BEILSTEIN JOURNAL OF ORGANIC CHEMISTRY

Asymmetric tandem conjugate addition and reaction with carbocations on acylimidazole Michael acceptors

Brigita Mudráková¹, Renata Marcia de Figueiredo², Jean-Marc Campagne² and Radovan Šebesta^{*1}



Abstract

We present here a stereoselective tandem reaction based on the asymmetric conjugate addition of dialkylzinc reagents to unsaturated acylimidazoles followed by trapping of the intermediate zinc enolate with carbocations. The use of a chiral NHC ligand provides chiral zinc enolates in high enantiomeric purities. These enolates are reacted with highly electrophilic onium compounds to afford densely substituted acylimidazoles. DFT calculations helped to understand the reactivity of the zinc enolates derived from acylimidazoles and allowed their comparison with metal enolates obtained by other conjugate addition reactions.

Introduction

Asymmetric metal-catalyzed conjugate additions provide access to numerous chiral scaffolds. This type of C–C bond formation efficiently enables the construction of stereogenic centers using polar organometallics [1]. In this way, 1,4-additions of typical organometallics such as dialkylzinc, Grignard reagents, and trialkylaluminum have been developed [2-9]. Recently, also Cu-catalyzed conjugate additions of organozirconium [10,11] or organoboron reagents were realized [12]. Also, in terms of suitable Michael acceptors as substrates, unsaturated ketones, aldehydes, esters, thioesters, amides, alkenyl heterocycles and enoyl heterocycles became viable for conjugate additions. The maturity and robustness of this methodology is documented by its applications in the total syntheses of complex natural products and other molecules of biological relevance [13,14].

Acylimidazoles proved to be versatile building blocks broadly applicable in asymmetric catalysis and organic synthesis. Today, acylimidazoles are used as ester/amide surrogates, because of their particular chemical and physical properties [15]. In addition to ester/amide synthesis, enoyl imidazolides were developed as excellent Michael acceptors. Acylimidazoles are unique electrophiles that demonstrate moderate reactivity, relatively high stability, chemical selectivity, and high solubility in water. Among exceptional properties belongs to easy post-transformation of acylimidazoles to common carbonyl analogs. These tunable properties allow the use of acylimidazoles in chemical biology research, which includes chemical synthesis of proteins/peptides, structure analysis, and functional control of RNA [16]. Moreover, Campagne and co-workers showed that Cu–NHC-catalyzed conjugate additions of dialkylzinc reagents proceed with high enantioselectivities [17-19]. Furthermore, this methodology allows iterative access to 1,3-disubstituted motifs that are present in various natural products [20].

A salient feature of conjugate additions of organometallic reagents is that they generate reactive metal enolates as primary products. These enolates can be used in a variety of subsequent transformations [21]. Chiral enolates generated by conjugate additions react with carbonyl compounds, imines, other Michael acceptors, or alkyl halides. Our group is developing trapping of metal enolates with stabilized carbocations and could show that magnesium enolates generated from enones [22], unsaturated amides [23], or heterocycles reacted with tropylium, dithiolylium or flavylium cations [24]. These non-traditional electrophiles allow access to structurally highly interesting motifs. In addition, they are amenable to valuable synthetic transformations such as oxidative ring contraction of the cycloheptatrienyl ring or reduction of the benzodithiolyl group.

In this context, we decided to study a tandem reaction comprising the Cu–NHC-catalyzed addition of dialkylzinc reagents to enoyl imidazoles followed by a trapping reaction with various onium compounds (Scheme 1). In this work we show the development of this methodology and its application to a range of acylimidazoles and carbocations.

Results and Discussion

For initial experiments, we have selected the conjugate addition of Me₂Zn to acylimidazole **1a** catalyzed by a chiral NHC ligand derived from imidazolium salt **L1**. This NHC precursor has been described previously by Gérard, Mauduit, Campagne and co-workers [19]. The ligand **L1** is excellent in asymmetric conjugate additions of dialkylzincs to acylimidazoles [25]. The initial reaction conditions were inspired by literature precedence on conjugate additions. As the first electrophile for trapping of the chiral enolate, we have used tropylium bistriflimide (Scheme 2). Following our earlier experience, we employed



the tropylium ion with the more lipophilic bis(trifluoromethane)sulfinimide (NTf₂) anion because of its better solubility in the applied organic solvents than the commercially available tetrafluoroborate (BF₄) form [22-24]. The cyclic urea DMEU (1,3-dimethyl-2-imidazolidinone) [26] additive was used as polar aprotic solvent, which also increases the homogeneity of the reaction mixture.

In the first reaction, 1.1 equiv of tropylium NTf₂ were used. The reaction worked at room temperature and after 16 h the product 3a was isolated in low 22% yield and a 2:1 diastereomeric ratio (Table 1, entry 1). First we attempted to increase the yield and the diastereoselectivity of the reaction by prolonging the reaction time (Table 1, entry 2). However, a shorter reaction time was preferable as after only one hour the product was isolated in a better yield (33%) with good dr (4:1, Table 1, entry 3). The addition of two equivalents of tropylium NTf₂ led to a decrease of the diastereoselectivity (Table 1, entry 4). In the next tandem reaction, 1.1 equiv of tropylium bistriflimide (2a) were added to the reaction mixture every hour until the full conversion of the starting acylimidazole 3a (Table 1, entry 5) as monitored by TLC analysis. The reaction was completed after 3 hours, meaning that the in situ-formed enolate needed 3.3 equiv of tropylium $NTf_2(2a)$ to complete the reaction. By this route, the tandem product 3a was isolated in a high yield of 93% but without any diastereoselectivity. The reaction was also carried out using 3.3 equiv of the electrophile added in one portion. The full conversion of the starting acylimidazole 1a was observed after 30 minutes (TLC monitoring), however, the diastereoselectivity remained low (Table 1, entry 6). Neither an increase nor decrease of the reaction temperature led to improved reaction outcomes (Supporting Information File 1, Table S1, entries 2 and 11). We have continued the evaluation of reaction conditions for improving the diastereoselectivity of the reaction. We have tested transmetallation of the in situ-generated zinc enolate to the ammonium enolate by treatment with n-tetrabutylammonium chloride (Table 1, entry 7). For this purpose, the enolate was added to a solution of n-Bu₄NCl in THF, and then the reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min before the addition of tropy-lium NTf₂. Prolonging the transmetallation reaction time led to the formation of only one diastereomer, but in a low yield of 11%. Neither the addition of LiCl helped to increase the diastereoselectivity of the reaction (Table 1, entry 8). See Supporting Information File 1, for complete optimization of the reaction conditions.

Achieving diastereoselective reactions on acyclic systems is often difficult due to small energy differences between reacting conformers. In the case of our trapping reaction of chiral imidazolyl enolates, the lack of diastereoselectivity may be associated with the presence of an E/Z mixture of enolates. This would also explain why at lower onium salt amount and shorter reaction times the diastereoselectivities are higher but at the expense of the overall yield.

With the optimized reaction conditions in our hands, we next explored the scope of the domino reaction. Structurally diverse onium compounds 2 were tested to probe their reactivity with the Zn-enolate derived from 1a (Scheme 3). The onium compounds 2a and 2b were obtained by anion exchange using LiNTf₂ from the commercially available tetrafluoroborate salts. Onium compounds 2c and 2d were obtained by acidic dehydration of the corresponding hydroxy derivatives using HBF₄ or CF₃SO₃H (see Supporting Information File 1 for more details). The corresponding tandem products were isolated in medium to good yields as mixtures of diastereomers and high enantiomeric purities were recorded for selected tandem products. The enantioselectivity of this reaction is mainly governed by the conjugate addition step and, in comparison to conjugate addition products described in the literature [19], these tandem products differed only slightly. So, we can assume that all tandem products have high enantiomeric purities.

Table 1: Results of selected optimization experiments.					
Entry	Tropylium NTf ₂ [equiv]	Additive	Time [h]	Yield [%]	dr (<i>S,R</i>)/(<i>R,R</i>)- 3a ^a
1	1.1	_	16	22	2:1
2	1.1	-	72	15	1:1
3	1.1	-	1	33	4:1
4	2.0	-	16	33	2:1
5 ^b	3.3	_	3	93	1:1
6	3.3	-	0.5	94	1:1
7	3.3	<i>n</i> -Bu₄N+CI⁻	1	11	>99:1
8	3.3	LiCl	16	73	1:1

^aThe diastereoselectivity of the reaction was determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy of the crude reaction mixture; ^b3 portions (1.1 equiv each) of tropylium NTf₂ were added to the reaction mixture every one hour until the full conversion of **3a**.



Absolute configurations of tandem products were determined by comparison of experimentally measured electronic circular dichroism (ECD) spectra with those of the DFT-calculated ones (Figure 1 and see Supporting Information File 1 for more details). CD spectra were calculated for the two most populated conformers for both diastereomers of product **4**. The best match between the experimental and averaged calculated spectra was achieved by B97-3c/def2-mTZVP and PBE0-D4/def2-SVP methods. The presence of many conformers in these types of derivatives complicates their analysis and decreased the fit be-



tween experimental and calculated CD spectra. Furthermore, the configuration at the position C-3 is determined by the chiral ligand L1 and was determined previously as (R) [19].

To gain insight into the reactivity of enolates formed in this transformation, we evaluated properties of Zn enolates by DFT calculations (Figure 2). The corresponding (E) and (Z)-enolates were calculated for products **3b** and **3d**, which possess either an alkyl (ethyl) or an aryl (phenyl) substituent on the stereogenic center. To probe the nature of the imidazole moiety, enolates for products **3g** and **3i** were also calculated (with a shorter alkyl

chain to simplify the calculations). Single point energy calculations were performed using long-range corrected hybrid density functional ω B97X, which offer very good performance and have been recommended for general use in chemistry [27] with empirical dispersion correction D4 [28] (ω B97X-D4) and the triple-zeta def2-TZVPPD basis set [29]. Geometry optimizations were performed at the PBEh-3c/def2-mSVP level [30]. The energies of HOMOs show only small variations from -7.77 to -7.89 eV and the charges at the C-2 carbon obtained via natural population analysis also ranged only little from -0.254 to -0.291. Differences in HOMO energies and charges at C-2 of



enolates cannot account for the differences in the yields of the trapping products as these are affected by their specific stabilities and issues during isolation and purification. As an additional question that we tried to answer with these calculations was the comparison of the Zn enolates obtained from acylimidazoles in this work with other metal enolates obtained by related conjugate additions. In comparison, the HOMO energy of a related silvl ketene aminal was similar (-7.87 eV) but its NBO charge was more negative -0.343. The TMS enolate of benzoxazole has a higher HOMO energy of -7.13 eV and an even more negative NBO charge of -0.368 at the C-2 position. We can confer from these data that Zn enolates obtained from acylimidazoles are somewhat less reactive than silyl enol ethers obtained in the Lewis acid-promoted conjugate addition of Grignard reagents [23]. This finding correlates also with the slightly lower yields for the tandem products obtained with Zn enolates from acylimidazoles.

Conclusion

Enantioselective conjugate additions of dialkylzinc reagents afford chiral zinc enolates. These reactive species were trapped

with several highly electrophilic onium compounds to introduce synthetically valuable functionalities. In this way, a range of acylimidazoles featuring cycloheptatrienyl, benzodithiolyl, ferrocenyl, and chromenyl substituents were prepared. The DFT calculated HOMO energies and NBO charges of the intermediate zinc enolates allowed placement of these reactive intermediates among other metal enolates obtained in conjugate additions.

Experimental

General procedure for the one-pot conjugate addition of organozinc reagents to acylimidazole followed by trapping with carbocations: In a flame-dried Schlenk flask flushed with Ar, Cu(OTf)₂ (1.81 mg, 0.005 mmol, 2 mol %) and chiral NHC ligand L1 (3.36 mg, 0.0075 mmol, 3 mol %) were dissolved in freshly distilled anhydrous THF (1.0 mL) and the mixture was stirred for 10 min at rt. The reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C, and then 1.6 M *n*-BuLi (12.5 μ L, 0.02 mmol, 8 mol %) was added dropwise and the mixture was stirred for 10 min. Subsequently, 1.2 M dimethylzinc reagent in toluene (0.31 mL, 0.38 mmol, 1.5 equiv) was added dropwise to the solution and

the resulting mixture was also stirred for 10 min. The acylimidazole (0.25 mmol, 1.0 equiv) dissolved in anhydrous THF (0.5 mL) was added dropwise to the mixture. The reaction was stirred for 2 h, while it was slowly warmed up to rt. Then, the electrophile in anhydrous CH_2Cl_2 (1.0 mL) together with DMEU (20.2–40.4 µL, 75–150 mol %, to achieve homogeneity of the reaction mixture) were added to the reaction mixture followed by stirring at rt for 0.5–1 h. The reaction was quenched by the addition of 1 M HCl (6 mL) and EtOAc (6 mL). Then, the organic phase was washed with a sat. aq. solution of NaHCO₃ (6 mL), brine (6 mL), dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated under vacuum. The residue was purified by flash chromatography on SiO₂ (hexane/EtOAc 15:1).

Supporting Information

Supporting Information File 1

Characterization data for all compounds, computational details, and picture of NMR spectra. [https://www.beilstein-journals.org/bjoc/content/ supplementary/1860-5397-19-65-S1.pdf]

Funding

This work was supported by the Slovak Research and Development Agency under the Contract no. APVV-18-0242 and by the Operation Program of Integrated Infrastructure for the project, UpScale of Comenius University Capacities and Competence in Research, Development and Innovation, ITMS2014+: 313021BUZ3, co-financed by the European Regional Development Fund.

ORCID[®] iDs

Renata Marcia de Figueiredo - https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5336-6071 Jean-Marc Campagne - https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4943-047X Radovan Šebesta - https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7975-3608

References

- Alexakis, A.; Krause, N.; Woodward, S., Eds. *Copper-Catalyzed* Asymmetric Synthesis; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2014. doi:10.1002/9783527664573
- Krause, N.; Hoffmann-Röder, A. Synthesis 2001, 171–196. doi:10.1055/s-2001-10803
- Alexakis, A.; Benhaim, C. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2002, 3221–3236. doi:10.1002/1099-0690(200210)2002:19<3221::aid-ejoc3221>3.0.co;2u
- López, F.; Minnaard, A. J.; Feringa, B. L. Acc. Chem. Res. 2007, 40, 179–188. doi:10.1021/ar0501976
- Harutyunyan, S. R.; den Hartog, T.; Geurts, K.; Minnaard, A. J.; Feringa, B. L. *Chem. Rev.* 2008, *108*, 2824–2852. doi:10.1021/cr068424k

- Alexakis, A.; Bäckvall, J. E.; Krause, N.; Pàmies, O.; Diéguez, M. Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, 2796–2823. doi:10.1021/cr0683515
- Jerphagnon, T.; Pizzuti, M. G.; Minnaard, A. J.; Feringa, B. L. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 1039–1075. doi:10.1039/b816853a
- Wang, S.-Y.; Loh, T.-P. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 8694–8703. doi:10.1039/c0cc03211e
- Müller, D.; Alexakis, A. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 12037–12049. doi:10.1039/c2cc34607a
- Maksymowicz, R. M.; Bissette, A. J.; Fletcher, S. P. Chem. Eur. J. 2015, 21, 5668–5678. doi:10.1002/chem.201405855
- 11. Némethová, I.; Šebesta, R. *Synthesis* **2021**, *53*, 447–460. doi:10.1055/s-0040-1706055
- 12. Jang, W. J.; Yun, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 18131–18135. doi:10.1002/anie.201909712
- 13. Vargová, D.; Némethová, I.; Šebesta, R. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2020**, *18*, 3780–3796. doi:10.1039/d0ob00278j
- 14. Hui, C.; Pu, F.; Xu, J. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2017**, *23*, 4023–4036. doi:10.1002/chem.201604110
- Lauberteaux, J.; Pichon, D.; Baslé, O.; Mauduit, M.; de Figueiredo, R. M.; Campagne, J.-M. *ChemCatChem* **2019**, *11*, 5705–5722. doi:10.1002/cctc.201900754
- 16. Mino, T.; Sakamoto, S.; Hamachi, I. *Biosci., Biotechnol., Biochem.* 2021, *85*, 53–60. doi:10.1093/bbb/zbaa026
- Lauberteaux, J.; Crévisy, C.; Baslé, O.; de Figueiredo, R. M.; Mauduit, M.; Campagne, J.-M. *Org. Lett.* **2019**, *21*, 1872–1876. doi:10.1021/acs.orglett.9b00479
- Halbert, S.; Lauberteaux, J.; Blons, C.; de Figueiredo, R. M.; Crévisy, C.; Baslé, O.; Campagne, J.-M.; Mauduit, M.; Gérard, H. *ChemCatChem* **2019**, *11*, 4108–4115. doi:10.1002/cctc.201900233
- Drissi-Amraoui, S.; Schmid, T. E.; Lauberteaux, J.; Crévisy, C.; Baslé, O.; de Figueiredo, R. M.; Halbert, S.; Gérard, H.; Mauduit, M.; Campagne, J.-M. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2016**, *358*, 2519–2540. doi:10.1002/adsc.201600458
- 20. Drissi-Amraoui, S.; Morin, M. S. T.; Crévisy, C.; Baslé, O.; de Figueiredo, R. M.; Mauduit, M.; Campagne, J.-M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 11830–11834. doi:10.1002/anie.201506189
- 21. Vargová, D.; Némethová, I.; Plevová, K.; Šebesta, R. ACS Catal. 2019, 9, 3104–3143. doi:10.1021/acscatal.8b04357
- Drusan, M.; Rakovský, E.; Marek, J.; Šebesta, R. Adv. Synth. Catal.
 2015, 357, 1493–1498. doi:10.1002/adsc.201500074
- 23. Vargová, D.; Pérez, J. M.; Harutyunyan, S. R.; Šebesta, R. *Chem. Commun.* **2019**, *55*, 11766–11769. doi:10.1039/c9cc05041h
- Mudráková, B.; Kisszékelyi, P.; Vargová, D.; Zakiewicz, D.; Šebesta, R. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2022, 364, 1337–1344. doi:10.1002/adsc.202101485
- 25. Pichon, D.; Morvan, J.; Crévisy, C.; Mauduit, M. Beilstein J. Org. Chem. **2020**, *16*, 212–232. doi:10.3762/bjoc.16.24
- Leahy, J. W. Dichloroketene. *Encyclopedia of Reagents for Organic Synthesis (EROS)*; John Wiley & Sons, 2001. doi:10.1002/047084289x.rd123
- 27. Chai, J.-D.; Head-Gordon, M. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2008**, *10*, 6615–6620. doi:10.1039/b810189b
- 28. Caldeweyher, E.; Ehlert, S.; Hansen, A.; Neugebauer, H.; Spicher, S.; Bannwarth, C.; Grimme, S. J. Chem. Phys. 2019, 150, 154122. doi:10.1063/1.5090222
- Schäfer, A.; Huber, C.; Ahlrichs, R. J. Chem. Phys. 1994, 100, 5829–5835. doi:10.1063/1.467146
- Grimme, S.; Brandenburg, J. G.; Bannwarth, C.; Hansen, A. J. Chem. Phys. 2015, 143, 054107. doi:10.1063/1.4927476

License and Terms

This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Beilstein-Institut Open Access License Agreement (<u>https://www.beilstein-journals.org/bjoc/terms</u>), which is identical to the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

(<u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0</u>). The reuse of material under this license requires that the author(s), source and license are credited. Third-party material in this article could be subject to other licenses (typically indicated in the credit line), and in this case, users are required to obtain permission from the license holder to reuse the material.

The definitive version of this article is the electronic one which can be found at: https://doi.org/10.3762/bjoc.19.65