A modular approach to neutral P,N-ligands: synthesis and coordination chemistry
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Abstract

We report the modular synthesis of three different types of neutral κ²-P,N-ligands comprising an imine and a phosphine binding site. These ligands were reacted with rhodium, iridium and palladium metal precursors and the structures of the resulting complexes were elucidated by means of X-ray crystallography. We observed that subtle changes of the ligand backbone have a significant influence on the binding geometry and coordination properties of these bidentate P,N-donors.

Introduction

P,N-ligands have been applied in a wide variety of chemical reactions ranging from hydrogenations [1,2] and allylic substitutions [3,4] to Heck reactions [5] and conjugate additions to enones [6]. Their popularity arises from the inherent electronic disparity of the phosphorus and the nitrogen donor groups, rendering one binding site a soft π-acceptor featuring a pronounced trans effect and the other site a hard σ-donor [7]. In addition, the steric and electronic properties of both donor groups can in principle be varied separately, rendering modular construction approaches particularly appealing [8].

Driven by our recent efforts to provide easily accessible, modular ligand families [9,10], we have explored three different possibilities to expand the portfolio of P,N-ligands (L1–L3, Figure 1). We reasoned that suitable candidates should be accessible on a multigram scale in excellent yields starting from commercially available reagents and ideally involving a maximum of two steps. In addition, the resulting ligand families

Figure 1: P,N-ligand frameworks studied in this work.
should provide nitrogen and phosphorus donors with varying donor strength (amidine vs imine, phosphine vs heteroatom-bound phosphorus) and different bite angles (five- vs six-membered chelate rings).

**Results and Discussion**

**Ligand synthesis**

We based the preparation of the ligand systems L1–L3 on a simple construction principle, i.e., only reactions of carbon- or nitrogen-nucleophiles with chlorophosphines, and condensations of amines with aldehydes were employed. For the synthesis of the N-phosphanylformamide derivatives 2 and 3 we prepared a set of three aromatic formamidines (1a–c) starting from triethyl orthoformate and aromatic amines. Low-temperature lithiation of 1 and addition to achiral (R = Ph) and axially chiral (R2 = BINOL) chlorophosphines R2PCl gave ligands 2 and 3 in excellent yields (Scheme 1) [11]. If the correct stoichiometry is maintained throughout the reaction, the resulting mixtures do not require a purification step beyond a filtration from toluene or hexane to remove residual lithium chloride. As has been pointed out by Dyer et al. for the structurally related N-phosphramidines, there are several distinct conformers of ligands 2a–c and 3a–c that can exist in solution, depending on the orientation of the phosphorus lone pair, the geometry of the C=N double bond and the orientation of the substituents of the C–N single bond [12]. Notably, all synthesized derivatives feature a single signal in the 31P NMR spectrum at room temperature, indicating that a relatively fast interconversion of the different conformers occurs at ambient conditions. However, with increasing steric bulk of the aromatic nitrogen substituents, a substantial line broadening is observed, indicating the rise of the isomerization barrier through steric repulsion of the neighboring groups [11].

The methodology for the synthesis of compounds 2 and 3 was also applied to the preparation of phosphine imine ligand 5. Instead of a formamidine precursor, imine 4 was employed as the nucleophile. Deprotonation of 4 at low temperature and addition of the resulting C-nucleophile to chlorodiphenylphosphine gave the desired product in good yield (Scheme 2) [13]. This modification renders the phosphorus donor site more electron rich and also results in a more robust P–C bond compared to ligands 2 and 3.

![Scheme 2: Synthesis of phosphanylformamidines 5 and 7. Reaction conditions: (i) t-BuLi, THF, −78 °C to rt, 1 h; (ii) Ph2PCl, THF, −78 °C to rt, overnight; (iii) NH2Mes, toluene, 135 °C, 20 h.](image)

For the preparation of ligand 7 the order of bond formations of the previous protocols was reversed, that means, the carbon–nitrogen bond was formed in the second step of the synthesis. Condensation of aldehyde 6 with mesitylamine gave ligand 7 in good yield [14-16].

The connectivity of the ligands 2, 3, 5 and 7 imposes a varying level of rigidity on these bidentate donors, with distinct consequences for their coordination chemistry (vide infra).

**Complex synthesis**

In the next step of our study we set out to explore the coordination chemistry and structural properties of the synthesized ligands with rhodium(I/III), iridium(I/III) and palladium(II) precursors. Reaction of ligands 2 and 3 with (i) preformed [Rh(cod)2]BF4 and (ii) stoichiometric amounts of [Ir(cod)Cl]2 in the presence of AgBF4 gave the corresponding rhodium(I) and iridium(I) complexes [2,3-M(cod)]BF4 in good to excellent yields (Scheme 3). In a similar fashion, analogous complexes of ligands 5 and 7 were prepared.
Figure 2: Molecular structures of [2a-Rh(cod)]BF₄⁺, [2b-Rh(cod)]BF₄⁺, [5-Ir(cod)]BF₄⁺, and [7-Rh(cod)]BF₄⁺. Anisotropic displacement ellipsoids are set at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity. For selected bond lengths and angles see Table 1.

Scheme 3: Synthesis of complexes [2-M(cod)]X, [3-M(cod)]X, [5-M(cod)]X, and [7-M(cod)]X. M = Rh, Ir; X = BF₄⁻ or OTf⁻. Reaction conditions: (i) [Rh(cod)₂]BF₄, DCM, rt, 30 min; (ii) [Ir(cod)Cl]₂, AgBF₄ or AgOTf, DCM, rt, 30 min. Ar = mesityl, 2,6-diisopropylphenyl, 4-fluorophenyl; R = phenyl or BINOL. Yields: [2a-Rh(cod)]BF₄⁺ = 85%, [2b-Rh(cod)]BF₄⁺ = 75%, [2c-Rh(cod)]BF₄⁺ = 88%, [3a-Rh(cod)]BF₄⁺ = 74%, [3b-Rh(cod)]BF₄⁺ = 90%, [3c-Rh(cod)]BF₄⁺ = 81%, [3a-Ir(cod)]BF₄⁺ = 65%, [3b-Ir(cod)]BF₄⁺ = 81%, [3c-Ir(cod)]BF₄⁺ = 82%, [5-Rh(cod)]BF₄⁺ = 52%, [5-Ir(cod)]OTf⁻ = 67%, [7-Rh(cod)]BF₄⁺ = 92%, [7-Ir(cod)]BF₄⁺ = 85%.

It should be noted that ligands 2, 5, and 7 feature a distinct shift of the ³¹P NMR resonance to lower fields upon complexation of a rhodium(I) or iridium(I) center, whereas a shift to higher fields is observed for ligand 3 [11]. We were able to obtain single crystals of compounds [2a-Rh(cod)]BF₄⁺, [2b-Rh(cod)]BF₄⁺, of the corresponding iridium complexes and of complexes [5-Rh(cod)]BF₄⁺, [5-Ir(cod)]OTf⁻ and [7-Rh(cod)]BF₄⁺ suitable for X-ray analysis by layering solutions of the complexes in dichloromethane with toluene and pentane. Instructive examples of the structural properties of selected complexes are illustrated in Figure 2. An overview of characteristic bonding properties of all crystallized compounds can be found in Table 1.
and iridium complexes is notable and can be attributed to the similar atomic radii of these metals [20].

Moreover, the N–C–N angles of the formamidinate unit closely resemble those found in related amidinate salts, thus indicating that no significant ring strain is present. The M–C\text{coa}d bonds located 	extit{trans} to the P atoms are slightly longer (av 2.11 Å) than the M–C\text{coa}d bonds 	extit{trans} to the imino group (av 2.04 Å), suggesting a dominant 	extit{trans} influence of the phosphorus donor function compared to the imino group. The differing bond lengths within the N–C–N unit (mean 1.30 vs 1.35 Å) may indicate little or no delocalization of the positive charge via the metallacycle. Remarkably, introduction of the sterically more demanding 2,6-di(isopropyl)phenyl group as the \textit{-aryl} substituent induced only slight structural changes (complexes of ligand \textit{2a} vs \textit{2b}), mostly in the orientation of the phenyl rings of the phosphorus donor and the position of the cyclooctadiene co-ligand.

To further explore the binding properties of the P,N-ligand scaffold, ligands \textit{2a} and \textit{5} were reacted with the Lewis-acidic precursors [Cp*RhCl\textit{2}] and [Cp*Ir\textit{I}]\textit{2} (Figure 3). As has been pointed out for the analogous Rh(I) and Ir(I) compounds, complexation is accompanied by a \textsuperscript{31}P NMR shift to lower fields (pointed out for the analogous Rh(I) and Ir(I) compounds, co-ligand.\textsuperscript{5}4

![Figure 3: Coordination of ligands \textit{2a} and \textit{5} to Rh(III) and Ir(III) precursors. Yields: [2a-Cp*RhCl\textit{2}]BF\textsubscript{4} = 87%, [2a-Cp*Ir\textit{I}]BF\textsubscript{4} = 76%, [5-Cp*Ir\textit{I}]OTf = 80%.]

**Table 1: Selected structural parameters of the crystallized complexes\textsuperscript{a}.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>P–M [Å]</th>
<th>N–M [Å]</th>
<th>α [°]</th>
<th>β [°]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[2a-Rh(cod)]BF\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>2.2338(10) [2.2351(10)]</td>
<td>2.089(19) [2.0885(19)]</td>
<td>122.25(19) [122.35(19)]</td>
<td>81.69(5) [81.60(5)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[2b-Rh(cod)]BF\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>2.2487(10) [2.2452(10)]</td>
<td>2.0981(18) [2.0907(18)]</td>
<td>122.57(17) [122.55(17)]</td>
<td>81.42(5) [81.75(5)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[2a-Ir(cod)]BF\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>2.2447(18) [2.2389(17)]</td>
<td>2.083(6) [2.082(6)]</td>
<td>122.4(7) [122.3(6)]</td>
<td>81.49(16) [81.94(16)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[2b-Ir(cod)]BF\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>2.2522(7) [2.2473(7)]</td>
<td>2.088(2) [2.080(2)]</td>
<td>123.1(3) [122.9(3)]</td>
<td>81.83(7) [81.89(7)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[5-Rh(cod)]BF\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>2.2580(10)</td>
<td>2.1128(12)</td>
<td>122.24(11)</td>
<td>81.49(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[5-Ir(cod)]OTf</td>
<td>2.2698(9)</td>
<td>2.1087(15)</td>
<td>122.33(13)</td>
<td>81.59(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[7-Rh(cod)]BF\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>2.2653(12)</td>
<td>2.131(4)</td>
<td>129(1)(4)</td>
<td>86.65(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[7-Ir(cod)]BF\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>[2.2587(12), 2.2654(12)]</td>
<td>[2.129(4), 2.155(4)]</td>
<td>[128.9(4), 130.0(4)]</td>
<td>[87.32(10), 87.66(10)]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}Where applicable, values for crystallographically independent molecules are provided in square brackets. \textsuperscript{b}Denotes the N–C–N angle (complexes derived from ligands \textit{2} or the N–C–C angle (complexes derived from ligands \textit{5} and \textit{7}), respectively. \textsuperscript{c}Denotes the N–M–P angle.
Figure 4: Molecular structures of [2a-Cp*IrI]$^+$ (left) and [5-Cp*IrI]$^+$ (right). Anisotropic displacement ellipsoids set at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity. For selected bond lengths and angles see Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P–Ir [Å]</th>
<th>N–Ir [Å]</th>
<th>α [°]</th>
<th>β [°]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2a-Cp*IrI$^+$BF$_4$</td>
<td>2.2695(7)</td>
<td>2.1322(15)</td>
<td>122.05(14)</td>
<td>80.42(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-Cp*IrI$^+$OTf</td>
<td>2.3052(8)</td>
<td>2.136(2)</td>
<td>124.4(2)</td>
<td>79.75(6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Denotes the N–C–N angle ([2a-Cp*IrI]$^+$BF$_4$) or the N–C–C angle ([5-Cp*IrI]$^+$OTf), respectively. b Denotes the N–Ir–P angle.

The solid-state structures of [2a-PdCl$_2$] (Figure 6, left) and its cationic congener [2a-PdCl]$^+$BF$_4$$_2$ (Figure 5) show distinct similarities. Bond lengths and bond angles of the chelate ring agree well, underlining that halide abstraction is effectively compensated by the bridging chlorides (Table 3). Significant structural deviations are only observed for the Pd–Cl bonds, where significantly longer bonds were found for the cationic species (trans-nitrogen 2.31 Å vs 2.33 Å and trans-phosphorus 2.33 Å vs 2.42 Å). As has been observed for the corresponding rhodium and iridium complexes (vide supra), the structure of complex [5-Pd(2-Me-allyl)]OTf is slightly folded, which is also accompanied by longer P–Pd and N–Pd bonds (cf. Table 2 and Table 3).

Conclusion

The work described in this paper shows that three related neutral $\kappa^2$-P,N-ligand families L1–L3 are accessible via straightforward condensation protocols. All ligand classes can be synthesized starting from cheap, commercially available reagents on a multigram scale. Notably, relatively small changes in the ligand backbone, i.e., C/N-exchange or increasing the chelate ring size, have a significant impact on the ligand geometry and its coordination properties. Thus, complexes of L1 with rhodium, iridium and palladium form planar chelate rings, structures based on L2 are slightly folded, whereas complexes of L3 exhibit a strong deviation from planarity. In summary, these results may be utilized for the design, preparation and structural elucidation of novel late transition metal complexes. Investigations into their potential as precatalysts for organic transformations are currently underway in our laboratory and will be reported in due course.

Experimental

General procedure for the preparation of ligands 2, 3 and 5

To a solution of the formamidine or imine (15.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in 150 mL of THF at -78 °C was added dropwise a solution of tert-butyl lithium in pentane (15.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv,
Figure 5: Formation of palladium complexes of ligands 2a, 5 and 7. (A) Formation of [2a-PdCl₂] and [2a-PdCl₂(BF₄)₂], and molecular structure of the dimer [2a-PdCl₂]²⁺. Anisotropic displacement ellipsoids set at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity. (B) Formation of Pd(allyl) complexes of ligands 2a, 5, and 7. Reaction conditions: (i) DCM, [Pd(cod)Cl₂], rt, 30 min; (ii) DCM, AgBF₄, rt, 30 min; (iii) DCM, [Pd(allyl)Cl₂] or [Pd(2-Me-allyl)Cl₂], AgBF₄ or AgOTf, rt, 30 min.

Figure 6: Molecular structures of [2a-PdCl₂] (left) and [5-Pd(2-Me-allyl)]⁺ (right). Anisotropic displacement ellipsoids set at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.

1.9 M). The reaction was left at this temperature for 30 min, warmed to rt and stirred for 1 h. This mixture was added to a solution of the chlorophosphine (15.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in 150 mL of THF at −78 °C, stirred for 30 min at this temperature and warmed to rt overnight. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was taken up in 300 mL
of toluene. The mixture was then filtered through a plug of Celite® and the solvent was evaporated in vacuo yielding the desired product which was used without further purification in the subsequent metalation steps. For compound numbering and the preparation of ligand 7, see Supporting Information File 1.

General procedure for the preparation of metal complexes:
A solution of the ligand (100 μmol, 1.0 equiv) in 5 mL of DCM was added to the metal precursor [M]–X (100 μmol, 1.0 equiv) and the mixture was stirred for 30 minutes. At this point, the product was either isolated by layering with toluene and pentane yielding the desired neutral product or AgBF₄ (alternatively AgOTf) (100 μmol, 1.0 equiv) was added to produce the cationic complex. The suspension was then stirred in the dark for another 30 minutes, the solid residue was filtered off and the filtrate was layered with toluene and pentane, and stored at −40 °C. This procedure yielded a powder or in several cases a off-white precipitate. After days to remove residual solvent. Alternatively, the complexes can be synthesized starting from a preformed cationic precursor [M]–BF₄ (or [M]–OTf). In this case, a solution of the ligand (100 μmol, 1.0 equiv) in 5 mL of DCM was added to the metal precursor [M]–X (100 μmol, 1.0 equiv). The mixture was stirred for 30 minutes, filtered, layered with toluene and pentane and stored at −40 °C. Additional purification steps were carried out as described above. For details see Supporting Information File 1.

Table 3: Selected structural parameters of the crystallized complexes [2a-PdCl₂], [2a-PdCl₂(BF₄)₂] and [5-Pd(allyl)]OTf.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P–Pd [Å]</th>
<th>N–Pd [Å]</th>
<th>α° [°]</th>
<th>β° [°]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[2a-PdCl₂]</td>
<td>2.1823(7)</td>
<td>2.043(2)</td>
<td>121.5(2)</td>
<td>83.59(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[2a-PdCl₂(BF₄)₂]</td>
<td>2.1861(11) [2.1848(10)]</td>
<td>2.007(2) [2.019(2)]</td>
<td>121.4(2) [121.4(3)]</td>
<td>83.62(7) [83.69(7)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[5-Pd(2-Me-allyl)]OTf</td>
<td>2.2806(14)</td>
<td>2.107(3)</td>
<td>122.2(3)</td>
<td>82.24(8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a° Denotes the N–C–N angle ([2a-PdCl₂], [2a-PdCl₂(BF₄)₂] or the N–C–C angle ([5-Pd(allyl)]OTf), respectively. b° Denotes the N–Pd–P angle. Values for the second palladium center of the dimer are provided in square brackets.
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