility and retard enzymatic degradation. Moreover, these restricted amino acids can stabilize particular conformational features, which may lead to improvements in the biological potency if the bioactive conformation is tethered [14-16].

Results and Discussion

Synthesis of new orthopalladated derivatives

Two phenylglycinate derivatives have been used as starting materials, one of them containing a sterically hindered N atom, protected by two methyl groups, namely $[C_6H_5C(H)(CO_2Me)NMe_2]$ [17,18], and the other one containing a less hindered, but strongly electron-withdrawing, triflate (Tf) group [C₆H₅C(H)(CO₂Me)NHTf] [19]. The orthopalladation of [C₆H₅C(H)(CO₂Me)NMe₂] has been reported previously by Ryabov and Beck [17,18], and affords complex 1 by heating of Pd(OAc)2 and [C₆H₅C(H)(CO₂Me)NMe₂] in acetic acid (55-60 °C over 15-20 min), followed by stirring at room temperature for 2-3 days. In this way, complex 1 is obtained in 50% yield. We did not use this method, and we present here an optimized synthesis of complex 1, which is achieved by heating a solution of $Pd(OAc)_2$ with $[C_6H_5C(H)(CO_2Me)NMe_2]$ (1:1 molar ratio) in acetone under reflux for 24 h, followed by the typical metathesis of acetate by chloride bridging ligands in MeOH. Our improved procedure takes place in a shorter reaction time (1 versus 3 days) and affords analytically pure complex 1 in yields typically higher than 65%. The characterization of 1 was performed by comparison of its spectral data with those previously reported [18]. On the other hand, the reaction of $[C_6H_5C(H)(CO_2Me)NHTf]$ with Pd(OAc)₂ (1:1 molar ratio) affords the orthometallated [Pd(μ-Cl)(C₆H₄CH(CO₂Me)NHTf-2)]₂ (3), after metathesis of acetate by chloride bridging ligands, as shown in Scheme 2. In this case the reaction also takes place in acetone under reflux, but 48 h of heating is necessary to achieve completion. Complex 3 was characterized following the usual techniques. Both microanalytical and mass spectral data are in good agreement with the proposed dinuclear stoichiometry for 3. The ¹H NMR spectrum of 3 shows broad signals, probably due to different equilibrium processes. These could involve the interconversion between the two possible diastereo-

isomers (*RR/SS* and *RS/SR*) through cleavage of the chloride bridges, as well as the potential formation of *cisoid* and *transoid* geometric isomers. The breakage of the chloride bridging system by NC₅D₅ and "in situ" formation of the corresponding mononuclear derivative (**3**-py, see Scheme 2), which is static on the NMR time scale, simplifies notably the NMR spectra. The ¹H NMR spectrum shows then the presence of four well-spread signals, one of them (H6) strongly shifted upfield due to the anisotropic shielding of the *cis*-pyridine ring. This observation, together with the presence of six different peaks in the ¹³C NMR spectrum, one of them clearly deshielded (C1, 151.41 ppm), points to the presence of the PdC₆H₄ unit. All the other features of the NMR data are in keeping with the structure depicted in Scheme 2.

Synthesis of conformationally restricted glutamates and glutamines

Complex 1 reacts with CO in the presence of alcohols or amines (even aminoesters) affording the corresponding alkoxycarbonylated (2a–f) or aminocarbonylated species (2g–j), as shown in Scheme 3 and Figure 1, under very mild reaction conditions (CH₂Cl₂, 1 atm CO, 25 °C).

The clear formation of black palladium indicates the progress of the reaction, which is completed typically in 16 h in all studied cases. After removal of the Pd⁰ the workup of the reaction is

 $\begin{bmatrix} CO_2Me \\ NMe_2 \\ Pd-Cl \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_2$ $CO/HNu \\ -Pd^0 \\ CH_2Cl_2$ $Nu \\ O$ $CO_2Me \\ NMe_2 \\ Nu \\ O$

Scheme 3: Carbonylation of 1 to afford glutamate and glutamine derivatives 2a–i.

very simple, since the evaporation of the solvent affords 2a-j as analytically pure yellow oils. Compounds 2a-f can be considered as glutamic acid derivatives, while 2g-j are analogues of glutamine, in which the β - and γ -positions belong to an aryl ring and display, therefore, a severe conformational restriction.

The present method appears to be quite general, since it is valid for a wide range of alcohols and amines. In the case of alcohols, primary (2a, 2b, 2d, 2e) and secondary (2c) aliphatic alcohols, and even arylic substrates (2f) have been incorporated into the phenylglycine scaffold. Very good yields are obtained with acidic alcohols, such as methanol (2a), ethanol (2b) or even 1,2-ethanediol (2d). These values drop when 2-propanol (2c) or phenol (2f) are used, and moderate yields are obtained ($\approx 40\%$), whereas no reaction at all is observed for bulky tertiary alcohols, for example when Me₃COH is used.

In alkoxycarbonylation reactions the nucleophile finally incorporated into the carbonyl group (an alkoxide) usually comes from the reaction solvent (an alcohol). This fact guarantees the full displacement of the reaction, but sometimes hampers the purification of the target products, mainly when alcohols of high boiling point and/or viscosity are involved. However, in our method, CH₂Cl₂ is used as the solvent and stoichiometric amounts of the nucleophiles are used instead, without any problem in the purification step.

Interestingly, there is a clear difference in the reactivity of 1 with CO, depending on the presence or lack of nucleophiles. The reaction of 1 with CO in CH_2Cl_2 has been reported previously by Beck [18], and this process affords the γ -lactam displayed in Scheme 4. Assuming the mechanism shown in Scheme 1, it seems that, in the absence of any other nucleophile, the intramolecular C–N coupling takes place with concomitant formation of a N,N-dimethylisoindolinonium salt, which undergoes further elimination of a methyl group by

$$\begin{array}{c|c} CO_2Me \\ \hline NMe_2 \\ Pd-Cl \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|c} CO_l-Pd^0 \\ \hline CH_2Cl_2 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|c} CO_2Me \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|c} NMe \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|c} CO_2Me \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|c} NMe \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|c} CO_1-Pd^0 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

1,2-shift of the Me unit from the N atom to the Pd centre, as previously reported by Heck et al. [20].

As we have shown previously in Scheme 3, in the presence of nucleophiles the process results in the formation of conformationally restricted glutamate derivatives. This is mainly due to the fact that the demethylation of the NMe2 unit shown in Scheme 4 is not a very favourable process, and the reaction can take a different outcome, especially if alternative pathways are accessible. Taking into account these facts, we can propose a sensible explanation for the different reactivity. Therefore, the attack of the oxygen of an O-bonded alcohol on the electrophilic acyl carbon in our complexes seems to be favoured, since no demethylation is involved, and the C-O coupling occurs selectively instead of the intramolecular C-N bond formation. It seems that the reaction is driven by the pathway that tends to avoid the demethylation, while the comparison of the different nucleophilic abilities of the species coordinated to the metal (O-bonded alcohols versus N-bonded amines) plays in this case only a minor role.

Using the same arguments we can explain the different reactivity found for 1, and shown in Scheme 3, when compared to related Pd complexes previously reported by us [12], resumed in Scheme 1. Therefore, the synthesis of the methyl (1*H*)-isoindolin-1-one-3-carboxylates by carbonylation of [Pd(μ -Cl)(C₆H₄CH(CO₂Me)NH₂-2)]₂ occurs by C–N coupling, irrespective of the presence of additional nucleophiles, since the cyclization generates an isoindolinonium salt, from which it is relatively easy to promote a simple deprotonation.

Very interestingly, the reactivity of 1 is not limited to the addition of alcohols, and primary amines, secondary amines, and even α -aminoesters can also be coupled to the N,N-dimethyl-

arylglycine fragment, as stated above. Then, the reaction of 1 with CO, in CH_2Cl_2 , and in the presence of stoichiometric amounts of benzylamine, aniline, methyl (R)-phenylglycinate or di-n-butylamine, occurs with smooth insertion of CO into the Pd- C_{aryl} bond and further incorporation of the C(O)NHCH₂Ph (2g), C(O)NHPh (2h), C(O)NHCH(CO₂Me)Ph (2i) or C(O)NBu₂ (2j) moieties into the *ortho*-position of the $C_6H_4C(H)(CO_2Me)NMe_2$ ligand. This results in the synthesis of the corresponding conformationally restricted glutamines 2g-j in moderate to good yields, as shown in Figure 1. This means that the process can be efficiently performed not only with a variety of O-nucleophiles, but also with different types of N-nucleophiles.

In comparison with other aminocarbonylations found in the recent literature [21-24], our method is remarkable since it occurs under very mild reaction conditions (1 atm CO, 25 °C) and, mainly, because it occurs through C–H bond activation processes without the need to use prefunctionalized substrates. Typical aminocarbonylations catalysed by Pd usually start from the corresponding iodides or bromides, and require high CO pressures and high reaction temperatures. Obviously, further efforts in our systems have to be directed to the transformation of the stoichiometric process into a catalytic one, a challenge that is still not accomplished in the case of the aminocarbonylation, even though several catalytic examples are known of the related alkoxycarbonylation reaction [25-30].

Once we had determined the reactivity of complex 1, having a *N*,*N*-dimethyl-phenylglycine ligand, we focused our attention on complex 3, possessing a triflate as a *N*-protecting group, in order to study the influence of the substituents at the N atom in the carbonylation further. The reaction of 3 with CO (1 atm) in CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature, that is, in the absence of nucle-ophiles, occurs with C–N coupling and formation of the methyl 3-oxo-2-((trifluoromethyl)sulfonyl)isoindoline-1-carboxylate (4) in good yields, as shown in Scheme 5 (right).

This means that the N atom is still nucleophilic enough to promote the cyclization, in spite of the presence of the highly electron-withdrawing triflate group. It is also clear that, after C–N coupling, the resulting ammonium salt eliminates easily

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{CO}_2\text{Me} \\ \text{4} & + & \begin{array}{c} \text{CO}_2\text{Me} \\ \text{NHTf} \\ \text{OMe} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{NHTf} \\ \text{MeOH} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{CO}_2\text{Me} \\ \text{NHTf} \\ \text{Pd-Cl} \\ \text{O} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{CO}_2\text{Me} \\ \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{NTf} \\ \text{O} \\ \text{A} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{72\%} \end{array}$$

HCl (formally) affording the neutral amine, in close similarity to the process shown in Scheme 1. However, when the reaction of 3 with CO (1 atm) is performed in MeOH, a mixture of the compounds derived from intramolecular cyclization (4) and alkoxycarbonylation (5) is obtained (molar ratio 4/5 2.4:1). This mixture can be separated by column chromatography, and pure isolated compound 5 has been characterized as containing the NHTf group and two different CO₂Me moieties, as represented in Scheme 5 (left). This result can be interpreted as a competing reaction between the nucleophilic abilities of the N atom of the glycine moiety and the oxygen atom of the methanol, which in this case is the reaction solvent. It is clear that the introduction of the triflate group decreases the electron density of the N atom, which is now less nucleophilic in comparison, for example, with the complex containing the NH2 unit, shown in Scheme 1. In that case the N was quite nucleophilic, and cyclization occurred regardless of the solvent used for the reaction [12]. In the present case the N is less nucleophilic and competes with other nucleophiles, giving mixtures 4 and 5 in the presence of methanol. Obviously, in absence of additional nucleophiles, 4 is obtained selectively. We attempted several reaction conditions in order to prepare selectively compound 5, but it seems to be difficult to quench the intramolecular cyclization, and in all studied cases the 4/5 mixture is obtained. Due to this fact, we have not studied other alcohols.

Conclusion

The reactivity of the orthopalladated dimers [Pd(µ-C1 { $C_6H_4(CH(CO_2Me)NR_2)-2$ }]₂ ($NR_2 = NMe_2$, NHTf) towards CO in the presence of alcohols or amines as nucleophiles allows for the synthesis of conformationally restricted glutamates or glutamines, respectively, through alkoxycarbonylation or aminocarbonylation intermolecular processes. In spite of the presence of an intramolecular nucleophile (the N atom of the NR₂ group), the formation of the cyclic isoindolinone derivatives has been observed only in one case. This means that the nitrogen atoms of the NMe2 or the NHTf groups behave as weaker nucleophiles than the oxygen or nitrogen atoms of the external nucleophiles involved (alcohols, amines). In addition, the results also show that the nucleophilic abilities of the N atom in the starting materials [Pd(μ-C1($C_6H_4CH(CO_2Me)NR_2$)]₂ ($NR_2 = NMe_2$, NHTf) are weaker than those observed in [Pd(μ-Cl)(C₆H₄CH(CO₂Me)NH₂)]₂, for which a systematic intramolecular aminocarbonylation was observed.

Experimental

General Methods. The general methods are reported in the Supporting Information File 1. The complex [Pd(μ-Cl)(C₆H₄CH(CO₂Me)NMe₂-2)]₂ (1) has been prepared following previously reported procedures [17,18].

Synthesis of methyl *N,N*-dimethyl-α-(2-methoxycarbonylphenyl)glycinate (**2a**)

Methanol (13 μ L, 0.300 mmol) was added to a solution of 1 (100.0 mg, 0.150 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL), and the resulting mixture was stirred under a CO atmosphere for 16 h. Decomposition to black metallic palladium was observed. The mixture was filtered through a plug of Celite. The light yellow solution was washed with water (3 \times 20 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered and evaporated to give compound 2a as a yellow oil. Yield: 72.9 mg, 0.290 mmol, 97%.

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.83 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, C₆H₄), 7.68 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, C₆H₄), 7.50 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, C₆H₄), 7.35 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, C₆H₄), 5.12 (s, 1H, CH), 3.89 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.69 (s, 3H, OMe), 2.31 (s, 6H, NMe₂); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172.08 (s, CO), 168.36 (s, CO), 137.60 (s, C), 131.96 (s, CH), 130.99 (s, C), 130.32 (s, CH), 129.03 (s, CH), 127.88 (s, CH), 68.42 (s, CH), 52.34 (s, OCH₃), 51.88 (s, OCH₃), 42.97 (s, NMe₂); IR (v, cm⁻¹) 1724 (C=O), 1257 (C-O); ESIMS (positive mode) (m/z): 251.9 [M + H]⁺; anal. calcd for C₁₃H₁₇NO₄ (251.12): C, 62.14; H, 6.82; N, 5.57; found: C, 62.35; H, 6.91; N, 5.36.

Synthesis of [Pd(μ -Cl)(C₆H₄CH(CO₂Me)NHTf-2)]₂ (**3**)

To a solution of Pd(OAc)₂ (421.1 mg, 1.836 mmol) in acetone (30 mL), PhCH(CO₂Me)NHTf [19] (545.8 mg, 1.836 mmol) was added, and the resulting mixture was heated under reflux for 48 h. After the reaction time, the solution was evaporated to dryness, the residue was treated with CH₂Cl₂ (40 mL), and the resulting suspension was filtered over a Celite pad. The resulting clear solution was again evaporated to dryness, and the residue was dissolved in MeOH and allowed to react with NaCl (243.6 mg, 4.167 mmol) at room temperature for 4 h. The pale yellow solution was evaporated to dryness, the dry residue extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL), and the resulting suspension filtered to eliminate the excess of NaCl. Evaporation of this clear solution and treatment of the residue with pentane afforded 3 as a yellow–brownish solid. Yield: 452.6 mg, 0.516 mmol, 56.2% yield.

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃ + py- d_5) δ 7.21 (dd, J = 7.6, 1.5 Hz, 1H, C₆H₄), 6.94 (td, J = 7.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H, C₆H₄), 6.71 (td, J = 7.5, 1.5 Hz, 1H, C₆H₄), 5.91 (dd, J = 7.7, 1.2 Hz, 1H, C₆H₄), 5.38 (s, 1H, CH), 3.78 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 2.24 (s, 1H, NH); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃+py- d_5) δ 173.94 (s, CO), 151.41 (s, C, C₆H₄), 149.54 (m, CD, py), 146.10 (s, C, C₆H₄), 135.55 (m, CD, py), 132.49 (s, CH, C₆H₄), 125.57 (s, CH, C₆H₄), 124.46 (s, CH, C₆H₄), 123.29 (m, CD, py), 122.69 (s, CH, C₆H₄), 120.82 (q, J = 324.6 Hz, CF₃), 72.37 (s, CH), 52.30 (s, OCH₃); ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃ + py- d_5) δ -76.71 (s, CF₃); IR (v,

cm $^{-1}$): 3375 (br, N-H), 1742 (vCOO); ESIMS (positive mode) (m/z): 439 [M/2 + H] $^{+}$; anal. calcd for C₂₀H₁₈Cl₂F₆N₂O₈Pd₂S₂ (876.23): C, 27.41; H, 2.07; N, 3.20; S, 7.32; found: C, 26.93; H, 2.02; N, 3.45; S, 6.98.

Synthesis of methyl 3-oxo-2-((trifluoromethyl)sulfonyl)isoindoline-1carboxylate (4)

A solution of **3** (50.0 mg, 0.057 mmol) in dichloromethane was stirred under a CO atmosphere for 16 h. Decomposition to black palladium was observed. The mixture was filtered through a plug of Celite, and the yellow solution was washed with water $(3 \times 20 \text{ mL})$, dried over MgSO₄, filtered and evaporated to give **4** as a yellow oil. Yield: 26.7 mg, 0.083 mmol, 72%.

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.98 (dt, J = 7.7, 1.0 Hz, 1H, C₆H₄), 7.79 (td, J = 7.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H, C₆H₄), 7.68 (dd, J = 7.8, 0.9 Hz, 1H, C₆H₄), 7.65 (td, J = 7.5, 0.7 Hz, 1H, C₆H₄), 5.72 (s, 1H, CH), 3.85 (s, 3H, OCH₃); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.65 (s, CO), 164.37 (s, CO), 139.43 (s, C), 135.84 (s, CH), 130.87 (s, CH), 127.63 (s, C), 126.49 (s, CH), 123.54 (s, CH), 119.59 (q, J = 323.5 Hz, CF₃), 63.20 (s, CH), 53.94 (s, OCH₃); ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -74.04 (s, CF₃); IR (v, cm⁻¹): 1758 (COO). ESIMS (positive mode) (m/z): 324.0 [M + H]⁺; anal. calcd for C₁₁H₈F₃NO₅S (323.01): C, 40.87; H, 2.49; N, 4.33; S, 9.92; found: C, 40.94; H, 2.53; N, 4.41; S, 10.05.

Synthesis of methyl *N*-trifluoromethylsulfonamido- α -(2-methoxycarbonylphenyl)glycinate (**5**)

A solution of 3 (100.0 mg, 0.114 mmol) in methanol was stirred under a CO atmosphere for 16 h. During the reaction, the formation of Pd^0 was evident. The black material was eliminated by filtration through a plug of Celite, and the resulting light yellow solution was washed with water (3 × 20 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered and evaporated to give an oily residue characterized as the mixture of compounds 4 and 5. This mixture was separated by column chromatography (silica, hexane/CH₂Cl₂: 3/7), yielding pure 5 as a colourless oil. Yield: 14.2 mg, 0.040 mmol, 18%.

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.10 (dd, J = 7.8, 1.5 Hz, 1H, C₆H₄), 7.61 (td, J = 7.5, 1.5 Hz, 1H, C₆H₄), 7.50 (td, J = 7.6, 1.4 Hz, 1H, C₆H₄), 7.41 (dd, J = 7.6, 1.5 Hz, 1H, C₆H₄), 7.00 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H, NH), 5.42 (d, J = 9.3 Hz, 1H, CH), 3.92 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.75 (s, 3H, OCH₃); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 168.89 (s, CO), 168.43 (s, CO), 137.53 (s, C), 133.86 (s, C), 132.59 (s, CH), 132.01 (s, CH), 129.68 (s, CH), 127.30 (s, CH), 119.53 (q, J = 320.7 Hz, CF₃), 61.35 (s, CH), 53.42 (s, OCH₃), 53.03 (s, OCH₃); ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -77.53 (s, CF₃); IR (v, cm⁻¹): 3282 (br, N-H), 1747 (COO), 1711 (COO);

ESIMS (positive mode) (m/z): 324.2 [M – OMe]⁺, 356.0 [M + H]⁺; anal. calcd for C₁₂H₁₂F₃NO₆S (355.03): C, 40.57; H, 3.40; N, 3.94; S, 9.03; found: C, 40.42; H, 3.24; N, 3.82; S, 8.93.

Supporting Information

Supporting Information File 1

General methods and experimental and analytical data of compounds **2b**–**j**.

[http://www.beilstein-journals.org/bjoc/content/supplementary/1860-5397-8-179-S1.pdf]

Acknowledgements

Financial support from the Spanish Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (projects CTQ2011-22589 and CTQ2010-17436) and Gobierno de Aragón (Spain, research groups E97 and E40) is gratefully acknowledged. E. L. thanks Gobierno de Aragón (Spain) for a PhD grant (reference B008/10).

References

- Yu, J.-Q.; Shi, Z., Eds. Top. Curr. Chem.; 2010; Vol. 292, pp 1–379. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-12356-6
- Davies, H. M. L.; Du Bois, J.; Yu, J.-Q. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 1845–2039. doi:10.1039/c1cs90010b
- Simmons, E. M.; Hartwig, J. F. Nature 2012, 483, 70–73. doi:10.1038/nature10785
- Lyons, T. W.; Sanford, M. S. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 1147–1169. doi:10.1021/cr900184e
- Yeung, C. S.; Dong, V. M. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1215–1292. doi:10.1021/cr100280d
- Le Bras, J.; Muzart, J. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1170–1214. doi:10.1021/cr100209d
- You, S.-L.; Xia, J.-B. Top. Curr. Chem. 2010, 292, 165–194. doi:10.1007/128_2009_18
- Yoo, W.-J.; Li, C.-J. Top. Curr. Chem. 2010, 292, 281–302. doi:10.1007/128_2009_17
- Newhouse, T.; Baran, P. S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 3362–3374. doi:10.1002/anie.201006368
- Aguilar, D.; Cuesta, L.; Nieto, S.; Serrano, E.; Urriolabeitia, E. P. Curr. Org. Chem. 2011, 15, 3441–3464. doi:10.2174/138527211797247923
- Nieto, S.; Arnau, P.; Serrano, E.; Navarro, R.; Soler, T.; Cativiela, C.; Urriolabeitia, E. P. *Inorg. Chem.* **2009**, *48*, 11963–11975. doi:10.1021/ic901941s
- Nieto, S.; Sayago, F. J.; Laborda, P.; Soler, T.; Cativiela, C.; Urriolabeitia, E. P. *Tetrahedron* **2011**, *67*, 4185–4191. doi:10.1016/j.tet.2011.04.064
- Nieto, S.; Cativiela, C.; Urriolabeitia, E. P. New J. Chem. 2012, 36, 566–569. doi:10.1039/c2nj20909h
- Cowell, S. M.; Lee, Y. S.; Cain, J. P.; Hruby, V. J. Curr. Med. Chem. 2004, 11, 2785–2798. doi:10.2174/0929867043364270
- Toniolo, C.; Crisma, M.; Formaggio, F.; Peggion, C. *Biopolymers* 2001, 60, 396–419. doi:10.1002/1097-0282(2001)60:6<396::AID-BIP10184>3.0.CO;2-7

- Sagan, S.; Karoyan, P.; Lequin, O.; Chassaing, G.; Lavielle, S. Curr. Med. Chem. 2004, 11, 2799–2822. doi:10.2174/0929867043364108
- Ryabov, A. D.; Polyakov, V. A.; Yatsimirski, A. K. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 1984, 91, 59–65. doi:10.1016/S0020-1693(00)84220-6
- Böhm, A.; Polborn, K.; Sünkel, K.; Beck, W. Z. Naturforsch. 1998, 53b, 448–458.
- Hendrickson, J. B.; Bergeron, R.; Sternbach, D. D. Tetrahedron 1975, 31, 2517–2521. doi:10.1016/0040-4020(75)80263-8
- Thompson, J. M.; Heck, R. F. J. Org. Chem. 1975, 40, 2667–2674. doi:10.1021/jo00906a021
- Wu, X.-F.; Schranck, J.; Neumann, H.; Beller, M. ChemCatChem 2012, 4. 69–71. doi:10.1002/cctc.201100268
- Wu, X.-F.; Neumann, H.; Beller, M. Chem.–Eur. J. 2010, 16, 9750–9753. doi:10.1002/chem.201000090
- Dang, T. T.; Zhu, Y.; Ghosh, S. C.; Chen, A.; Chai, C. L. L.; Seayad, A. M. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 1805–1807. doi:10.1039/c2cc16808a
- Sawant, D. N.; Wagh, Y. S.; Bhatte, K. D.; Bhanage, B. M.
 Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 5489–5494. doi:10.1021/jo200754v
- Houlden, C. E.; Hutchby, M.; Bailey, C. D.; Gair Ford, J.;
 Tyler, S. N. G.; Gagné, M. R.; Lloyd-Jones, G. C.; Booker-Milburn, K. I.
 Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 1830–1833.
 doi:10.1002/anie.200805842
- 26. Yoo, E. J.; Wasa, M.; Yu, J.-Q. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 17378–17380. doi:10.1021/ja108754f
- Giri, R.; Lam, J. K.; Yu, J.-Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 686–693. doi:10.1021/ja9077705
- Wu, X.-F.; Anbarasan, P.; Neumann, H.; Beller, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 7316–7319. doi:10.1002/anie.201003895
- Yu, W.-Y.; Sit, W. N.; Lai, K.-M.; Zhou, Z.; Chan, A. S. C.
 J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 3304–3306. doi:10.1021/ja710555g
- Xie, P.; Xie, Y.; Qian, B.; Zhou, H.; Xia, C.; Huang, H.
 J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 9902–9905. doi:10.1021/ja3036459

License and Terms

This is an Open Access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The license is subject to the *Beilstein Journal of Organic Chemistry* terms and conditions:

(http://www.beilstein-journals.org/bjoc)

The definitive version of this article is the electronic one which can be found at: doi:10.3762/bjoc.8.179