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1-Butyl-3-methylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate as suitable solvent for BF$_3$: the case of alkyne hydration. Chemistry vs electrochemistry

Marta David$^1$, Elisa Galli$^1$, Richard C. D. Brown$^2$, Marta Feroci$^1$, Fabrizio Vetica$^3$ and Martina Bortolami$^*$

Abstract
In order to replace the expensive metal/ligand catalysts and classic toxic and volatile solvents, commonly used for the hydration of alkynes, the hydration reaction of alkynes was studied in the ionic liquid 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate (BMIm-BF$_4$) adding boron trifluoride diethyl etherate (BF$_3$·Et$_2$O) as catalyst. Different ionic liquids were used, varying the cation or the anion, in order to identify the best one, in terms of both efficiency and reduced costs. The developed method was efficaciously applied to different alkynes, achieving the desired hydration products with good yields. The results obtained using a conventional approach (i.e., adding BF$_3$·Et$_2$O) were compared with those achieved using BF$_3$ electrogenerated in BMIm-BF$_4$, demonstrating the possibility of obtaining the products of alkyne hydration with analogous or improved yields, using less hazardous precursors to generate the reactive species in situ. In particular, for terminal arylalkynes, the electrochemical route proved to be advantageous, yielding preferentially the hydration products vs the aldol condensation products. Importantly, the ability to recycle the ionic liquid in subsequent reactions was successfully demonstrated.

Introduction
Alkynes are fundamental starting materials towards more complex organic compounds, widely used both in organic chemistry and in electrochemistry as raw materials for the preparation of different molecules of pharmaceutical and industrial interest [1-9]. Among the different organic transformations involving alkynes, their hydration is a well-known and useful
reaction in organic chemistry, affording carbonyl compounds based on an atom-economic approach. Indeed, the addition of water to the triple bond of a terminal alkyne leads to the formation of the corresponding methyl ketone or aldehyde, in the case of Markovnikov or anti-Markovnikov addition, respectively. On the other hand, the hydration of an internal unsymmetrical alkyne can lead to the formation of the two possible regioisomeric ketones.

The hydration reaction requires a catalytic species, able to polarize the alkyne triple bond to facilitate water attack. Initially, in 1881, Kucherov identified mercury(II) salts in sulfuric acid as efficient promoters of the hydration of alkynes and this catalyst system has found applications in industrial scale synthesis [10]. However, the toxicity and the environmental issues associated with the use of mercury-based compounds have stimulated the search for alternative catalysts and conditions for the hydration of alkynes, in order to identify safer and more sustainable methods [11-13]. In particular, transition-metal catalysts containing Au(I) or (III) [14-24], Ru(II) [25-30], Pd(II) [31-33], Pt(II) [34,35], Fe(III) [36,37], Cu(I) [38-41], Co(III) [42-44], as well as other metals, have been widely studied. In addition, methods involving Brønsted acids, alone or in presence of Lewis acids as co-catalysts, have been developed [45-54]. However, some of these procedures suffer from major drawbacks, such as the toxicity and/or high cost of the metal catalysts, the need to use concentrated Brønsted acids in high excess, long reaction times, and high temperatures. In addition, these reactions have been studied mainly in classical volatile and, in some cases, toxic organic solvents, such as dioxane, tetrahydrofuran, methanol, dichloromethane or 1,2-dichloroethane.

The efficiency of the reported catalysts and of the examined reaction conditions are variable according to the alkynes considered and, nowadays, the identification of new catalysts as well as increasingly mild, economic and sustainable reaction conditions remain fundamental objectives for research in the field of organic chemistry. In recent years, alternative methods have been developed, including the use of different heterogeneous catalysts, to ensure their recovery and reusability after several reaction cycles [55-68], or the use of eco-friendly reaction media [69-72]. Recently, Zhang and co-workers reported an electrochemical procedure for the hydration of ary lacetlenes, under mild reaction conditions, without transition metal catalysts, added oxidants, or strong acids involved, using Selectfluor (1-chloromethyl)-4-fluoro-1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane-1,4-diium ditetrafluoroborate as essential additive [73].

With regard to the reaction medium, the idea of replacing classic organic solvents with alternative solvents could represent an important innovation for alkyne hydration. In particular, ionic liquids (ILs) could represent a valid alternative to conventional organic solvents. ILs are generally liquid salts at or near room temperature, formed by large unsymmetrical organic cations and weakly coordinating or not-coordinating organic or inorganic anions. They have interesting physicochemical properties that differentiate them from the organic solvents commonly used in synthesis [74-77]. Importantly, they have a very low vapour pressure, and therefore do not behave as air pollutants. This also facilitates their recovery and recycling. Furthermore, they generally exhibit low flammability, high thermal and chemical stability, good thermal and electrical conductivity, together with the ability to solubilize organic and inorganic compounds of different polarity [78-81]. Considering the intrinsic ionic nature of ILs, they act as very different chemical medium compared to molecular solvents, having the possibility of stabilizing charged or dipolar intermediates. Therefore, ILs can be used to modulate outcomes for some chemical reactions [82,83].

There are only a few reported examples of the hydration reaction of alkynes carried out in ILs. In one case, a dicationic IL, containing sulfuric acid as catalyst, was used as reaction medium to carry out the hydration of different alkynes under mild conditions (40–60 °C, 0.5–1 h) [84]. In a second case, different Brønsted acid ionic liquids (BAILs) have been used both as medium and as catalysts for the hydration of various alkynes (60 °C, 10–24 h) [85,86]. In these works, the ILs were efficiently reused for subsequent reaction cycles. Another research group reported the use of commercially available 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium hexafluorophosphate (BMIIm-PF6) as co-solvent with methanol and water to allow recycling of a phosphine-based Au(I) complex, as an efficient catalytic system for the hydration of terminal alkynes [87]. Moreover, the interesting properties of ILs have also been exploited to synthesize new solid polymeric catalysts for the hydration of alkynes, named poly(ionic liquid)s (PIls), using trifluoroethanol as solvent [88,89].

One of the most studied classes of ILs in organic chemistry are 1,3-disubstituted imidazolium cations, which are cheap, liquid over a wide range of temperatures, and possess good solvating properties [90,91]. Due to their wide electrochemical window, imidazolium ILs are commonly used in organic electrochemistry, simultaneously as solvents and supporting electrolytes [92-94]. In addition, the cathodic reduction (both in batch [95] and in flow [96]) can be exploited for the generation of N-heterocyclic carb enes (NHCs), extensively studied as organocatalysts as well as ligands for transition-metal-promoted synthetic methodologies [97-99]. Under anodic oxidation, the electrogeneration of boron trifluoride (BF3) from tetrafluoroborate
ILs occurs [100,101]. Moreover, we have recently demonstrated the possibility to efficiently apply the electrogenerated BF$_3$ in IL for different classical acid-catalysed reactions [102,103]. Specifically, electrogenerated BF$_3$ in 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate (BMIIm-BF$_4$) appears as an alternative and less dangerous source of BF$_3$ compared to commercially available BF$_3$ diethyl etherate (BF$_3$-Et$_2$O), commonly used in organic synthesis. Indeed, the main advantages of the developed system are: 1) in situ generation of BF$_3$, which avoids its storage and handling, 2) the possibility to control the amount of electrogenerated BF$_3$ using current by simply starting or stopping the electrolysis, 3) the absence of fuming, most probably due to the ability of the IL to stabilize the Lewis acid, 4) reduced sensitivity to moisture, due to the protective action of the IL, and 5) the possibility of recycling the same sample of IL for subsequent reaction cycles. In addition, with computational studies we demonstrated the greater stability of BF$_3$ in BMIIm-BF$_4$ compared to BF$_3$-Et$_2$O [103].

Based on the ever increasing need to identify new eco-friendly catalysts and/or reaction media for the hydration of alkynes, and considering our previous works on ILs and electrogeneration of BF$_3$, the aim of this work was to explore the hydration of alkynes, and 5) the possibility of recycling the same sample of IL for subsequent reaction cycles. In addition, with computational studies we demonstrated the greater stability of BF$_3$ in BMIIm-BF$_4$ compared to BF$_3$-Et$_2$O [103].

Initially the reaction was carried out without added water, in the presence of a large excess of BF$_3$-Et$_2$O (5 equiv) (as often reported in the literature). Only after a large excess of water was added (5 equiv) did the hydration reaction proceed. All reactions were carried out in sealed vials, in 1 mL BMIIm-BF$_4$ at 80 °C for the time indicated in Table 1. At the end of the reaction the mixture was extracted with diethyl ether and the extracts were washed with water to obtain the crude, which was analysed using NMR spectroscopy.

Table 1: Optimization of the reaction conditions for hydration of diphenylacetylene (1a)$^a$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>BF$_3$-Et$_2$O (equiv)</th>
<th>H$_2$O (equiv)</th>
<th>BMIIm-BF$_4$ (dried or not dried)</th>
<th>Time (18 h)</th>
<th>Yield 2a [%]$^d$</th>
<th>Recovered 1a [%]$^d$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1$^a$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>dried</td>
<td>5 h</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2$^a$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>not dried</td>
<td>5 h</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3$^a$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>dried</td>
<td>18 h</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4$^a$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>dried</td>
<td>5 h</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5$^a$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>dried</td>
<td>18 h</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6$^a$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>dried</td>
<td>5 h</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7$^a$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>dried</td>
<td>18 h</td>
<td>96 (90)$^h$</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8$^a$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>dried</td>
<td>18 h</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9$^a$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>dried</td>
<td>18 h</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>not dried</td>
<td>65 h</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>not dried</td>
<td>65 h</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$All the reactions were carried out at 80 °C in BMIIm-BF$_4$, with 0.3 mmol of diphenylacetylene (1a); $^b$equivalents with respect to 1a; $^c$BMIIm-BF$_4$ was kept under vacuum (7 mbar) for 16 h before each use (dried) or used as such (not dried); $^d$yields calculated from $^1$H NMR spectra of the crude extracts; $^e$the same recycled IL was used for the experiments in entry 1 and 3; $^f$the same recycled IL was used for the experiments in entries 2, 4–6 and 8; $^g$the same recycled IL was used for the experiments in entries 7 and 9; $^h$yield of the product 2a isolated after column chromatography.
ported in literature, see as an example [104]). The reaction was conducted for 5 h at 80 °C using either “stock” (undried) BMIm-BF₄ (Table 1, entry 2) or “dry” BMIm-BF₄ (kept under vacuum for 16 h before use, entry 1). Due to the hygroscopic nature of the ILs, the water present within the “stock” BMIm-BF₄ was evidently enough to give the hydration product 2a with 73% yield (Table 1, entry 2). However, even in the dried IL, without external addition of water, the product was obtained with 53% yield (Table 1, entry 1), demonstrating that the applied drying process was not sufficient to eliminate all the water present.

By increasing the reaction time, from 5 h to 18 h (Table 1, entry 3), recycling the IL used in the experiment in entry 1 (after drying the IL under vacuum for 16 h), there was a significant increase in product yield from 53% to 87%.

Then, we investigated addition of water to BMIm-BF₄, as the literature reports that the hydrolysis of the anion of this IL is quite slow in the presence of excess water (less than 5% BF₄⁻ hydrolysis in a 1:1 in volume IL/water solution kept at 45 °C for 24 h) [105]. It should be noted that the same treatment carried out on 1-methyl-3-octylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate (OMIm-BF₄) evidenced a much higher extent of BF₄⁻ hydrolysis. This is probably due to the weaker interaction between cation and anion of the IL as the length of the side alkyl chain increases, which makes the BF₄⁻/water interaction more effective. Although Saitoh and co-workers demonstrated that BF₄⁻ hydrolysis generates HF, which reacts with the surrounding glass container yielding SiF₄²⁻ (signal at ~130 ppm in ¹⁹F NMR spectrum) [106], we never detected such a peak in ¹⁹F NMR spectra of the neat IL, analysed after reaction work-up, keeping it under vacuum to completely eliminate diethyl ether traces before NMR analysis. It should be mentioned that the solution was kept in the NMR tubes only for the time necessary to record the NMR spectra. We cannot exclude that a much longer contact time between glass and solution could evidence such a signal.

Using dried IL and adding 1 equiv of water with respect to alkene (Table 1, entries 4 and 5), the yield of 2a improved from 53% to 72% after 5 h (Table 1, entry 1 vs 4), and from 87% to 95% after 18 h (Table 1, entry 3 vs 5). Therefore, comparable yields of 2a can be obtained using the “stock” IL (Table 1, entry 2) or the dried IL by adding 1 equiv of water (Table 1, entry 4). Clearly, the amount of water contained in the IL can be affected by various factors, in particular how long the bottle has been opened and to how much moisture it has been exposed, so from the point of view of reproducibility it was preferred to dry the IL and add a defined amount of water. By increasing the amount of water to 2 equiv, the yields of the desired product did not change (compare Table 1, entries 4 vs 6, and 5 vs 7).

A modest decrease in the yield of 2a was observed when the amount of BF₃·Et₂O was reduced (4 and 3 equiv) in the presence of 1 equiv of water for 18 h, although the yields of the reaction product still remained high (>80%, Table 1, entries 8 and 9). Further investigation using lower amounts of BF₃·Et₂O revealed that a 92% yield of 2a could be realized using 3 equiv of the Lewis acid by extending the reaction time to 65 h (Table 1, entry 10). A further reduction in the amount of BF₃·Et₂O to 2 equiv resulted in a lower yield of 66% after the same reaction time (65 h, Table 1, entry 11). The experiments reported in Table 1 suggest that the best conditions for the hydration of diphenylacetylene (1a) are 5 equiv of BF₃·Et₂O, 1 or 2 equiv of H₂O, at 80 °C for 18 h (Table 1, entries 5 and 7). Importantly, as shown in Table 1, the same samples of BMIm-BF₄ were efficiently reused up to five times, without adversely affecting the reaction yields.

**Screening of different ionic liquids as media for the hydration of diphenylacetylene**

After the optimization of the reaction conditions in BMIm-BF₄, different ILs were considered as alternative solvent (Table 2 and Table 3). All the experiments were carried out under the conditions reported in entry 9 of Table 1, in order to observe possible variations in the yield of compound 2a. ILs with different anions or cations (compared to BMIm-BF₄) were investigated to probe potential interactions with the reagents, the intermediates or the reaction product. All the ILs were dried under vacuum for 16 h, prior to use.

Considering the imidazolium tetrafluoroborate ILs, with the exception of BMIm-BF₄, a progressive decrease in the yield of 2a, from 76% to 31%, was observed by increasing the length of the aliphatic chain linked to the imidazolic ring (Table 3, entries 1–5). Although BMIm-BF₄ gave a slightly higher yield than that obtained with EMIm-BF₄, the general trend suggests that probably the increase in the lipophilicity of the ILs impairs the reaction, hindering the attack of water to the triple bond. Furthermore, the reaction in BDMIm-BF₄, with an additional methyl group in 2 position of the imidazolic ring, gave 2a with a lower yield compared to BMIm-BF₄ (Table 3, entry 6 vs 2). Replacing the imidazolium cation with 1-butyl-3-methylpyridinium led to a drastic reduction of the yield of 2a, to 35% (Table 3, entry 7).

By keeping the 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium cation unchanged, anion variation also affected the reaction yield. Indeed, in the presence of triflate, acetate or trifluoroacetate anions the desired product was obtained only in trace amounts (Table 3, entries...
with hexafluorophosphate anions afforded hydrated product 2a. Otherwise, ILs possessing bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide and hexafluorophosphate anions afforded hydrated product 2a with slightly better yields (87%) compared to those achieved with the BF$_4^-$ counter anion (Table 3, entries 8–9 vs 2), suggesting PF$_6^-$ and Tf$_2$N$^-$ do not hinder the reactivity of BF$_3$ in the hydration reaction.

Based on these results, considering the higher cost of BMIm-Tf$_2$N and BMIm-PF$_6$, the preferred IL among those tested, in terms of both yield and cost, is BMIm-BF$_4$.

The reaction was also carried out using dioxane as solvent [108]. In this case, the product was obtained with a very low yield of 10% (Table 3, entry 13). This result emphasizes the importance of the use of an IL as a solvent, not only for its green aspect, in particular for its very low vapour pressure and for the possibility of its recycling, but also for its ability to stabilize ionic or polar intermediates, improving the reaction efficiency.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3: Hydration reaction of diphenylacetylene 1a in different ILs$^a$.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Entry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$All the reactions were carried out with 0.3 mmol of diphenylacetylene (1a), 3 equiv of BF$_3$-Et$_2$O, 1 equiv of H$_2$O, at 80 °C for 18 h; $^b$the ILs were kept under vacuum for 16 h before use; $^c$yields calculated from the $^1$H NMR spectra of the crude extracts; $^d$replicate of experiment reported in entry 9 of Table 1, for comparison.

10–12). This could be explained by the fact that these anions could coordinate the Lewis acid BF$_3$ through the negatively charged oxygen [107], decreasing availability of BF$_3$ for catalysis.

Otherwise, ILs possessing bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide and hexafluorophosphate anions afforded hydrated product 2a with slightly better yields (87%) compared to those achieved with the BF$_4^-$ counter anion (Table 3, entries 8–9 vs 2), suggesting PF$_6^-$ and Tf$_2$N$^-$ do not hinder the reactivity of BF$_3$ in the hydration reaction.

Based on these results, considering the higher cost of BMIm-Tf$_2$N and BMIm-PF$_6$, the preferred IL among those tested, in terms of both yield and cost, is BMIm-BF$_4$.

The reaction was also carried out using dioxane as solvent [108]. In this case, the product was obtained with a very low yield of 10% (Table 3, entry 13). This result emphasizes the importance of the use of an IL as a solvent, not only for its green aspect, in particular for its very low vapour pressure and for the possibility of its recycling, but also for its ability to stabilize ionic or polar intermediates, improving the reaction efficiency.

Hydration of different alkynes catalysed by BF$_3$-Et$_2$O in BMIm-BF$_4$

Subsequently, the developed method was extended to different alkynes, both internal and terminal. The best results for the hydration reaction of each studied alkyne, catalysed by BF$_3$-Et$_2$O in BMIm-BF$_4$, are summarized in Table 4, while all the experiments carried out are reported in Table S1 in Supporting Information File 1. In order to avoid the use of a large excess of the Lewis acid, the conditions reported in entry 9 of Table 1 were chosen as reference for the study of the reactivity of different alkynes.
For the internal alkyl(aryl)alkynes a regioselective hydration occurred, with the only generation of the corresponding aryl ketones, formed after the attack of water to the pseudobenzylic position, as observed in Lewis acid-assisted Brønsted acid (LBA) catalysis [47-50]. Internal alkynes afforded the corresponding products in good to excellent yields (Table 4, entries 1–3). In particular, the unsymmetrical alkyl(aryl)alkynes 1b and 1c showed a higher reactivity compared to diphenylacetylene.

Table 4: Hydration of different alkynes catalysed by BF$_3$·Et$_2$O in BMIm-BF$_4$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Alkyne</th>
<th>BF$_3$·Et$_2$O</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>2, yield</th>
<th>3, yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="1a" /></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18 h</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="2a" /></td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="1b" /></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5 h</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="2b" /></td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="1c" /></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5 h</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="2c" /></td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="1d" /></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 h</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="2d" /></td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="1e" /></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 h</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="2e" /></td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="1f" /></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 h</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="2f" /></td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="1g" /></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 h</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="2g" /></td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4: Hydration of different alkynes catalysed by BF$_3$-Et$_2$O in BMIm-BF$_4$\(^a\). (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Alkyne</th>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>1h</td>
<td>1 h</td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Structure" />, 47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>1i</td>
<td>1 h</td>
<td><img src="image5" alt="Structure" />, 72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10(^a)</td>
<td><img src="image6" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>1j</td>
<td>2(^f) h</td>
<td><img src="image7" alt="Structure" />, 56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td><img src="image9" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>1k</td>
<td>3 h</td>
<td>2k, 79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td><img src="image10" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>1l</td>
<td>2 h</td>
<td>2l, 62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td><img src="image11" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>1m</td>
<td>5 h</td>
<td>2m, 65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td><img src="image12" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>1n</td>
<td>5 h</td>
<td>2n, 47%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)All the reactions were carried out at 80 °C in BMIm-BF$_4$, kept under vacuum for 16 h before each use, with 0.3 mmol of alkyne 1 and 0.3 mmol of H$_2$O; \(^b\)equivalents with respect to 1; \(^c\)yields calculated from $^1$H NMR spectra of the crude extracts; \(^d\)replicate of the experiment reported in entry 9 of Table 1; \(^e\)0.6 mmol of H$_2$O were used; \(^f\)equivalents with respect to one alkyne group of 1j.

(1a), affording the corresponding ketones in high yields after 5 h.

Otherwise, terminal alkynes generally showed higher reactivity compared to internal ones. For all the studied terminal alkynes, only ketone products (Markovnikov) were obtained, excluding the formation of the anti-Markovnikov ones. Hydration of phenylacetylene 1d carried out with 3 equiv of BF$_3$-Et$_2$O for 5 h gave the aldol condensation product 3d (58%) in addition to acetophenone 2d with low yield (32%) (see Table S1, Supporting Information File 1). Assuming that enone 3d is formed from acetophenone, catalysed by the excess Lewis acid present, the reaction was performed in presence of 1 equiv of BF$_3$-Et$_2$O and a reaction time of 1 h (Table 4, entry 4). In this way, the selec-
tivity was improved and only the hydration product 2d was obtained in 81% yield.

For electron-rich terminal alkynes, the corresponding ketones could not be selectively obtained without the aldol condensation products. Considering 4-methylphenylacetylene (1e), the reaction carried out with 3 equiv of BF$_3$·Et$_2$O for 5 h gave only the condensation product 3e (70%, see Table S1, Supporting Information File 1). Reducing the amount of BF$_3$·Et$_2$O to 1 equiv and the reaction time to 1 h (Table 4, entry 5) gave a mixture of ketones 2e and 3e (61% and 38%, respectively). Even reducing the amount of BF$_3$·Et$_2$O to 0.5 equiv did not improve the yield of the hydration product (see Table S1, Supporting Information File 1). The presence of a methyl group in the meta position in 1f decreased the selectivity with respect to formation of the hydration product 2f, favouring the condensation product 3f (Table 4, entry 6). On the other hand, an ortho methyl group in 1g favoured formation of the ketone 2g, with a good yield, probably due to the steric hindrance of the aldol condensation (Table 4, entry 7). As expected, based on the above consideration, 4-ethynyl-1,1’-biphenyl (1h) afforded both hydration and condensation products 2h and 3h in similar amounts (Table 4, entry 8), while the presence of a chlorine in the para position of the phenyl ring allowed to obtain the hydration product 2i with good yield, reducing its reactivity (Table 4, entry 9).

With 1,4-dimethynylbenzene (1j) both the products of mono (2j) and bis hydration (2jj) were obtained under all conditions tested (see Table S1, Supporting Information File 1). The highest selectivity for the generation of 2j was achieved with 2 equiv of BF$_3$·Et$_2$O for 1 h (Table 4, entry 10).

Aliphatic alkyne 1k showed a different reactivity compared to the other terminal alkynes. Indeed, in this case the corresponding condensation product was never obtained, while the hydration product 2k was obtained in good yield using 3 equiv of BF$_3$·Et$_2$O and extending the reaction time to 18 h (Table 4, entry 11).

The aliphatic alkyne 1l gave the corresponding hydration product 2l in good yield with 2 equiv of BF$_3$·Et$_2$O and a reaction time of 18 h (Table 4, entry 12).

The following step was to study the reactivity of BF$_3$·Et$_2$O in BMIm-BF$_4$ towards disubstituted alkynes containing a carbonyl group adjacent to the triple bond. This class of substrates, after water addition, yields 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds, which could yield BF$_2$-chelates under our experimental conditions [109]. In order to study their behaviour, we decided to avoid water during an initial work-up, to prevent a possible BF$_2$-chelate hydrolysis, and only ethereal extraction was carried out after the reaction.

When the reaction was carried out on ethyl 3-phenylpropiolate (1m, Table 4, entry 13), the analysis of the ethereal extracts showed the presence of the BF$_2$-chelate. In fact, the following convincing peaks were found in the NMR spectra: a singlet at 6.11 ppm, along with a quartet at 4.68 ppm (1H NMR spectrum), a peak at 83.3 ppm (13C NMR spectrum) and a singlet at −139.1 ppm (19F NMR spectrum) [109]. A simple washing with distilled water gave the corresponding ethyl benzoyleacetate (2m). Compared to the other studied alkynes, 1m required a larger excess of BF$_3$·Et$_2$O (5 equiv) to give the corresponding hydration product with a satisfactory yield. Indeed, this behaviour could be explained by the formation of the BF$_2$-chelate, which reduces the amount of BF$_3$ available for catalysis.

A similar behaviour was observed with ethyl 3-(4-chlorophenyl)propiolate (1n), although with lower yield due to the deactivating effect of the chlorine substituent in the para position of the phenyl ring (Table 4, entry 14).

Importantly, for the experiments involving the same alkylene (see Table S1, Supporting Information File 1), the same sample of BMIm-BF$_4$ was effectively reused, up to five times, demonstrating the advantage of using this IL as medium for this reaction.

Hydration of alkynes in electrogenerated BF$_3$/BMIm-BF$_4$ system

Based on previous works, which demonstrated the possibility to electrogenerate BF$_3$ in tetrafluoroborate ILs [100], and to efficiently use it to carry out different Lewis acid catalysed organic reactions [101-103], we investigated the applicability of the electrogenerated BF$_3$/BMIm-BF$_4$ system for the hydration reaction of alkynes. The best results for the hydration reaction of each studied alkyne, catalysed by electrogenerated BF$_3$ in BMIm-BF$_4$, are summarized in Table 5, while all the experiments carried out are reported in Table S2 in the Supporting Information File 1.

Regarding internal alkynes, the electrogenerated BF$_3$ (4 F/mol)/BMIm-BF$_4$ system proved to be highly efficient for 1a, 1b and 1c, delivering the corresponding ketones in excellent yields, which were comparable or better than those achieved using BF$_3$·Et$_2$O (Table 5, entries 1–3, and Figure 1).

In contrast to earlier results, an interesting behaviour was observed with the terminal alkynes. Indeed, the terminal aryalkynes 1d–h afforded the corresponding hydration prod-
Table 5: Hydration of different alkynes catalysed by electrogenerated BF$_3$ in BMIm-BF$_4$.$^a$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Alkyne</th>
<th>Electrogenerated BF$_3$ (F/mol)$^b$</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Product 2, yield$^c$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><img src="" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>18 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><img src="" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>1b</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><img src="" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>1c</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td><img src="" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>1d</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td><img src="" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>1e</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td><img src="" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>1f</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td><img src="" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>1g</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td><img src="" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>1h</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 h</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5: Hydration of different alkynes catalysed by electrogenerated BF$_3$ in BMIm-BF$_4$.\textsuperscript{a} (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Alkyne</th>
<th>BF$_3$</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1i</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18 h</td>
<td>2i</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1j</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5 h</td>
<td>2j</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1k</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18 h</td>
<td>2k</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1l</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>18 h</td>
<td>2l</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1m</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>18 h</td>
<td>2m</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}BMIm-BF$_4$, kept under vacuum for 16 h before each use, was electrolyzed (galvanostatic conditions: 10 mA·cm$^{-2}$) on platinum electrodes (rt, N$_2$) in divided cell configuration. At the end of electrolysis, alkyne 1 (0.3 mmol) and H$_2$O (0.3 mmol) were added to the anolyte. All the reactions were carried out at 80 °C for the time reported in table; \textsuperscript{b} amount of electrogenerated BF$_3$ with respect to starting alkyne, admitting a 100% current efficiency (1 mF = 96.5 C = 1 mmol of BF$_3$); \textsuperscript{c} yields calculated from $^1$H NMR spectra of the crude extracts; \textsuperscript{d} 3e, 9%; \textsuperscript{e} 0.6 mmol of H$_2$O were used. \textsuperscript{f} the electrolysis was carried out in the presence of the alkyne (0.3 mmol) in the anodic compartment. At the end of electrolysis, H$_2$O (0.3 mmol) was added to the anolyte, then the reaction was carried out at 80 °C for the time reported in table.

Interestingly, the alkynes 1e, 1f, and 1h, which in the chemical route provided considerable amounts of the condensation products and moderate yields for the hydration products, with the electrochemical route gave the corresponding hydration products with excellent yields, significantly better compared to those obtained with BF$_3$·Et$_2$O (Table 5, entries 5, 6, and 8). Reduction in the amount of BF$_3$·Et$_2$O to 0.5 equiv did not improve the yields of the hydration products (see Table S1, Supporting Information File 1).

The application of the electrochemical conditions to 1,4-diethynylbenzene (1j) using 2 F/mol selectively afforded ketone 2j after 1 h, after hydration of one alkyne group, in low yield (39%), with the majority of the starting alkyne being recovered (46%) (see Table S2, Supporting Information File 1). Increasing the amount of electrogenerated BF$_3$ by applying 4 F/mol and extending the reaction time to 18 h (Table 5, entry 9, and Figure 1) reversed the selectivity in favour of the diketone 2jj (Table 5, entry 10),
which had not been achieved using BF$_3$·Et$_2$O as a reagent (see Table 4, entry 10).

For the aliphatic alkyne $1k$ the hydration product $2k$ was obtained with moderate yield by exploiting the electrogeneration of 2 F/mol of BF$_3$ (Table 5, entry 11). Unfortunately, an increase in the amount of electrogenerated BF$_3$ did not improve the yield of the desired product (see Table S2, Supporting Information File 1).

By exploiting the electrogeneration of 4 F/mol of BF$_3$, with the aliphatic alkyne $1l$ the corresponding hydration product $2l$ was obtained with low yield (Table 5, entry 12).

Unfortunately, any attempt to hydrate disubstituted alkynes containing a carbonyl group adjacent to the triple bond ($1m$, $1n$) with electrogenerated BF$_3$, according to the procedure adopted for the other alkynes, failed, yielding only starting material.

We then tried to electrogenerate BF$_3$ in BMIm-BF$_4$, directly in the presence of the alkyne $1m$ or $1n$ in the anodic compartment. Surprisingly, for alkyne $1m$ this approach has allowed to obtain the hydration product $2m$, with a yield (58%) slightly lower than that observed in the chemical route (Table 5, entry 13, and Figure 1). Otherwise, with the alkyne $1n$, also in this way, the hydration product was not obtained. In addition to the different reactivity, due to the presence or not of chlorine in the para position of the phenyl group, the different physical state (liquid for $1m$ vs solid for $1n$) and the possible different solubility in BMIm-BF$_4$ at room temperature (according to the electrolysis conditions) may have affected the results obtained with these substrates. Further studies will be necessary to clarify the behaviour of alkynes containing a carbonyl group adjacent to the triple bond.

After work-up, the electrolysed IL was placed under vacuum to eliminate diethyl ether traces and then analysed by NMR to check for BMIm-F presence, whereas the fluoride ion could originate from IL decomposition in the presence of water or from the evolution of electrogenerated F$_2$. However, the $^{19}$F NMR spectrum showed no detectable peak around −122 ppm, reported in the literature for BMIm-F [110]. The only difference between IL $^{19}$F NMR spectra before and after electrolysis is a peak at −148.7 ppm (referred to BF$_4^−$ at −150.6 ppm), possibly due to BF$_3$OH$^−$ or B$_2$F$_7^−$ [111,112] (see Supporting Information File 1, Figure S1a vs f and b, c). This last hypothesis is corroborated by the $^{19}$F NMR analysis of BMIm-BF$_4$ after anodic oxidation in a divided cell, which shows a peak at −147.3 ppm (besides the peak at −150.6 ppm due to BF$_4^−$) (see Supporting Information File 1, Figure S1e), which is replaced by a peak at −144.0 ppm (referred to −150.6 ppm for BF$_4^−$) when the electrolysis is carried out in an undivided cell (see Supporting Information File 1, Figure S1d). In this last case, in fact, the NHC-BF$_3$ adduct is formed between anodically electrogenerated BF$_3$ and cathodically electrogenerated NHC [103].
Evaluation of the current efficiency in the electrogeneration of BF$_3$ in BMIm-BF$_4$

In order to have an idea of the current efficiency in the electrogeneration of BF$_3$ in BMIm-BF$_4$ (a monoenergetic process, Scheme 1), we tried to quantitatively capture the electrogenerated BF$_3$ with a tertiary base just at the end of the electrolysis.

![Scheme 1: Anodic oxidation of tetrafluoroborate anion.](image)

We thus carried out the anodic oxidation of pure BMIm-BF$_4$ (divided cell, galvanostatic conditions) and stopped the electrolysis after 60 C (corresponding to 0.6 mmol of electrons). At the end of the electrolysis, 0.6 mmol of DIPEA were added to the anolyte and the mixture was kept under stirring at room temperature for 30 min. Then, the neat anolyte was analysed by NMR ($^{19}$F and $^{13}$C). A peak at 166.0 ppm in the $^{13}$C NMR spectrum appeared and no traces of starting DBU (peak at 150.6 ppm) were compared with those obtained in previous papers were obtained in molecular solvents, while we carried out the experiments in pure ionic liquid) [115].

To our surprise, the addition of additional DBU to this solution did not show the signal of DBU in the $^{13}$C NMR spectrum (161 ppm), but increased the 166 ppm peak intensity (due to the DBU adduct) (see Supporting Information File 1, Figure S5e). As regards the $^{19}$F NMR spectrum, a new peak at −148.6 ppm appeared, consistent with the formation of BF$_3$BF$_2$ or with the DBU-BF$_3$ adduct (a direct comparison with literature data is not possible in this case, as the NMR data reported in previous papers were obtained in molecular solvents, while we carried out the experiments in pure ionic liquid) [115].

To our surprise, the addition of additional DBU to this solution did not show the signal of DBU in the $^{13}$C NMR spectrum (161 ppm), but increased the 166 ppm peak intensity (due to the DBU adduct) (see Supporting Information File 1, Figure S5e). We have no explanation for this behaviour, but the possibility of the coordination of more than one DBU molecule could be a hypothesis. In this regard, Hartman and co-workers reported the formation of BF$_4$DBU$_x$ positively charged adducts (y from 1 to 3) [115]. Although we cannot exclude that the signal is due to the [DBU-H]$^+$, the $^{13}$C NMR of the reaction mixture did not highlight the presence of the NHC derived from the IL deprotonation.

Conclusion

In conclusion, in this work we demonstrated the possibility to carry out the hydration of alkynes in imidazolium ILs, as alternative solvents until now still little explored for this reaction, employing the Lewis acid BF$_3$ as catalyst. The catalyst was used both as BF$_3$Et$_2$O and as BF$_3$ directly electrogenerated in the IL. Among the investigated ILs, BMIm-BF$_4$ provided the best reaction yields and is preferred on the basis of cost. The results obtained with BF$_3$Et$_2$O were compared with those achieved using BF$_3$ electrogenerated in BMIm-BF$_4$, demonstrating the possibility of employing a less harmful system to obtain the products of alkyne hydration with analogous or improved yields. On the basis of the results obtained with the...
studied substrates, the electrochemical route would appear to be more advantageous for the more reactive terminal arylalkynes, in terms of selectivity and, in some cases, of yield.

The possibility of recycling the ionic liquid for subsequent reactions was successfully demonstrated, confirming the advantage of using BMIm-BF$_4$ as a green solvent for this reaction.

Together, these results demonstrate the promise of BMIm-BF$_4$/BF$_3$ (either with electrogenerated BF$_3$ or with BF$_3$·Et$_2$O) as an efficient and less harmful alternative to expensive metal/ligand catalysts, while avoiding conventional toxic and volatile solvents commonly used for the hydration of alkynes.

**Experimental**

**General Information**

All chemicals were commercial (Fluorochem, Aldrich) and used without further purification. Ionic liquids (ILs, Iolitec) were kept under vacuum (7 mbar) under stirring at 40 °C for 16 h before use. NMR spectra were recorded at ambient temperature on Bruker Avance spectrometer operating at 400 MHz ($^1$H NMR) and 100 MHz ($^{13}$C($^1$H) NMR) or on a Spinsolve 60 spectrometer operating at 62.5 MHz ($^1$H NMR), 15.7 MHz ($^{13}$C($^1$H) NMR) and 58.8 MHz ($^{19}$F NMR) using the solvent as internal standard. All the NMR spectra of neat IL were recorded on Bruker Avance spectrometer operating at 400 MHz ($^1$H NMR), 15.7 MHz ($^{13}$C($^1$H) NMR) or on a Spinsolve 60 spectrometer. The chemical shifts (δ) are given in ppm relative to TMS. Flash chromatography was carried out using silica (Merck; 40–63 μm particle size).

**General procedures**

**General procedure for the hydration of alkynes catalysed by BF$_3$·Et$_2$O in ILs**

In a 10 mL vial, 1 mL of the IL, a magnetic stirring bar and the amount of alkene, water and BF$_3$·Et$_2$O reported in Tables 1, 3, and 4 were added. The vial was sealed with a screw cap and the mixture was stirred at 80 °C in an oil bath. After the time indicated in Tables 1, 3, and 4, the mixture was extracted with diethyl ether (3 × 8 mL). The combined organic phase was washed with water (3 × 20 mL), dried on Na$_2$SO$_4$, filtered and then the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude was analysed by $^1$H NMR and $^{13}$C NMR and then the products were purified by column chromatography.

**Procedure for the generation of BF$_3$ in BMIm-BF$_4$**

Electrolyses were performed as reported above and stopped after the passage of 60 C. At the end of the electrolysis the cathodic compartment was removed and 0.6 mmol of the appropriate tertiary amine (DIPEA or DBU) were added to the anolyte. The mixture was stirred at room temperature under inert atmosphere (N$_2$) for 30 min. Then the neat IL was analysed by $^{13}$C NMR and $^{19}$F NMR on Spinsolve 60 spectrometer. For the experiment with DBU, after the analysis of the sample thus prepared, another aliquot of DBU was added directly into the NMR test tube (about 0.1 mmol of DBU for 0.5 mL of IL).

The reference DIPEA/BMIm-BF$_4$ or DBU/BMIm-BF$_4$ solutions were prepared by mixing 0.1 mmol of the appropriate base with 0.5 mL of BMIm-BF$_4$.

**Recycling of ILs**

The IL sample already used was recycled after the elimination of diethyl ether and water, by keeping the IL under vacuum (7 mbar) under stirring at 40 °C for 16 h.

**Procedure for the hydration of diphenylacetylene in dioxane**

Water (0.3 mmol) and BF$_3$·Et$_2$O (0.9 mmol) were added to a solution of diphenylacetylene (1a, 0.3 mmol) in dioxane (2 mL) in a 5 mL flask. The reaction mixture was stirred at 80 °C in an oil bath for 18 h. Then, the reaction mixture was diluted with diethyl ether (20 mL) and washed with water (3 × 20 mL). The organic phase was dried on Na$_2$SO$_4$, filtered and then the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude was analysed by $^1$H NMR and $^{13}$C NMR.
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Additive-controlled chemoselective inter-/intramolecular hydroamination via electrochemical PCET process

Kazuhiro Okamoto, Naoki Shida* and Mahito Atobe*

Abstract

Electrochemically generated amidyl radical species produced distinct inter- or intramolecular hydroamination reaction products via a proton-coupled electron transfer (PCET) mechanism. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) analysis indicated that the chemoselectivity was derived from the size of the hydrogen bond complex, which consisted of the carbamate substrate and phosphate base, and could be controlled using 1,1,1,3,3,3-hexafluoro-2-propanol (HFIP) as an additive. These results provide fundamental insights for the design of PCET-based redox reaction systems under electrochemical conditions.

Introduction

Proton-coupled electron transfer (PCET) enables the generation of various radical species under ambient conditions (Figure 1, top) [1]. In PCET processes, hydrogen bond formation between weak bases and acidic X–H bonds (X = N, O, C) is a key step, which is followed by concerted proton- and electron-transfer to give the corresponding radical species through oxidative X–H bond cleavage. One such species is the amidyl radical, which is broadly synthetically useful as a nitrogen source in hydroamination reactions and as a hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) reagent for remote C–H activation [2-8]. Recent advances in photoredox and electrochemical PCET reactions have significantly expanded the substrate scope of amidyl-radical-based molecular transformations because the harsh acidic and high-temperature conditions required in the classical Hofmann–Löffler–Freytag reaction can be avoided [9].

The initial aim of this study was the electrochemical generation of an amidyl radical as a HAT source for the synthesis of 1’-C-functionalized nucleosides via the generation of an anomic radical species from uridine derivative 1 (Figure 1, bottom) [10]. Although the HAT reaction failed, remarkable inter- and intramolecular chemoselectivities were observed in the hydro-
amination reaction. We investigated this phenomenon and found that complete inter-/intramolecular chemoselectivity could be achieved by modifying the reaction conditions, despite the presence of both inter- and intramolecular radical acceptor moieties. Therefore, we investigated the origin of this selectivity under electrochemical conditions.

Results and Discussion
Anodic oxidation of uridine derivative 1 was performed in a CH$_2$Cl$_2$/Bu$_4$NPF$_6$ (0.1 M) electrolyte system using a carbon felt (CF) anode and a Pt cathode in the presence of methyl vinyl ketone (MVK) as a radical acceptor (Table 1). Tetraethylammonium dibutyl phosphate (phosphate base), which operates as a PCET initiator through hydrogen bond formation with the N–H bond of amide/carbamate [11], was used as an additive. As a result, $N$-alkylated product 3 was exclusively obtained, implying that the expected HAT at the 1'-C position to afford 2 (Table 1, entry 1) had not occurred. In contrast, the reaction efficiency was significantly decreased in the absence of the phosphate base (Table 1, entry 2), and electricity is necessary to proceed the reaction (Table 1, entry 3); thus, the phosphate base plays a crucial role in $N$-alkylation, while its basicity is insufficient to promote aza-Michael addition ($pK_a$ of the conjugate acid of the phosphate base is 1.72 in H$_2$O) [12]. Furthermore, $N$-alkylation proceeded in a divided cell (anodic chamber); thus, the possibility of conjugate addition of a cathodically generated
Table 1: Electrochemical oxidation of 1 under varying conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Deviation from standard conditions</th>
<th>Yield [%]</th>
<th>Recovered 1 [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>57, 49&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; (3)</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>without phosphate base</td>
<td>13 (3)</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>without electricity</td>
<td>N.R.</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>divided cell (anodic chamber)</td>
<td>41 (3)</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>HFIP (2 equiv) as an additive</td>
<td>42, 27&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; (4)</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>AcOH (2 equiv) as an additive</td>
<td>10 (3)</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>MeCN instead of CH&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;Cl&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>17 (4)</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Yield was determined based on <sup>1</sup>H NMR by using benzaldehyde as an internal standard, and recovery rate of 1 was determined by the integral of H-1' proton. <sup>b</sup>Isolated yield.

carbamate anion was ruled out, prompting us to consider that N-alkylation proceeded via a radical mechanism. On the other hand, the addition of 1,1,1,3,3,3-hexafluoro-2-propanol (HFIP) led to the predominant formation of cyclized dimer 4 without N-alkylation, whereas the use of AcOH provided N-alkylated product 3 (Table 1, entries 5 and 6). When acetonitrile (MeCN) was used as the solvent, cyclized dimer 4 was obtained (Table 1, entry 7).

Next, 1 was subjected to cyclic voltammetry (CV) measurements under varying conditions (Figure 2). An oxidation wave was observed at approximately +1.4 V (Figure 2A). The oxidation current of this wave decreased significantly in the presence of a phosphate base and the subsequent addition of HFIP enhanced this phenomenon (Figure 2B, grey line). In contrast, using AcOH instead of HFIP did not affect the oxidation current (Figure 2B, blue line). We considered that the inter- and intramolecular chemoselectivities were derived from the pK<sub>a</sub> of the proton sources.

The pre-organization of the amide substrate and phosphate bases is an important process in PCET [13]. Recently, Gschwind et al. published a detailed NMR spectroscopic analysis of a PCET-mediated hydroamination reaction, which indicated that the pK<sub>a</sub> of the proton source (PhSH or PhOH in the study) determines the size of the hydrogen bond complex. PhSH as the more acidic additive (pK<sub>a</sub> = 6.62 in H<sub>2</sub>O) provided better results in the PCET-induced intramolecular hydroamination reaction compared to the less acidic PhOH (pK<sub>a</sub> = 9.95 in H<sub>2</sub>O) because PhSH supplied free protons (H<sup>+</sup>) and contributed to the
Figure 2: (A) Effect of phosphate base on the cyclic voltammogram of 1. (B) Cyclic voltammograms of 1 in the presence of additives (AcOH or HFIP). (C) Comparison of oxidation potentials of 1 using Bu$_4$NOAc or Bu$_4$NCl. (D) Cyclic voltammograms for the cathodic side. All cyclic voltammograms were recorded in CH$_2$Cl$_2$/Bu$_4$NPF$_6$ (0.1 M). Sample concentration was 0.01 M. A glassy carbon anode (φ 3 mm) and Pt cathode (φ 3 mm) were used. Scan rate = 100 mV/s.

persistence of small aggregates composed of the amide and phosphate base [14]. On the other hand, owing to the insufficient dissociation constant between the proton and phenoxide in PhOH, the PhOH molecule is included in the hydrogen bond network along with the tetrabutylammonium cation (Bu$_4$N$^+$) to form a large aggregate. The hydrogen bonding between the amide and phosphate base in the small aggregates was stronger than in the large aggregates, which significantly enhanced amidyl radical generation through the PCET mechanism.

The above studies provided us with valuable insights into the intriguing electrochemical behavior of 1 (Figure 3). Hydrogen bond formation between 1 and the phosphate base yielded small aggregates, the interaction efficiency of which with the electrode surface was lower than that of 1 because the relatively large hydrodynamic radius of the aggregates decreased the number of electrode-accessible molecules. This increase in the hydrodynamic radius resulted in a decrease in the oxidation current. In the present study, HFIP ($pK_a = 9.30$ in H$_2$O) [15] is less acidic than AcOH ($pK_a = 4.76$ in H$_2$O) with a $pK_a$ value similar to that of PhOH, which forms large aggregates under PCET conditions, as described above. Therefore, analogously, HFIP is expected to be included in the hydrogen-bonded complex. The resulting large aggregates further impeded access to the electrode surface, and a further decrease in the oxidation current was observed in the presence of HFIP (Figure 2B, grey line). In contrast, the more acidic AcOH supplied free protons, which enabled the persistence of small aggregates; thus, the current was not affected by the presence of AcOH (Figure 2B, blue line). However, in the presence of AcOH, the N-alkylation yield was low (Table 1, entry 6) owing to the competitive Kolbe oxidation of the cathodically generated acetate anion. In fact, the oxidation potential of Bu$_4$NOAc is lower than that of 1 (Figure 2C, orange line).

A decrease in the oxidation current can be considered as a decrease in the diffusion coefficient of the hydrogen bond complex; thus, we attempted to reproduce the CV pattern by compu-
Figure 3: Plausible models illustrating the size effect of the hydrogen bond complex on the interaction efficiency with electrode surfaces.

In cathodic events, the reduction of CH₂Cl₂ primally occurred under standard conditions because the reduction wave of the blank solution appeared at approximately −1.0 V (Figure 2D, blue line). The resulting cathodically generated chloride ion (Cl⁻) has a lower oxidation potential than 1 (Figure 2C, grey line); thus, it was subsequently oxidized on the anode to afford the halonium ion (Cl⁺), which can react with 1 to form unstable N–Cl species (B) in situ (Figure 4). Although we cannot detect the chlorinated intermediate of 1, electrolysis of N-propylcarbamate derivative under standard conditions gave the corresponding N–Cl species (C) as an unstable compound. We considered that this result as direct evidence for the plausibility of the existence of N–Cl species which driving the minor reaction pathway.

Further single-electron reduction affords the amidyl radical [17], which can react with MVK. Because N-alkylation also proceeded in the absence of a phosphate base but in a low yield (Table 1, entry 2), it can be concluded that only the N–Cl species contributed to N-alkylation in this case.

Based on the experimental and simulation results, we propose a plausible mechanism for the inter- and intramolecular hydroam-
Figure 4: Plausible mechanism for the inter-/intramolecular hydroamination of 1.

In the N-alkylation reaction, anodic oxidation of a small hydrogen-bonded complex produces amidyl radical A. The hydrophobic MVK molecule was excluded from the highly polar environment of this complex, but the resulting amidyl radical could access MVK because it still had a large surface area for interaction with the solution interface. As mentioned above, the amidyl radical can also be generated through N–Cl species B.
However, the large hydrogen-bond complex, which included HFIP, prevented amidyl radical access to MVK. In this case, intramolecular radical trapping by the uracil nucleobase was preferred, leading to the formation of the cyclized alkyl radical D. Continuous radical recombination furnished dimer 4.

Conclusion
We observed additive-controlled inter- and intramolecular chemoselectivity in the hydroamination of 1. Detailed CV analysis indicated that the size of the hydrogen bond complex determined the selectivity, and HFIP played a crucial role in expanding the hydrogen bond network. These results provide fundamental insights beneficial for the design of PCET-based redox reaction systems under electrochemical conditions.

Experimental
General procedure of anodic oxidation
Compound 1 (145 mg, 0.2 mmol), Bu₄NPF₆ (387 mg, 1 mmol), CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL), phosphate base (90 mg, 0.2 mmol) and methyl vinyl ketone (32.7 μL, 0.4 mmol) were added to a test tube, and Bu₄NPF₆ (387 mg, 1 mmol), phosphate base (90 mg, 0.2 mmol), CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL), and methyl vinyl ketone (32.7 μL, 0.4 mmol) were added to the anode chamber, and (90 mg, 0.2 mmol), CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL), and methyl vinyl ketone (32.7 μL, 0.4 mmol) were added to the cathode chamber. The anolyte was transferred to a round-bottomed flask, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Et₂O (20 mL) was added. The resulting precipitate was removed by filtration through a short silica gel pad under reduced pressure. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo and Et₂O (20 mL) was added. The resulting precipitate was subjected to ¹H NMR spectroscopy or column chromatography.
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