BEILSTEIN JOURNAL OF ORGANIC CHEMISTRY

Palladium-catalyzed enantioselective three-component synthesis of α -arylglycine derivatives from glyoxylic acid, sulfonamides and aryltrifluoroborates

Bastian Jakob, Nico Schneider[‡], Luca Gengenbach[‡] and Georg Manolikakes^{*}



Abstract

A palladium-catalyzed enantioselective three-component reaction of glyoxylic acid, sulfonamides and aryltrifluoroborates is described. This process provides modular access to the important α -arylglycine motif in moderate to good yields and enantioselectivies. The formed α -arylglycine products constitute useful building blocks for the synthesis of peptides or arylglycine-containing natural products.

Introduction

 α -Amino acids play a crucial role in every aspect of our human life [1]. They are important synthetic intermediates in the chemical industry and used for the production of drugs, fertilizers, (biodegradable) polymers or nutritional supplements [2]. More importantly, α -amino acids form the backbone of all proteins and enzymes are therefore essential for almost all biological processes. In the last twenty years non-proteinogenic and chemically synthesized unnatural amino acids received increasing attention due to advances in protein-engineering and the development of protein-based therapeutics [3,4]. Among the different types of non-proteinogenic and unnatural amino acids, α -arylglycines play a particular important role. The arylglycine scaffold can be found in several well-known natural products with interesting biological properties, such as the glycopeptide antibiotics vancomycin and teicoplanin [5] or feglymycin [6], a 13mer peptide which contains nine α -arylglycines in its backbone. α -Arylglycine derivatives are used in the production of important drugs, e.g., the antiplatelet drug clopidogrel [7] or the β -lactam antibiotic amoxicillin [8] (Figure 1).

Therefore, the chemical synthesis of α -aryglycines has received considerable attention. Among the different methods intro-



duced over time, multicomponent reactions utilizing an in situ generated reactive imine species provide a very flexible approach to the arylglycine scaffold [2,9]. The Petasis borono-Mannich reaction constitutes a prominent example for such an imine-based multicomponent reaction (Scheme 1a). The reaction of glyoxylic acid, an amine component and an arylboronic acid offers a highly modular access to arylglycines from three readily available building blocks [10-12]. The Petasis borono-Mannich reaction usually proceeds in the absence of any external catalyst via zwitterionic intermediates and an intramolecular transfer of the aryl residue form the activated boronate to the electrophilic iminium carbon, leading to the amine product as racemic mixture. Consequently, examples for asymmetric Petasis borono-Mannich reactions are rare [13] and usually rely on the utilization of chiral amine components in stoichiometric amounts [10,11].

As part of our research program utilizing the in situ generation of reactive imine species, we have disclosed iron- and bismuthcatalyzed three-component reactions for the synthesis of α -arylglycines [14-16], in which the arylboronic acid could be replaced with an electron-rich (hetero)arene as nucleophile. In parallel, we have developed palladium-catalyzed three-component reactions between arylboronic or carboxylic acids, amides or sulfonamides and different aldehyde components as attractive and broadly applicable alternative to the classical Petasis borono-Mannich reaction (Scheme 1b) [17-21]. Recently, we were able to extend these transformations to a palladium-catalyzed enantioselective synthesis of α -arylglycine bearing a free carboxylic acid functionality directly from the parent glyoxylic acids (Scheme 1c) [22]. We could show that the desired arylglycine can be synthesized in good to excellent enantioselectivities. However, depending on the nature/substitution pattern of the arylboronic acid, some of the arylglycine products could only be obtained in very low enantioselectivities. This can be attributed to a fast, uncatalyzed racemic background reaction of the boronic acids, in particular for electron-rich or sterically hindered arylboronic acids.

Herein, we report an improved version of this palladium-catalyzed enantioselective three-component reactions using aryltrifluoroborates as replacement of the arylboronic acid building



block (Scheme 1d). The broader scope of this 2nd generation protocol is exploiting a slow release of the boronic acid from the aryltrifluoroborates and enables to enantioselectively synthesize of a broader variety of arylglycines, including a common building block for several biologically active compounds.

Results and Discussion

During our previous studies, we observed that the enantioselectivity of the three-component coupling of glyoxylic acid (employed as its solid, easy-to-handle monohydrate) with 2,2,4,6,7pentamethyl-2,3-dihydrobenzofuran-5-sulfonylamide, and an arylboronic acid was significantly affected by the nature of the boronic acid. Whereas the reaction with phenylboronic acid afforded the Pbf-protected [23] phenylglycine derivative **10a** in high yield and enantioselectivity, an almost racemic mixture of **10b** was obtained from the corresponding (*p*-methoxyphenyl)boronic acid (**2b**, Scheme 2a). This decrease in enantioselectivity can be attributed to a faster racemic background reaction (pathway A) via ate complex **11a** [10] of the electronrich, more nucleophilic (*p*-methoxyphenyl)boronic acid (**2b**), which outcompetes the palladium-catalyzed pathway B (Scheme 2b). In turn, suppression or at least a significant deceleration of the uncatalyzed background reaction should lead to an increase in enantioselectivity. Decreasing the arylboronic acid to active catalyst ratio could be one possible opportunity to decrease the rate of the background reaction. Thus, we envisioned that this could be achieved by the slow generation of small amounts of the boronic acid from a suitable precursor. Among different boronic acid derivatives, we identified aryltrifluoroborates as most promising candidates for the slow generation of the corresponding arylboronic acids under our slightly acid reaction conditions [24].

Therefore, we performed two initial control experiments. The reaction of potassium phenyltrifluoroborate with 2,2,4,6,7-



pentamethyl-2,3-dihydrobenzofuran-5-sulfonylamide and glyoxylic acid in nitromethane at 40 °C in the presence and absence of our previously established Pd(TFA)₂-*S*,*S*-iPrBox catalyst system (Scheme 3). To our delight, the palladium-cata-

lyzed transformation afforded the desired α -arlyglycine in 30% yield and an enantiomeric ratio of 94:6. In the absence of a catalyst, the racemic product was formed in 61% yield. The comparison with the uncatalyzed reaction using free phenylboronic



acid showed that the reaction of the phenyltrifluoroborate is considerably slower (61% yield after 16 h vs 89% after 2 h with $PhB(OH)_2$). These preliminary studies confirmed our initial hypothesis that aryltrifluoroborates can be utilized as precursors for a slow release of the free boronic acid in our palladium-catalyzed three-component reaction.

Therefore, we started to optimize the reaction conditions for the use of potassium aryltrifluoroborate salts (Table 1). A quick

survey of different solvents showed that the reaction proceeds efficiently only in nitromethane (Table 1, entry 1). Reactions in other common solvents, such as ethyl acetate, acetonitrile, tetra-hydrofuran or dichloromethane led to the formation of the aryl-gylcine in trace amounts (Table 1, entries 2–5). Contrary to our previous report with arylboronic acids, the presence of air is highly detrimental to the reaction outcome (Table 1, entry 6). Therefore, inert conditions were employed throughout all subsequent studies. Increasing the reaction temperature to 60 °C and

Table 1: Reaction optimization.						
\prec	O O S NH2	+ BF ₃ K +	0 H ₂ 0 Н СООН	Pd(TFA) ₂ 10.0 m S,S'-iPrBox 15.0 m solvent, temperatu time, additives	re,	O O COOH
	5a 1.0 equiv	9a 2.0 equiv	3 1.3 equiv		ľ	10a
Entry	Conditions				Yield (%) ^a	er
1	10 mol % Pd(TFA) ₂ , 15 mol % ligand L1 , 40 °C, 16 h, MeNO ₂				30	94:6
2	EtOAc instead of MeNO ₂				traces	-
3	MeCN instead of MeNO ₂				traces	-
4	THF instead of MeNO ₂				traces	-
5	CH ₂ Cl ₂ instead of MeNO ₂				traces	-
6	under N ₂ atmosphere				40	98:2
7	reaction at 60 °C				54	96:4
8	reaction at 80 °C				55	94:6
9	64 h reaction time				45	98:2
10	with 2.6 equiv glyoxylic acid monohydrate				65	97:3
11	with 2.6 equiv glyoxylic acid monohydrate, 1.0 equiv CaCO ₃ , 2.0 equiv tartaric acid; MS 4 Å				79	96:4
^a Isolated yield of analytically pure product.						

80 °C furnished the desired product in increased yields of 54 and 55%, respectively, together with a slight erosion of enantioselectivity (Table 1, entries 7 and 8). Prolonging the reaction time to 64 h increased the yield to 45% without affecting the enantioselectivity (Table 1, entry 9). Also, increasing the amount of glyoxylic acid monohydrate to 2.6 equivalents furnished the arylglycine product in an improved yield of 64% and comparable enantioselectivity (Table 1, entry 10). During our experiments, we often observed partial clouding of the used glass vessel, most likely due to slow release of hydrofluoric acid, an effect which has been observed before with trifluoroborate salts [25]. Since the release of hydrofluoric acid could lead to complications with acid-labile substrates (e.g., the Pbf-protected compound 10a) and safety issues, we decided to investigate the influence of various fluoride scavengers as additives in the three-component process [25,26]. An extensive study (not shown), revealed that most common scavengers either led to a

decreased yield, a decreased stereoselectivity or a combination of both. Yet a combination of CaCO₃, tartaric acid, and 4 Å molecular sieves, each already employed a HF scavenger by itself, did afford the desired arylglycine in high yields and enantioselectivities (Table 1, entry 11). Although, the use of this scavenger combination did lead to a slightly decreased enantioselectivity (96:4 vs 97:3), we decided to rely on these conditions in order to avoid potential troubles arising from HF release.

With the optimized conditions identified, next we studied the reaction of glyoxylic acid monohydrate and 2,2,4,6,7-pentamethyl-2,3-dihydrobenzofuran-5-sulfonylamide with different aryltrifluoroborate salts. To our delight, these three-component reactions afforded the desired arylglycines in consistently high levels of enantioselectivity, even for electron-rich aryltrifluoroborates (Scheme 4). This can be highlighted by the synthesis of the methoxy-substituted arylglycine **10b**, which



was obtained in 55% yield and an enantiomeric ratio of 87:13 (compared to 68% and an enantiomeric ratio of 60:40 with the boronic acid). As in the case of arylboronic acids, reactions with a sterically hindered *ortho*-substituted trifluoroborate furnished the arylglycine product in almost racemic form. Unfortunately, reactions with aryltrifluoroborates did not proceed as efficiently as with the free boronic acid and the arylglycine products **10c**–**j** were obtained in decreased yields compared to our previous reactions with ArB(OH)₂. We assume that a faster protodeborylation, presumably associated with the release of HF, leads to this general decrease of the isolated yields.

As already demonstrated in our previous work, the Pbf-protected arylglycine products can be directly used as building blocks for peptide synthesis [22].

Finally, we utilized our method for the preparation of a protected version of *p*-hydroxyphenylglycine (Scheme 5), a common structural motif in vancomycin, teicoplanin, feglymycin, and amoxicillin. Therefore, the OBn-protected aryltrifluoroborate was subjected to our standard reaction conditions, affording the desired *N*,*O*-protected (*S*)-arylglycine derivative **10k** in 38% yield and an enantiomeric ratio of 88:12. By employing the corresponding *R*,*R*-iPrBox-ligand the second enantiomer, (*R*)-arylglycine **10l** could be prepared with a similar yield and enantioselectivity.

Conclusion

In summary, we have reported a palladium-catalyzed enantioselective three-component reaction of aryltrifluoroborates, sulfonamides, and glyoxylic acid. This method is an improved extension of our pervious protocol with arylboronic acids and provides access to enantioenriched α -arylglycines with an improved substrate diversity. It can be used for the direct synthesis of peptide-like building blocks, which can find direct application in the total synthesis of arylglycine-containing natural products. Currently, we are performing a detailed mechanistic study in order to overcome still existing limitations of the method and to provide a truly general approach to arylglycines with uniformly high yields and enantioselectivities.

Supporting Information

Supporting Information File 1 Experimental section and characterization data. [https://www.beilstein-journals.org/bjoc/content/ supplementary/1860-5397-19-52-S1.pdf]

Funding

Financial support by the research unit NanoKat (RPTU Kaiserslautern-Landau) is gratefully acknowledged.



ORCID[®] iDs

Georg Manolikakes - https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4013-5757

Preprint

A non-peer-reviewed version of this article has been previously published as a preprint: doi:10.26434/chemrxiv-2023-cfv7c

References

- Nelson, D. L.; Cox, M. M. Lehninger Principles of Biochemistry, 5th ed.; W.H. Freeman: New York, NY, USA, 2008.
- Hughes, A. B., Ed. Amino Acids, Peptides and Proteins in Organic Chemistry; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2011. doi:10.1002/9783527631841
- Tsomaia, N. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2015, 94, 459–470. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2015.01.014
- Lutz, S. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 2010, 21, 734–743. doi:10.1016/j.copbio.2010.08.011
- Van Bambeke, F.; Van Laethem, Y.; Courvalin, P.; Tulkens, P. M. Drugs 2004, 64, 913–936. doi:10.2165/00003495-200464090-00001
- Dettner, F.; Hänchen, A.; Schols, D.; Toti, L.; Nußer, A.; Süssmuth, R. D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 1856–1861. doi:10.1002/anie.200804130
- Plosker, G. L.; Lyseng-Williamson, K. A. Drugs 2007, 67, 613–646. doi:10.2165/00003495-200767040-00013
- Fisher, J. F.; Mobashery, S. *Bioorg. Chem.* 2014, *56*, 41–48. doi:10.1016/j.bioorg.2014.05.011
- Herrera, R. P.; Marqués-López, E. Multicomponent Reactions: Concepts and Applications for Design and Synthesis; John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2015. doi:10.1002/9781118863992
- Candeias, N. R.; Montalbano, F.; Cal, P. M. S. D.; Gois, P. M. P. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 6169–6193. doi:10.1021/cr100108k
- 11. Wu, P.; Givskov, M.; Nielsen, T. E. *Chem. Rev.* **2019**, *119*, 11245–11290. doi:10.1021/acs.chemrev.9b00214
- 12. Paul, J.; Presset, M.; Le Gall, E. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2017**, 2386–2406. doi:10.1002/ejoc.201700038
- Lou, S.; Schaus, S. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 6922–6923. doi:10.1021/ja8018934
- Schneider, A. E.; Beisel, T.; Shemet, A.; Manolikakes, G. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2014, 12, 2356–2359. doi:10.1039/c4ob00265b
- 15. Halli, J.; Manolikakes, G. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, 7471–7475. doi:10.1002/ejoc.201301349
- Halli, J.; Schneider, A. E.; Kramer, P.; Shemet, A.; Manolikakes, G. Synthesis 2017, 49, 849–879. doi:10.1055/s-0035-1561499
- Beisel, T.; Manolikakes, G. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 3162–3165. doi:10.1021/acs.orglett.5b01502
- Beisel, T.; Manolikakes, G. Synthesis 2016, 48, 379–386. doi:10.1055/s-0035-1560910
- 19. Beisel, T.; Diehl, A. M.; Manolikakes, G. *Org. Lett.* **2016**, *18*, 4116–4119. doi:10.1021/acs.orglett.6b02045
- 20. Beisel, T.; Manolikakes, G. *Org. Lett.* **2013**, *15*, 6046–6049. doi:10.1021/ol402949t
- Diehl, A. M.; Manolikakes, G. ChemCatChem 2020, 12, 3463–3466. doi:10.1002/cctc.202000652
- Jakob, B.; Diehl, A. M.; Horst, K.; Kelm, H.; Manolikakes, G. Front. Chem. (Lausanne, Switz.) 2023, 11, 1165618. doi:10.3389/fchem.2023.1165618

- Carpino, L. A.; Shroff, H.; Triolo, S. A.; Mansour, E.-S. M. E.; Wenschuh, H.; Albericio, F. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1993**, *34*, 7829–7832. doi:10.1016/s0040-4039(00)61487-9
- 24. Lennox, A. J. J.; Lloyd-Jones, G. C. Isr. J. Chem. 2010, 50, 664–674. doi:10.1002/ijch.201000074
- Lennox, A. J. J. Organotrifluoroborate Preparation, Coupling and Hydrolysis; Springer International Publishing: Cham, Switzerland, 2013. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-01134-9
- 26. Blacker, A. J.; Moran-Malagon, G.; Powell, L.; Reynolds, W.; Stones, R.; Chapman, M. R. *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **2018**, *22*, 1086–1091. doi:10.1021/acs.oprd.8b00090

License and Terms

This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Beilstein-Institut Open Access License Agreement (https://www.beilstein-journals.org/bjoc/terms), which is identical to the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

(<u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0</u>). The reuse of material under this license requires that the author(s), source and license are credited. Third-party material in this article could be subject to other licenses (typically indicated in the credit line), and in this case, users are required to obtain permission from the license holder to reuse the material.

The definitive version of this article is the electronic one which can be found at: https://doi.org/10.3762/bjoc.19.52