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Figure S1: Solid state absorption spectra of 9 (black) and 10 (red), spin-coated from chlorobenzene.


Figure S2: Solid state absorption spectra for $9: \mathrm{PC}_{71} \mathrm{BM}(1: 1)$ (black) and 10: $\mathrm{PC}_{71} \mathrm{BM}(1: 1)$ (red).


Figure S3: Solid state absorption spectra for $9: \mathrm{PC}_{71} \mathrm{BM}(1: 3)$ (black) and 10: $\mathrm{PC}_{71} \mathrm{BM}(1: 3)$ (red).


Figure S4: Emission spectra of 9 (black) and 10 (red) acquired from 400 nm excitation (dichlorobenzene solution).


Figure S5: Emission spectrum of 9 acquired from 550 nm excitation (solid state).


Figure S6: Emission spectrum of $\mathbf{1 0}$ acquired from 550 nm excitation (solid state).


Figure S7: Film thickness of $\mathbf{9}: \mathrm{PC}_{71} \mathrm{BM}(1: 3)$ from a Dektak profiler. To get an indication of the film thickness the film has been removed from five separate regions.


Figure S8: Film thickness of $10: \mathrm{PC}_{71} \mathrm{BM}(1: 3)$ from a Dektak profiler.


Figure S9: Wide-field of $9: \mathrm{PC}_{71} \mathrm{BM}$ (1:3). The black scale bar in the bottom left hand corner of the image is $80 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long. In this image there are five regions where aggregates are observed. These regions are defined with red circles.


Figure S10: Wide-field of $10: \mathrm{PC}_{71} \mathrm{BM}(1: 3)$. The black scale bar in the bottom left hand corner of the image is $80 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long. In this image there are seventeen regions where aggregates are observed. These regions are defined with red circles.


Figure S11: Tapping mode AFM height image of $10: \mathrm{PC}_{71} \mathrm{BM}$ (1:3) on fused silica substrate.


Figure S12: Tapping mode AFM phase image of $10: \mathrm{PC}_{71} \mathrm{BM}$ (1:3) on fused silica substrate.


Figure S13: Energy levels (top) and device structure (bottom) for $9: \mathrm{PC}_{71} \mathrm{BM}(1: 3)$.


Figure S14: Energy levels (top) and device structure (bottom) for $\mathbf{1 0}: \mathrm{PC}_{71} \mathrm{BM}(1: 3)$.


Figure S15: $J-V$ characteristics of 9: $\mathrm{PC}_{71} \mathrm{BM}$ varying donor/acceptor ratios under $100 \mathrm{~mW} \mathrm{~cm}{ }^{-2}$ illumination with a standard AM1.5 G source.

| Donor-Acceptor Ratio | Jsc [mA/cm ${ }^{2}$ ] | Voc [V] | FF [\%] | PCE [\%] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 : 1}$ | 0.24 | 0.44 | 28 | 0.03 |
| $\mathbf{1 : 1}$ | 0.51 | 0.39 | 30 | 0.06 |
| $\mathbf{1 : 2}$ | 2.46 | 0.56 | 23 | 0.32 |
| $\mathbf{1 : 3}$ | 3.02 | 0.62 | 30 | 0.56 |
| $\mathbf{1 : 4}$ | 2.76 | 0.57 | 24 | 0.37 |

Figure S16: Device characteristics for various donor/acceptor ratios of 9: $\mathrm{PC}_{71} \mathrm{BM}$.




Figure S17: Dominant transitions in TDDFT calculation of 9 at 612 nm .






Figure S18: Dominant transitions in TDDFT calculation of 9 at 510 nm .







Figure S19: Dominant transitions in TDDFT calculation of 9 at 370 nm .

Figure S20: Dominant transitions in TDDFT calculation of 10 at 641 nm .




Figure S21: Dominant transitions in TDDFT calculation of 10 at 510 nm .

Figure S22: Dominant transitions in TDDFT calculation of 10 at 403 nm .

Table S1. TDDFT Results

| Compound | Calculated Absorption peaks/nm | Transitions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 612 | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOMO } \rightarrow \text { LUMO (75\%); HOMO-3 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO+2 (12\%); } \\ \text { HOMO-3 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO }+3 \text { (13\%) } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 510 | HOMO-2 $\rightarrow$ LUMO+1 (32\%); HOMO-2 $\rightarrow$ LUMO+2 <br> (12\%); HOMO-1 $\rightarrow$ LUMO (9\%); HOMO-1 $\rightarrow$ LUMO +1 <br> (15\%); HOMO-1 $\rightarrow$ LUMO +2 (32\%) |
|  | 370 | HOMO-4 $\rightarrow$ LUMO (20\%); HOMO-4 $\rightarrow$ LUMO+2 (13\%); HOMO-3 $\rightarrow$ LUMO+1 (24\%); HOMO-3 $\rightarrow$ LUMO +3 (7\%); HOMO $\rightarrow$ LUMO +2 (27\%); HOMO $\rightarrow$ LUMO +4 (9\%) |
| 10 | 641 | HOMO $\rightarrow$ LUMO (83\%); HOMO-3 $\rightarrow$ LUMO+3 (17\%) |
|  | 510 | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOMO-3 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO }+1 \text { ( } 35 \% \text { ); HOMO-2 } \rightarrow \text { LUMO }+1 \\ (23 \%) ; \text { HOMO- } 1 \rightarrow \text { LUMO+2 (42\%) } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 403 | HOMO-4 $\rightarrow$ LUMO (32\%); HOMO-3 $\rightarrow$ LUMO+1 (14\%); HOMO-3 $\rightarrow$ LUMO +3 (23\%); HOMO $\rightarrow$ LUMO +2 (10\%); HOMO $\rightarrow$ LUMO +4 (21\%) |

