### **Supporting Information**

#### for

# Anchoring Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles in a reduced graphene

# oxide aerogel matrix via polydopamine coating

Błażej Scheibe<sup>\*1</sup>, Radosław Mrówczyński<sup>1</sup>, Natalia Michalak<sup>2</sup>, Karol Załęski<sup>1</sup>, Michał Matczak<sup>2</sup>, Mateusz Kempiński<sup>1,3</sup>, Zuzanna Pietralik<sup>3</sup>, Mikołaj Lewandowski<sup>1</sup>, Stefan Jurga<sup>1</sup> and Feliks Stobiecki<sup>1,2</sup>

Address: <sup>1</sup>NanoBioMedical Centre, Adam Mickiewicz University, Umultowska 85, 61-614 Poznań, Poland, <sup>2</sup>Institute of Molecular Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, M. Smoluchowskiego 17, 60-179 Poznań, Poland and <sup>3</sup>Faculty of Physics, Adam Mickiewicz University, Umultowska 85, 61-614 Poznań, Poland

Email: Błażej Scheibe - bscheibe@amu.edu.pl

\* Corresponding author

# **Additional information**



Figure S1: XRD patterns of graphite, graphene oxide and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles.

The XRD patterns of composite aerogels were dominated by Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> peaks (data not shown).



Figure S2: Raman spectra of PDA and PDA@Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles.

The highest peak at 1575  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  does not overlap with the G-mode of RGO at 1591  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ .



Figure S3: FTIR spectrum of PDA@Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles.



**Figure S4:** XPS survey spectrum and deconvoluted C 1s, O 1s and N 1s spectra of graphene oxide.

C-74.6%, O-24%, N-1%, F-0.3%, Mn-0.1% C-C sp<sup>2</sup> : 284.3 eV C-C sp<sup>3</sup> : 285.1 eV C-N : 287.1 eV C-O, C-OH : 286.8 eV C=O : 288.5 eV O=C-OH : 531.9 eV C=O : 532.8 eV C-O : 533.7 eV C-OH : 535.2 eV N= : 399.7 eV N-C, N-H : 401.8 eV

S5



**Figure S5:** ZFC and FC temperature dependences of magnetic susceptibility for rGO-Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> aerogel under the applied field of 100 Oe.

C 1s spectrum	Adsorbed molecules (CO, CO <sub>2</sub> )		Functional groups						C-C sp <sup>3</sup>		C-C sp <sup>2</sup>	
	eV	%	eV	%	eV	%	eV	%	eV	%	eV	%
rGO	291.0	3	288.7 (O=C-O)	10	287.7 (C=O)	25	286.5 (C-O)	23	285.1	21	284.12	18
rGO-Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	291.2	2	289 (O=C-O)	8	287.6 (C=O)	14	286.0 (C-N)	29	285.0	30	284.2	17
rGO-PDA@Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	291.0	2	289 (O=C-O)	8	287.3 (C=O)	12	285.9 (C-N)	30	284.9	29	284.2	18

## Table S1: XPS peak assignments, positions (eV) and percentage contribution (%).

O 1s spectrum	Adsor molect (O <sub>2</sub> , H CO, C	bed ules [2O, 2O2)		Metal oxide						
	eV	%	eV	%	eV	%	eV	%	eV	%
rGO	-	-	534.7	27	533.4 (O=C- O)	34	532.0 (C-O)	38	-	-
rGO-Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	535.8	5	534.1 O=C-O	18	532.7 (C-O)	23	531.1 (C=O)	31	529.7	22
rGO-PDA@Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	535.4	6	533.6 (O=C-O)	24	532.3 (C-O)	22	531.0 (C=O)	26	529.7	22

N 1s spectrum	Adsor molect	bed 1les	Graphiti	Pyridinic and pyrrolic nitrogen				
	eV	%	eV	%	eV	%	eV	%
rGO	-	-	404.7	46	402.7	18	399.3	36
rGO-Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	-	-	404.0	5	401.7	13	399.7	82
rGO-PDA@Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	406.3	3	403.7	4	401.7	10	399.6	83

Fe 2p spectrum	$2p_{1/2} Fe^{3+}$		2p 1/2	Fe <sup>2+</sup>	2p <sub>3/2</sub> F	e <sup>3+</sup>	$2p_{3/2} Fe^{2+}$	
	eV	%	eV	%	eV	%	eV	%
rGO-Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	725.4	17	723.6	32	712.2	17	710.3	33
rGO-PDA@Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	725.5	16	723.6	34	712.5	16	710.4	35