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Figure S12: $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for [Pd(tmeda)(L1)](NO$_3$)$_2$, 1a.
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Figure S18a: ESI-MS, isotopic pattern for [1b-BF$_4$]$^+$ i) experimental and ii) theoretical.

Figure S18b: ESI-MS, isotopic pattern for [1b-2BF$_4$]$^{2+}$ i) experimental and ii) theoretical.
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Figure S21: Partial $^1$H NMR spectra (400 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for (i) ligand L1; (ii)-(iii) monitoring the reaction of L1 and Pd(tmeda)(NO$_3$)$_2$ in 2:3 ratio (ii) after 24 h formation of [Pd(tmeda)(L1)](NO$_3$)$_2$, 1a; (iii) upon heating the mixture for further 72 h at 90 °C there was no change complex [Pd(tmeda)(L1)](BF$_4$)$_2$, 1b retained as such.

Figure S22: Partial $^1$H NMR spectra (400 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for (i) ligand L1; (ii)-(iii) monitoring the reaction of L1 and Pd(tmeda)(ClO$_4$)$_2$ in 2:3 ratio (ii) after 24 h formation of [Pd(tmeda)(L1)](ClO$_4$)$_2$, 1c and [Pd$_3$(tmeda)$_3$(L1)$_2$](ClO$_4$)$_6$, 2c (20 percentage) (iii) upon heating the mixture for further 72 h at 90 °C there was no change in the percentage of formation of [Pd$_3$(tmeda)$_3$(L1)$_2$](ClO$_4$)$_6$, 2c.
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**Figure S32:** Partial \(^1\)H NMR spectra (400 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\), 300 K) for (i) ligand L1; (ii) [Pd(L1)\(_2\)](NO\(_3\))\(_2\), 3a; (iii) [Pd(L1)\(_2\)](BF\(_4\))\(_2\), 3b; (iv) [Pd(L1)\(_2\)](ClO\(_4\))\(_2\), 3c and (v) [Pd(L1)\(_2\)](OTf)\(_2\), 3d.

**Figure S33a:** ESI-MS, isotopic pattern for [3a-NO\(_3\)]\(^+\) i) experimental and ii) theoretical.
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**Figure S42a**: ESI-MS, isotopic pattern for [4a-2NO₃]²⁺ i) experimental and ii) theoretical.

**Figure S42b**: ESI-MS, isotopic pattern for [4a-3NO₃]³⁺ i) experimental and ii) theoretical.

**Figure S42c**: ESI-MS, isotopic pattern for [4a-4NO₃]⁴⁺ i) experimental and ii) theoretical.

**Figure S43**: Partial ¹H NMR spectra (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆, 300 K) for (i) ligand L₁; (ii) a solution of [Pd(L₁)₂](NO₃)₂, 3a after standing for 15 days.
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Figure S47: Partial $^1$H NMR spectra (400 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for (i) ligand L1; (ii) [(NO$_3$)$_2$@Pd$_3$(L1)$_4$](NO$_3$)$_4$, 4a after standing for 15 days.
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Figure S50: Partial $^1$H NMR spectra (400 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for (i) ligand L1 ii) [(NO$_3$)$_2$@Pd$_3$(L1)$_4$](NO$_3$)$_4$, 4a; (iii) a mixture of pre-prepared [(NO$_3$)$_2$@Pd$_3$(L1)$_4$](NO$_3$)$_4$, 4a (labelled in blue) and ligand L1 that generated minor amount of [Pd$_1$(L1)$_2$](NO$_3$)$_2$, 3a (labelled in green) after stirring at 90 °C for 5 min.

Figure S51: 400 MHz $^1$H NMR spectra in DMSO-$d_6$ for in situ prepared (i) [(NO$_3$)$_2$@Pd$_3$(L1)$_4$](NO$_3$)$_4$, 4a; (ii) a sample prepared by addition of 1.5 equivalent of n-Bu$_4$NF to [(NO$_3$)$_2$@Pd$_3$(L1)$_4$](NO$_3$)$_4$, 4a (iii) [(F)$_2$@Pd$_3$(L1)$_4$](NO$_3$)$_4$, 5a obtained from a 3:1 combination of n-Bu$_4$NF with 4a.

Figure S52: 400 MHz partial $^1$H NMR spectra in DMSO-$d_6$ for in situ prepared (i) [(NO$_3$)$_2$@Pd$_3$(L1)$_4$](NO$_3$)$_4$, 4a; (ii) a sample prepared by addition of 1.5 equivalent of n-Bu$_4$NCl to [(NO$_3$)$_2$@Pd$_3$(L1)$_4$](NO$_3$)$_4$, 4a (iii) [(Cl)$_2$@Pd$_3$(L1)$_4$](NO$_3$)$_4$, 6a obtained from a 3:1 combination of n-Bu$_4$NCl with 4a.
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Figure S56: $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for 5a.

Figure S57: $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for 6a.

Figure S58: $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for 6a.

Figure S59a: H-H COSY (500 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for 6a.
Figure S59b: H-H COSY expansion (500 MHz, DMSO-d6, 300 K) for 6a
Figure S60a: C-H COSY (500 MHz, DMSO-d6, 300 K) for 6a
Figure S60b: C-H COSY expansion (500 MHz, DMSO-d6, 300 K) for 6a
Figure S61a: NOESY (500 MHz, DMSO-d6, 300 K) for 6a
Figure S61b: NOESY expansion (500 MHz, DMSO-d6, 300 K) for 6a
Figure S62: 1H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d6, 300 K) for 7a.
Figure S63: 13C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d6, 300 K) for 7a.
Figure S64a: H-H COSY (500 MHz, DMSO-d6, 300 K) for 7a.
Figure S64b: H-H COSY expansion (500 MHz, DMSO-d6, 300 K) for 7a.
Figure S65a: C-H COSY (500 MHz, DMSO-d6, 300 K) for 7a.
Figure S65b: C-H COSY expansion (500 MHz, DMSO-d6, 300 K) for 7a.
Figure S66a: NOESY (500 MHz, DMSO-d6, 300 K) for 7a.
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Figure S67: ESI-MS, isotopic pattern for [6a-2NO3]2+ i) experimental and ii) theoretical.
Figure S68a: ESI-MS, isotopic pattern for [7a-3NO3]3+ i) experimental and ii) theoretical.
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Section S1. Materials and Instruments

PdCl$_2$, Pd(NO$_3$)$_2$, tetra-$n$-butylammonium halides (fluoride, chloride, bromide and iodide) and tetra-$n$-butylammonium nitrate were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Triethylamine, AgNO$_3$, nicotinic acid, 4-dimethylaminopyridine, pyridine-3,5-dicarboxylic acid and common solvents were obtained from Spectrochem, India. Pyridine-3,5-diylidimethanol was prepared by reducing pyridine-3,5-dicarboxylic acid. Nicotinoylchloride hydrochloride was prepared from nicotinic acid. The deuterated solvents DMSO-$d_6$ and CDCl$_3$ were obtained either from Sigma-Aldrich or Cambridge Isotope Laboratories. Pyridine-3,5-diylidimethanol was prepared by reducing pyridine-3,5-dicarboxylic acid. Nicotinoylchloride hydrochloride was prepared from nicotinic acid. The deuterated solvents DMSO-$d_6$ and CDCl$_3$ were obtained either from Sigma-Aldrich or Cambridge Isotope Laboratories. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were recorded in CDCl$_3$ or DMSO-$d_6$ at room temperature (rt) using Bruker AV400 and AV500 spectrometers at 400 and 500 MHz for $^1$H NMR, COSY, NOESY and at 100 and 125 MHz for $^{13}$C NMR, respectively. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm) relative to residual solvent protons (7.26 ppm for CDCl$_3$ in $^1$H NMR and 77.16 in $^{13}$C NMR; 2.50 ppm for DMSO-$d_6$ in $^1$H NMR and 39.43 in $^{13}$C NMR). ESIMS spectra were recorded with Agilent Q-TOF and Micromass Q-TOF spectrometers.
Section S2: Numbering scheme of the compounds

Chart 1:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><a href="Y">Pd(tmeda)(L1)</a>₂</th>
<th><a href="Y">Pd₃(tmeda)₃(L1)</a>₆</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Y=NO₃</td>
<td>1a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y=BF₄</td>
<td>1b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y=ClO₄</td>
<td>1c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y=OTf</td>
<td>1d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[Pd(L1)]₂(Y)₂</th>
<th>[Pd₃(L1)]₄(Y)₄</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Y=NO₃</td>
<td>3a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y=BF₄</td>
<td>3b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y=ClO₄</td>
<td>3c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y=OTf</td>
<td>3d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X=Br</td>
<td>7a'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X=Br</td>
<td>7b'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Section S3. Synthesis of [Pd(tmeda)(L1)](Y)\((\text{Y})_2\), 1b-1d. (Y= BF\(_4\), ClO\(_4\) and OTf)

**[Pd(tmeda)(L1)](BF\(_4\))\(_2\), 1b:** To a solution of Pd(tmeda)(BF\(_4\))\(_2\) (11.9 mg, 0.03 mmol) in 3 mL of DMSO, ligand L1 (10.5 mg, 0.03 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 10 min to obtain a clear yellow solution. The resulting product was precipitated by addition of ethyl acetate (10 mL) and separated by centrifugation. The resulting off-white solid was washed with acetone (4 mL), dried under vacuum to afford the complex 1b (19.2 mg, isolated yield 86%).

The \(^1\)H NMR spectrum of the compound 1b is closely comparable with the data of compound 1a.

ESI-MS \(m/z\): 658.14 [1b – 1BF\(_4\)]\(^{+}\); 285.57 [1b – 2BF\(_4\)]\(^{2+}\)

**[Pd(tmeda)(L1)](ClO\(_4\))\(_2\), 1c:** To a solution of Pd(tmeda)(ClO\(_4\))\(_2\) (12.7 mg, 0.03 mmol) in 3 mL of DMSO, ligand L1 (10.5 mg, 0.03 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 10 min to obtain a clear yellow solution. The resulting product was precipitated by addition of ethyl acetate (10 mL) and separated by centrifugation. The resulting off-white solid was washed with acetone (4 mL), dried under vacuum to afford the complex 1c (20.1 mg, isolated yield 87%).

The \(^1\)H NMR spectrum of the compound 1c is closely comparable with the data of compound 1a.

ESI-MS \(m/z\): 672.09 [1c – 1ClO\(_4\)]\(^{+}\); 285.57 [1c – 2ClO\(_4\)]\(^{2+}\)

**[Pd(tmeda)(L1)](OTf)\(_2\), 1d:** To a solution of Pd(tmeda)(OTf)\(_2\) (15.6 mg, 0.03 mmol) in 3 mL of DMSO, ligand L1 (10.5 mg, 0.03 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 10 min to obtain a clear yellow solution. The resulting product was precipitated by addition of ethyl acetate (10 mL) and separated by centrifugation. The
resulting off-white solid was washed with acetone (4 mL), dried under vacuum to afford the complex 1d (20.1 mg, isolated yield 77%).

The $^1$H NMR spectrum of the compound 1d is closely comparable with the data of compound 1a.

ESI-MS $m/z$: 720.09 [1d – 1OTf]$^{1+}$; 285.57 [1d – 2OTf]$^{2+}$

Section S4. Synthesis of [Pd(L1)$_2$](Y)$_2$, 3b-3d. ($Y = BF_4$, ClO$_4$ and OTf)

[Pd(L1)$_2$(BF$_4$)$_2$, 3b: To a solution of [Pd(DMSO)$_4$](BF$_4$)$_2$, prepared by stirring a mixture of PdI$_2$ (10.8 mg, 0.03 mmol) and AgBF$_4$ (11.7 mg, 0.06 mmol) in 3 mL of DMSO at 90 °C for 30 min, ligand L1 (21.0 mg, 0.06 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was kept stirring at room temperature for 10 min. Subsequently, addition of 10 mL of ethyl acetate to the resulting solution precipitated a white solid which was separated by centrifugation. The solid was washed with 2 × 10 mL of acetone and dried under vacuum to afford complex 3b (16.5 mg, isolated yield 56%).

The $^1$H NMR spectrum of the compound 3b is closely comparable with the data of compound 3a.

ESI-MS $m/z$: 891.12 [3b – 1BF$_4$]$^{1+}$; 402.05 [3b – 2BF$_4$]$^{2+}$

[Pd(L1)$_2$(ClO$_4$)$_2$, 3c: To a solution of [Pd(DMSO)$_4$](ClO$_4$)$_2$, prepared by stirring a mixture of PdI$_2$ (10.8 mg, 0.03 mmol) and AgClO$_4$ (12.4 mg, 0.06 mmol) in 3 mL of DMSO at 90 °C for 30 min, ligand L1 (21.0 mg, 0.06 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was kept stirring at room temperature for 10 min. Subsequently, addition of 10 mL of ethyl acetate to the resulting solution precipitated a white solid which was separated by centrifugation. The solid was washed with 2 × 10 mL of acetone and dried under vacuum to afford complex 3c (20.2 mg, isolated yield 67%). The $^1$H NMR spectrum of the compound 3c is closely comparable with the data of compound 3a.
[Pd(L1)2](OTf)2, 3d: To a solution of [Pd(DMSO)4](OTf)2, prepared by stirring a mixture of PdI₂ (10.8 mg, 0.03 mmol) and AgOTf (15.4 mg, 0.06 mmol) in 3 mL of DMSO at 90 °C for 30 min, ligand L1 (20.1 mg, 0.06 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was kept stirring at room temperature for 10 min. Subsequently, addition of 10 mL of ethyl acetate to the resulting solution precipitated a white solid which was separated by centrifugation. The solid was washed with 2 × 10 mL of acetone and dried under vacuum to afford complex 3d (18.9 mg, isolated yield 57%). The ¹H NMR spectrum of the compound 3d is closely comparable with the data of compound 3a.

Section S5. Synthesis of [(X)₂@Pd₃(L1)₄](NO₃)₄, 5a-7a (where X= F, Cl or Br)

[(F)₂@Pd₃(L1)₄](NO₃)₄, 5a: To a solution of compound [(NO₃)₂@Pd₃(L1)₄](NO₃)₄, 4a in 0.4 mL of DMSO-d₆ (4.2 mg, 0.002 mmol), a solution of tetra-n-butylammonium fluoride (1.0 mg, 0.004 mmol) in DMSO-d₆ (0.2 mL) was added in portions (2 × 0.1 mL) and subsequently heated at 90 °C (the net volume for carrying out the reaction was 0.6 mL). The progress of the reaction was monitored by ¹H NMR spectroscopy at room temperature after the addition of each portion of the guest. The final spectrum showed a single set of peaks and a downfield shift of the pyridine α-H and β-H atoms of the pyridine rings. Since the complex was unstable it could not be isolated and was characterized by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆, 300 K): 10.88 (s, 1H, Hₐ), 10.05 (s, 1H, Hₐ), 9.82 (d, 1H, J = 4.6 Hz, Hₐ), 8.58 (d, 1H, J = 7.0 Hz, Hₐ), 8.21 (s, 1H, Hₐ), 7.98 (s, 1H, Hₐ), 5.44 (s, 2H, Hₐ).

[(Cl)₂@Pd₃(L1)₄](NO₃)₄, 6a: Synthesis of 6a was carried out in a similar fashion as for the synthesis of 5a but instead of TBAF, TBACl (1.1 mg, 0.004 mmol) was used. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆, 300 K): 11.21(d, 1H, J = 1.8 Hz, Hₐ), 10.42 (s, 1H, Hₐ), 9.84-9.83 (m, 1H, Hₐ), 8.60-8.58 (m, 1H, Hₐ), 8.17 (s, 1H, Hₐ), 8.01-7.99 (m, 1H, Hₐ), 5.47 (s, 2H, Hₐ). ¹³C
NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K): 162.30, 154.97, 153.01, 148.59, 141.47, 133.92, 128.23, 127.71, 64.38.
ESI-MS m/z : 956.02 [6a – 2NO$_3$]$^{2+}$

$[(\text{Br})_2@\text{Pd}_3(\text{L1})_4](\text{NO}_3)_4$, 7a: Synthesis of 7a was carried out in a similar fashion as for the synthesis of 5a but instead of TBAF, TBABr (1.3 mg, 0.004 mmol) was used. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K): 11.37 (s, 1H, H$_a$), 10.46 (s, 1H, H$_f$), 9.86 (d, 1H, $J = 5.6$ Hz, H$_b$), 8.56 (d, 1H, $J = 8.0$ Hz, H$_d$), 8.19 (s, 1H, H$_g$), 7.99-7.96 (m, 1H, H$_c$), 5.46 (s, 2H, H$_e$).

$^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K): 162.37, 155.00, 153.71, 149.81, 141.42, 137.53, 133.60, 128.23, 127.70, 64.69.
ESI-MS m/z : 645.99 [7a – 3NO$_3$]$^{3+}$; 468.99 [7a – 4NO$_3$]$^{4+}$

Section S6. Synthesis of $[(\text{NO}_3)_2@\text{Pd}_3(\text{L1})_4](\text{BF}_4)_4$, 4b and $[(\text{X})_2@\text{Pd}_3(\text{L1})_4](\text{BF}_4)_4$, 5b-7b (where X= F, Cl or Br)

$[(\text{NO}_3)_2@\text{Pd}_3(\text{L1})_4](\text{BF}_4)_4$, 4b: A solution of [Pd(DMSO)$_4$](BF$_4$)$_2$ was prepared in 0.6 mL of DMSO-$d_6$ by stirring a mixture of PdI$_2$ (2.2 mg, 0.006 mmol) and AgBF$_4$ (2.3 mg, 0.012 mmol) at 90 °C for 30 min. The precipitated AgI was separated by centrifugation and the clear solution was transferred using a syringe. The ligand L1 (2.8 mg, 0.008 mmol) was added to the solution of Pd(BF$_4$)$_2$ (1.5 mg, 0.006 mmol) that was prepared in 0.6 mL of DMSO-$d_6$ followed by stirring the reaction mixture at room temperature for 10 min. To the in situ prepared [Pd(L1)$_2$](BF$_4$)$_2$, 3b, TBANO$_3$ (1.2 mg, 0.004 mmol) solution prepared in DMSO-$d_6$ was added and the mixture heated at 90 °C for 5 min. Based on $^1$H NMR analysis it was clear that the mononuclear complex [Pd(L1)$_2$](BF$_4$)$_2$, 3b has converted to $[(\text{NO}_3)$_2@\text{Pd}_3(\text{L1})_4](\text{BF}_4)_4$, 4b.

$[(\text{F})_2@\text{Pd}_3(\text{L1})_4](\text{BF}_4)_4$, 5b: A similar procedure was followed as for the synthesis of 4b but instead of TBANO$_3$, TBAF (1.0 mg, 0.004 mmol) was used. Based on $^1$H NMR analysis it
was clear that the mononuclear complex \([\text{Pd}(\text{L}_1)_2](\text{BF}_4)_2\), 3b has converted to 
\([(\text{Cl})_2@\text{Pd}_3(\text{L}_1)_4](\text{BF}_4)_4\), 5b.

\([(\text{Cl})_2@\text{Pd}_3(\text{L}_1)_4](\text{BF}_4)_4\), 6b: A similar procedure was followed as for the synthesis of 4b but instead of TBANO, TBACl (1.1 mg, 0.004 mmol) was used. Based on \(^1\)H NMR analysis it was clear that the mononuclear complex \([\text{Pd}(\text{L}_1)_2](\text{BF}_4)_2\), 3b has converted to 
\([(\text{Cl})_2@\text{Pd}_3(\text{L}_1)_4](\text{BF}_4)_4\), 6b.

\([(\text{Br})_2@\text{Pd}_3(\text{L}_1)_4](\text{BF}_4)_4\), 7b: A similar procedure was followed as for the synthesis of 4b but instead of TBANO, TBABr (1.3 mg, 0.004 mmol) was used. Based on \(^1\)H NMR analysis it was clear that the mononuclear complex \([\text{Pd}(\text{L}_1)_2](\text{BF}_4)_2\), 3b has converted to 
\([(\text{Br})_2@\text{Pd}_3(\text{L}_1)_4](\text{BF}_4)_4\), 7b.

Section S7. Figures S1–S69) depicting NMR spectra and ESIMS of the ligands, complexes, and anion encapsulated complexes. NMR spectra to support dynamic behaviours of the complexes.

Figure S1: \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\), 300 K) for L\(_1\).
Figure S2: $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$, 300 K) for L1.

Figure S3a: H-H COSY (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$, 300 K) for L1.
Figure S3b: H-H COSY expansion (500 MHz, CDCl₃, 300 K) for L₁.

Figure S4a: C-H COSY (500 MHz, CDCl₃, 300 K) for L₁.
Figure S4b: C-H COSY expansion (500 MHz, CDCl₃, 300 K) for L1.

Figure S5a: NOESY (500 MHz, CDCl₃, 300 K) for L1.
Figure S5b: NOESY expansion (500 MHz, CDCl₃, 300 K) for L1.

Figure S6: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-δ₆, 300 K) for L1.
Figure S7: $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for L1.

Figure S8a: H-H COSY (500 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for L1.
Figure S8b: H-H COSY expansion (500 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$, 300 K) for L1.

Figure S9a: C-H COSY (500 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$, 300 K) for L1.
Figure S9b: C-H COSY expansion (500 MHz, DMSO-\textit{d}_6, 300 K) for L1.

Figure S10a: NOESY (400 MHz, DMSO-\textit{d}_6, 300 K) for L1.
Figure S10b: NOESY expansion (500 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for L1.

Figure S11: ESI-MS for L1 showing [M + Na]$^+$. 
**Figure S12.** $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for [Pd(tmeda)(L1)](NO$_3$)$_2$, 1a.

**Figure S13.** $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for [Pd(tmeda)(L1)](NO$_3$)$_2$, 1a.
Figure S14a: H-H COSY (500 MHz, DMSO-\textit{d}_6, 300 K) for [Pd(tmeda)(L1)](NO_3)_2, 1a.

Figure S14b: H-H COSY expansion (500 MHz, DMSO-\textit{d}_6, 300 K) for [Pd(tmeda)(L1)](NO_3)_2, 1a.
Figure S15a: C-H COSY (500 MHz, DMSO-\textit{d}$_6$, 300 K) for [Pd(tmeda)(L1)](NO$_3$)$_2$, 1a.

Figure S15b: C-H COSY expansion (500 MHz, DMSO-\textit{d}$_6$, 300 K) for [Pd(tmeda)(L1)](NO$_3$)$_2$, 1a.
Figure S16a: NOESY (500 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for [Pd(tmeda)(L1)](NO$_3$)$_2$, 1a.

Figure S16b: NOESY expansion (500 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for [Pd(tmeda)(L1)](NO$_3$)$_2$, 1a.
Figure S17: Partial $^1$H NMR spectra (400 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for (i) ligand L1; (ii) [Pd(tmeda)(L1)](NO$_3$)$_2$, 1a; (iii) [Pd(tmeda)(L1)](BF$_4$)$_2$, 1b; (iv) [Pd(tmeda)(L1)](ClO$_4$)$_2$, 1c; and (v) [Pd(tmeda)(L1)](OTf)$_2$, 1d.
Figure S18a: ESIMS, isotopic pattern for $[1b - BF_4]^+$ i) experimental and ii) theoretical.
Figure S18b: ESI MS, isotopic pattern for $[1b - 2\text{BF}_4]^{2+}$ i) experimental and ii) theoretical.
Figure S19a: ESIMS, isotopic pattern for [1c – ClO$_4$]$^+$ i) experimental and ii) theoretical.
Figure S19b: ESIMS, isotopic pattern for [1c – 2ClO₄]²⁺ i) experimental and ii) theoretical.
Figure S20a: ESIMS, isotopic pattern for [1d − OTf]^+ i) experimental and ii) theoretical.
Figure S20b: ESIMS, isotopic pattern for [1d – 2OTf]^{2+} i) experimental and ii) theoretical.
Figure S21: Partial $^1$H NMR spectra (400 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for (i) ligand L1; (ii), (iii) monitoring the reaction of L1 and Pd(tmeda)(NO$_3$)$_2$ in 2:3 ratio (ii) after 24 h formation of [Pd(tmeda)(L1)](NO$_3$)$_2$, 1a; (iii) upon heating the mixture for further 72 h at 90 °C [Pd(tmeda)(L1)](NO$_3$)$_2$, 1a and [Pd$_3$(tmeda)$_3$(L1)$_2$](NO$_3$)$_6$, 2a (20 percentage).

Figure S22: Partial $^1$H NMR spectra (400 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for (i) ligand L1; (ii), (iii) monitoring the reaction of L1 and Pd(tmeda)(BF$_4$)$_2$ in 2:3 ratio (ii) after 24 h formation of [Pd(tmeda)(L1)](BF$_4$)$_2$, 1b; (iii) upon heating the mixture for further 72 h at 90 °C there was no change complex [Pd(tmeda)(L1)](BF$_4$)$_2$, 1b retained as such.
Figure S23: Partial $^1$H NMR spectra (400 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for (i) ligand L1; (ii), (iii) monitoring the reaction of L1 and Pd(tmeda)(ClO$_4$)$_2$ in 2:3 ratio (ii) after 24 h formation of [Pd(tmeda)(L1)](ClO$_4$)$_2$, 1c and [Pd$_3$(tmeda)$_3$(L1)$_2$](ClO$_4$)$_6$, 2c (20 percentage) (iii) upon heating the mixture for further 72 h at 90 °C there was no change in the percentage of formation of [Pd$_3$(tmeda)$_3$(L1)$_2$](ClO$_4$)$_6$, 2c.

Figure S24: Partial $^1$H NMR spectra (400 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for (i) ligand L1; (ii), (iii) monitoring the reaction of L1 and Pd(tmeda)(L1)(OTf)$_2$ in 2:3 ratio (ii) after 24 h formation of [Pd(tmeda)(L1)](OTf)$_2$, 1d and [Pd$_3$(tmeda)$_3$(L1)$_2$](OTf)$_6$, 2d (20 percentage) (iii) upon heating the mixture for further 72 h at 90 °C there was no change in the percentage of formation of [Pd$_3$(tmeda)$_3$(L1)$_2$](OTf)$_6$, 2d. (Some amount of [(Cl)$_2$Pd$_3$(L1)$_4$](OTf)$_4$, 6d was detected unexpectedly, probably due to chloride impurity.)
Figure S25: ESIMS, isotopic pattern for [2c – ClO₄]⁺ i) experimental and ii) theoretical.
**Figure S26:** ESI-MS, isotopic pattern for [2d − OTf]$^{+1}$ i) experimental and ii) theoretical.
Figure S27: $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for [Pd(L1)$_2$](NO$_3$)$_2$, 3a.

Figure S28: $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for [Pd(L1)$_2$](NO$_3$)$_2$, 3a.
Figure S29a: H-H COSY (500 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for [Pd(L1)$_2$(NO$_3$)$_2$, 3a.

Figure S29b: Expansion of H-H COSY (500 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for [Pd(L1)$_2$(NO$_3$)$_2$, 3a.
Figure S30a: C-H COSY (500 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for [Pd(L1)$_2$(NO$_3$)$_2$, 3a.

Figure S30b: Expansion of C-H COSY (500 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for [Pd(L1)$_2$(NO$_3$)$_2$, 3a.
Figure S31a: NOESY (500 MHz, DMSO-\textit{d}_6, 300 K) for [Pd(\textit{L1})_2](\textit{NO}_3)_2, 3a.

Figure S31b: Expansion for NOESY (500 MHz, DMSO-\textit{d}_6, 300 K) for [Pd(\textit{L1})_2](\textit{NO}_3)_2, 3a.
**Figure S32:** Partial $^1$H NMR spectra (400 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for (i) ligand L1; (ii) [Pd(L1)$_2$](NO$_3$)$_2$, 3a; (iii) [Pd(L1)$_2$](BF$_4$)$_2$, 3b; (iv) [Pd(L1)$_2$](ClO$_4$)$_2$, 3c and (v) [Pd(L1)$_2$](OTf)$_2$, 3d.
**Figure S33a:** ESIMS, isotopic pattern for [3a − NO₃]⁺ i) experimental and ii) theoretical.
Figure S33b: ESIMS, isotopic pattern for [3a – 2NO₃]²⁺ i) experimental and ii) theoretical.
Figure S34a: ESIMS, isotopic pattern for [3b - BF₄]⁺ i) experimental and ii) theoretical.
Figure S34b: ESIMS, isotopic pattern for $[3b - 2\text{BF}_4]^{2+}$ i) experimental and ii) theoretical.
Monitoring the formation of complex \([\text{(NO}_3\text{)}_2\text{@Pd}_3\text{(L1)}_4]\)(\text{NO}_3\text{)}_4, \text{4a} at room temperature:

![Diagram of chemical structures](image)

**Figure S35a:** Partial \(^1\text{H} \text{NMR spectra (400 MHz, DMSO-}d_6, 300 \text{K) for (i) ligand L1; (ii), (iii) monitoring the reaction of L1 and Pd(NO}_3\text{)}_2 \text{in 4:3 ratio upon mixing at room temperature (ii) formation of mixture of [Pd}_3\text{(L1)}_2\text{]}(\text{NO}_3\text{)}_2, \text{3a (labelled in green) and [(NO}_3\text{)}_2\text{@Pd}_3\text{(L1)}_4\text{]}(\text{NO}_3\text{)}_4, \text{4a (labelled in blue) after 10 min; (iii) exclusive formation of [(NO}_3\text{)}_2\text{@Pd}_3\text{(L1)}_4\text{]}(\text{NO}_3\text{)}_4, \text{4a after 20 min.}
Figure S35b: Partial $^1$H NMR spectra (400 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for (i) ligand L1; (ii) the presence of $[(\text{NO}_3)_2\text{Pd}_3(L1)_4](\text{NO}_3)_4$, 4a peaks labelled in black color and $[(\text{NO}_3)(\text{Cl})\text{Pd}_3(L1)_4](\text{NO}_3)_4$, 5a' peaks labelled in red color. [When the metal-to-ligand ratio was 3:4 and the Pd(NO$_3$)$_2$ was prepared from PdCl$_2$]

Monitoring the formation of complex $[(\text{NO}_3)_2\text{Pd}_3(L1)_4](\text{NO}_3)_4$, 4a at 90 °C:

Figure S36: Partial $^1$H NMR spectra (400 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for (i) ligand L1; (ii) exclusive formation of $[(\text{NO}_3)_2\text{Pd}_3(L1)_4](\text{NO}_3)_4$, 4a formed by stirring L1 and Pd(NO$_3$)$_2$ in 4:3 ratio for 5 min at 90 °C.
Figure S37: $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for $[(\text{NO}_3)_2@\text{Pd}_3(\text{L}1)_4](\text{NO}_3)_4$, 4a.

Figure S38: $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for $[(\text{NO}_3)_2@\text{Pd}_3(\text{L}1)_4](\text{NO}_3)_4$, 4a.
Figure S39a: H-H COSY (500 MHz, DMSO-\textit{d}_6, 300 K) for [(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}@Pd\textsubscript{3}(L1)\textsubscript{4}](NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{4}, 4a.

Figure S39b: H-H COSY expansion (500 MHz, DMSO-\textit{d}_6, 300 K) for [(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}@Pd\textsubscript{3}(L1)\textsubscript{4}](NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{4}, 4a.
Figure S40a: C-H COSY (500 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for $\left[\text{(NO}_3\right)\_2\text{Pd}(\text{L}1)_4\right]\text{(NO}_3\right)\_4$, 4a.

Figure S40b: C-H COSY expansion (500 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for $\left[\text{(NO}_3\right)\_2\text{Pd}(\text{L}1)_4\right]\text{(NO}_3\right)\_4$, 4a.
Figure S41a: NOESY (500 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for $\left[\text{NO}_3\right]_2@\text{Pd}_3(\text{L1})_4(\text{NO}_3)_4$, 4a.

Figure S41b: NOESY expansion (500 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for $\left[\text{NO}_3\right]_2@\text{Pd}_3(\text{L1})_4(\text{NO}_3)_4$, 4a.
**Figure S42a:** ESIMS, isotopic pattern for $[4a - 2\text{NO}_3]^{2+}$ i) experimental and ii) theoretical.
Figure S42b: ESIMS, isotopic pattern for $[4a - 3\text{NO}_3]^3^+ \text{ i) experimental and ii) theoretical.}$
Figure S4c: ESIMS, isotopic pattern for [(4a - 4NO3)^+] i) experimental and ii) theoretical.
**Figure S43:** Partial \(^1\)H NMR spectra (400 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\), 300 K) for (i) ligand L1; (ii) a solution of [Pd(L1)\(_2\)](NO\(_3\))\(_2\), 3a after standing for 15 days.

**Figure S44:** Partial \(^1\)H NMR spectra (400 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\), 300 K) for (i) ligand L1; (ii) a solution of [Pd(L1)\(_2\)](NO\(_3\))\(_2\), 3a after heating at 90 °C 24 h (3a labelled in green and free ligand L1 in black) (iii) pure [Pd(L1)\(_2\)](NO\(_3\))\(_2\), 3a for comparison.
**Figure S45:** Partial $^1$H NMR spectra (400 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for (i) complex $\text{[Pd}_1\text{(L1)}_2\text{(NO}_3\text{)}_2\text{]}$, 3a; (ii) Monitoring formation of complex $\text{[Pd}_1\text{(L1)}_2\text{(NO}_3\text{)}_2\text{]}$, 3a (labelled in green) and $\text{[(NO}_3\text{)}_2\text{@Pd}_3\text{(L1)}_4\text{(NO}_3\text{)}_4\text{]}$, 4a (labelled in blue) by combining Pd(NO$_3$)$_2$ with 3a and recorded after 10 min (iii) exclusive formation of $\text{[(NO}_3\text{)}_2\text{@Pd}_3\text{(L1)}_4\text{(NO}_3\text{)}_4\text{]}$, 4a after 20 min.

**Figure S46:** Partial $^1$H NMR spectra (400 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for (i) complex $\text{[Pd}_1\text{(L1)}_2\text{(NO}_3\text{)}_2\text{]}$, 3a; (ii) exclusive formation of $\text{[(NO}_3\text{)}_2\text{@Pd}_3\text{(L1)}_4\text{(NO}_3\text{)}_4\text{]}$, 4a by heating a mixture of Pd(NO$_3$)$_2$ and 3a at 90 °C.
Figure S47: Partial $^1$H NMR spectra (400 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for (i) ligand L1; (ii) [(NO$_3$)$_2$@Pd$_3$(L1)$_4$](NO$_3$)$_4$, 4a after standing for 15 days.

Figure S48: Partial $^1$H NMR spectra (400 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for (i) ligand L1; (ii) a solution of [(NO$_3$)$_2$@Pd$_3$(L1)$_4$](NO$_3$)$_4$, 4a heated at 90 °C for 5 days showing no change.
**Figure S49:** Partial $^1$H NMR spectra (400 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for (i) ligand L1 ii) [(NO$_3$)$_2$@Pd$_3$(L1)$_4$](NO$_3$)$_4$, 4a; (iii) a mixture of pre-prepared [(NO$_3$)$_2$@Pd$_3$(L1)$_4$](NO$_3$)$_4$, 4a (labelled in blue) and ligand L1 (iv) minor amount of [Pd$_1$(L1)$_2$](NO$_3$)$_2$, 3a (labelled in green) generated from a mixture of 4a and L1 after stirring for 20 min.

**Figure S50:** Partial $^1$H NMR spectra (400 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for (i) ligand L1 ii) [(NO$_3$)$_2$@Pd$_3$(L1)$_4$](NO$_3$)$_4$, 4a; (iii) a mixture of pre-prepared [(NO$_3$)$_2$@Pd$_3$(L1)$_4$](NO$_3$)$_4$, 4a (labelled in blue) and ligand L1 that generated minor amount of [Pd$_1$(L1)$_2$](NO$_3$)$_2$, 3a (labelled in green) after stirring at 90 °C for 5 min.
Figure S51: 400 MHz $^1$H NMR spectra in DMSO-$d_6$ for in situ prepared (i) $[(\text{NO}_3)_2@\text{Pd}_3(\text{L1})_4](\text{NO}_3)_4$, 4a; (ii) a sample prepared by addition of 1.5 equivalent of $n$-Bu$_4$NF to $[(\text{NO}_3)_2@\text{Pd}_3(\text{L1})_4](\text{NO}_3)_4$, 4a (iii) $[(\text{F})_2@\text{Pd}_3(\text{L1})_4](\text{NO}_3)_4$, 5a obtained from a 3:1 combination of $n$-Bu$_4$NF with 4a.

Figure S52: 400 MHz partial $^1$H NMR spectra in DMSO-$d_6$ for in situ prepared (i) $[(\text{NO}_3)_2@\text{Pd}_3(\text{L1})_4](\text{NO}_3)_4$, 4a; (ii) a sample prepared by addition of 1.5 equivalent of $n$-Bu$_4$NCl to $[(\text{NO}_3)_2@\text{Pd}_3(\text{L1})_4](\text{NO}_3)_4$, 4a (iii) $[(\text{Cl})_2@\text{Pd}_3(\text{L1})_4](\text{NO}_3)_4$, 6a obtained from a 3:1 combination of $n$-Bu$_4$NCl with 4a.
**Figure S53:** 400 MHz partial $^1$H NMR spectra in DMSO-$d_6$ for in situ prepared (i) $[(\text{NO}_3)_2@\text{Pd}_3(\text{L1})_4](\text{NO}_3)_4$, 4a; (ii) a sample prepared by addition of 1.5 equivalent of $n$-Bu$_4$NBr to $[(\text{NO}_3)_2@\text{Pd}_3(\text{L1})_4](\text{NO}_3)_4$, 4a (iii) $[(\text{Br})_2@\text{Pd}_3(\text{L1})_4](\text{NO}_3)_4$, 7a obtained from a 3:1 combination of $n$-Bu$_4$NBr with 4a.

**Figure S54:** Partial $^1$H NMR for complex $[(\text{NO}_3)_2@\text{Pd}_3(\text{L1})_4](\text{NO}_3)_4$, 4a and ii) a mixture of addition of TBAI 4a (no changes).
Figure S55: Partial $^1$H NMR spectra (400 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for (i) [Pd$_2$(L1)$_2$](BF$_4$)$_2$, 3b; (ii) [(NO$_3$)$_2$@Pd$_3$(L1)$_4$](BF$_4$)$_4$, 4b; (iii) [(F)$_2$@Pd$_3$(L1)$_4$](BF$_4$)$_4$, 5b; (iv) [(Cl)$_2$@Pd$_3$(L1)$_4$](BF$_4$)$_4$, 6b and (v) [(Br)$_2$@Pd$_3$(L1)$_4$](BF$_4$)$_4$, 7b.
Figure S56: $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for 5a.
Figure S57: $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for 6a.

Figure S58: $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for 6a.
Figure S59a: H-H COSY (500 MHz, DMSO-\textit{d}_6, 300 K) for 6a.

Figure S59b: H-H COSY expansion (500 MHz, DMSO-\textit{d}_6, 300 K) for 6a
Figure S60a: C-H COSY (500 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for 6a

Figure S60b: C-H COSY expansion (500 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for 6a
Figure S61a: NOESY (500 MHz, DMSO-<i>d</i><sub>6</sub>, 300 K) for 6a

Figure S61b: NOESY expansion (500 MHz, DMSO-<i>d</i><sub>6</sub>, 300 K) for 6a
Figure S6: $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for 7a.

Figure S63: $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for 7a.
Figure S64a: H-H COSY (500 MHz, DMSO-$_d_6$, 300 K) for 7a.

Figure S64b: H-H COSY expansion (500 MHz, DMSO-$_d_6$, 300 K) for 7a.
Figure S65a: C-H COSY (500 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for 7a.

Figure S65b: C-H COSY expansion (500 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, 300 K) for 7a.
Figure S66a: NOESY (500 MHz, DMSO-\textit{d}_6, 300 K) for 7a.

Figure S66b: NOESY expansion (500 MHz, DMSO-\textit{d}_6, 300 K) for 7a.
Figure S67: ESI MS, isotopic pattern for \([6a - 2\text{NO}_3]^2+\) i) experimental and ii) theoretical.
Figure S68a: ESIMS, isotopic pattern for [7a – 3NO₃]³⁺ i) experimental and ii) theoretical.
Figure S68b: ESIMS, isotopic pattern for [7a – 4NO₃]⁺ i) experimental and ii) theoretical.
Figure S69: ESIMS, isotopic pattern for \([\text{NO}_3(\text{Br})@\text{Pd}_3(L1)_4](\text{NO}_3)_4 - 2\text{NO}_3]^{2+}\), i.e., \([7a@ - 2\text{NO}_3]^{2+}\) i) experimental and ii) theoretical.
Table S1: $^1$H NMR (DMSO-$d_6$) chemical shift of protons in ppm for the ligand \textit{L1} and complexes 3a–7a.

![Chemical structure](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>L</th>
<th>Pd$_1$L$_2$ 3a</th>
<th>(NO$_3$)$_2$Pd$_3$L$_4$ 4a</th>
<th>(F)$_2$Pd$_3$L$_4$ 5a</th>
<th>(Cl)$_2$Pd$_3$L$_4$ 6a</th>
<th>(Br)$_2$Pd$_3$L$_4$ 7a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$H_a$</td>
<td>9.13</td>
<td>9.92</td>
<td>10.55</td>
<td>10.88</td>
<td>11.21</td>
<td>11.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_b$</td>
<td>8.83</td>
<td>9.30</td>
<td>9.65</td>
<td>9.82</td>
<td>9.84</td>
<td>9.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_c$</td>
<td>7.57</td>
<td>7.82</td>
<td>7.95</td>
<td>7.98</td>
<td>7.99</td>
<td>7.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_d$</td>
<td>8.33</td>
<td>8.54</td>
<td>8.57</td>
<td>8.58</td>
<td>8.57</td>
<td>8.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_e$</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>5.45</td>
<td>5.44</td>
<td>5.46</td>
<td>5.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_f$</td>
<td>8.72</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td>9.74</td>
<td>10.05</td>
<td>10.41</td>
<td>10.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_g$</td>
<td>8.09</td>
<td>8.31</td>
<td>8.26</td>
<td>8.21</td>
<td>8.17</td>
<td>8.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Section S8:** Figures S70, S71, Tables S2, S3) and explanatory note related to theoretical study. (Energy-minimized structures of various components and self-assembled compounds, calculated data and explanation)

DFT studies were performed with the Gaussian 09 software package. The B3LYP (Becke’s three parameter hybrid functional using the LYP correlation) functional was used for geometry optimizations and frequencies with LANL2DZ for Pd atom, and the 6-31G* basis set for carbon, nitrogen, oxygen, chloride and hydrogen. Frequency calculations were performed for the optimized structures to confirm the absence of any imaginary frequencies.

**Figure S70.** Energy minimization and electrostatic potential map of ligand L1 (bottom) and ligand L2 (bottom).
Figure S71: Energy-minimized structures of (i) ligand L1, (ii) Pd^{2+}, (iii) [Pd(tmeda)]^{2+}, (iv) NO_3^−, (v) Cl^−, (vi) [Pd(tmeda)(L1)]^{2+}, i.e., complexed cation of 1a, (vii) [Pd_3(tmeda)_3(L1)_2]^{6+}, i.e., complexed cation of 2a, (viii) [Pd(L1)_2]^{2+}, i.e., complexed cation of 3a, (ix) [(NO_3)_2@Pd_3(L1)_4]^{4+}, i.e., complexed cation (with encapsulated anion) of 4a, and (x) [(Cl)_2@Pd_3(L1)_4]^{4+}, i.e., complexed cation (with encapsulated anion) of 5a.
Table S2. DFT calculated electron density at the terminal and internal pyridine nitrogen atoms of ligands, \textbf{L1} and \textbf{L2}.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ligands</th>
<th>Electron density of terminal pyridine nitrogen atoms</th>
<th>Electron density of internal pyridine nitrogen atom</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>\textbf{L1}</td>
<td>-0.412 a.u.</td>
<td>-0.407 a.u.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textbf{L2}</td>
<td>-0.430 a.u.</td>
<td>-0.443 a.u.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S3: $\Delta G$ and $\Delta H$ values of (i) ligand \textbf{L1}, (ii) \([\text{Pd(DMSO)}_2(\text{NO}_3)_2]\), (iii) \([\text{Pd(tmeda)}(\text{NO}_3)_2]\) (iv) \text{NO}_3^−, (v) \text{Cl}^−, (vi) \([\text{Pd(tmeda)}(\text{L})]^2^+\), (vii) \([\text{Pd}_3(\text{tmeda})_3(\text{L}_2)]^6^+\), (viii) \([\text{Pd(L)}_2]^2^+\), (ix) \([\text{(NO}_3)_2@\text{Pd}_3(\text{L}_4)]^{4^+}\), and (x) \([\text{(Cl)}_2@\text{Pd}_3(\text{L}_4)]^{3^+}\) (xi) DMSO.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>Enthalpy (in kcal mol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Gibb's Free Energy (in kcal mol$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(i)</td>
<td>\textbf{L}</td>
<td>-751682.0595</td>
<td>-751733.5335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii)</td>
<td>([\text{Pd(DMSO)}_2(\text{NO}_3)_2])</td>
<td>-1125449.0769</td>
<td>-1125496.8523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iii)</td>
<td>([\text{Pd(tmeda)}(\text{NO}_3)_2])</td>
<td>-649375.0234</td>
<td>-649418.72882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iv)</td>
<td>\text{NO}_3^−</td>
<td>-175919.6833</td>
<td>-175901.7535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(v)</td>
<td>\text{Cl}^−</td>
<td>-288810.9376</td>
<td>-288821.8455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(vi)</td>
<td>([\text{Pd(tmeda)}(\text{L})]^2^+)</td>
<td>-1048994.798</td>
<td>-1049057.135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(vii)</td>
<td>([\text{Pd}_3(\text{tmeda})_3(\text{L}_2)]^6^+)</td>
<td>-2394987.525</td>
<td>-2395113.142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(viii)</td>
<td>([\text{Pd(L)}_2]^2^+)</td>
<td>-1582603.696</td>
<td>-1582685.713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ix)</td>
<td>([\text{(NO}_3)_2 in \text{Pd}_3(\text{L}_4)]^{4^+})</td>
<td>-3596488.124</td>
<td>-3596641.832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(x)</td>
<td>([\text{(Cl)}_2 in \text{Pd}_3(\text{L}_4)]^{4^+})</td>
<td>-3822347.415</td>
<td>-3822512.255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(xi)</td>
<td>DMSO</td>
<td>-347075.8591</td>
<td>-347097.8013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Explanatory note 1:

1. With reference to the equation 1: The overall free energy and the enthalpy for the formation of \([\text{Pd}_3(\text{tmeda})_6]^{6+}\) from 2 equiv of \([\text{Pd}(\text{tmeda})(\text{L})]^2^+\) and 1 equiv of \([\text{Pd}((\text{NO}_3)^2)_{2}]\) (equation 1) is 616.349 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) and 537.727 kcal mol\(^{-1}\), respectively, which indicates that the reaction is non-feasible and endothermic. The global entropy (\(\Delta S\)) value is \(-0.264\) kcal mol\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\) (\(\Delta S = (\Delta H - \Delta G)/T; T = 298.150\) K) indicates that the reaction is non-spontaneous. This reaction did not undergo completion in reality, as per experimental data.

2. With reference to the equation 2: The free energy and the enthalpy for the formation of \([\text{NO}_3]_2@\text{Pd}_3(\text{L})_4^{4+}\) from 2 equiv of \([\text{Pd}(\text{L})]^2^+\), 2 equiv of \([\text{Pd}(\text{DMSO})_2(\text{NO}_3)_{2}]\) (equation 2) is 30.843 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) and 16.627 kcal mol\(^{-1}\), respectively, which indicates that the reaction is not feasible and endothermic. The global entropy (\(\Delta S\)) value is \(-0.048\) kcal mol\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\) indicates spontaneous. This reaction actually proceeded in reality, as per experimental data.

3. With reference to the equation 3: Furthermore, the free energy and the enthalpy for the formation of 3 equiv \([\text{Pd}(\text{L})]^2^+\), and 2 equiv of \(\text{NO}_3^-\) from \([\text{NO}_3]_2@\text{Pd}_3(\text{L})_4^{4+}\) and 2 equiv \(\text{L}\) (equation 3) is 248.253 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) and \(-201.788\) kcal mol\(^{-1}\), respectively, which indicates that the reaction is non-feasible and exothermic. The global entropy (\(\Delta S\)) \(-1.51\) kcal mol\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\) indicates spontaneous. However, this reaction actually proceeded in reality, as per experimental data.

4. With reference to the equation 4: The free energy and the enthalpy for the formation of \([\text{Cl}]_2@\text{Pd}_3(\text{L})_4^{4+}\) and 2 equiv of \(\text{NO}_3^-\) from \([\text{NO}_3]_2@\text{Pd}_3(\text{L})_4^{4+}\) and 2 equiv \(\text{Cl}^-\) (equation 4) is \(-30.239\) kcal mol\(^{-1}\) and 76.78 kcal mol\(^{-1}\), respectively, which indicates that
the reaction is feasible and endothermic. The global entropy (ΔS) value is 0.359 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\) indicates spontaneous. This reaction actually proceeded partially in reality, as per experimental data.

(5) With reference to the equation 2 and equation 3: These equations represent interconversion of mononuclear [Pd(L)\(_2\)]\(^{2+}\) and trinuclear [(NO\(_3\))\(_2\)Pd\(_3\)(L)\(_4\)]\(^{4+}\) under appropriate conditions. The conversion of the mono- to trinuclear complex is calculated to be endothermic. However, practically the reaction went to completion. On the other hand, the conversion of the tri- to mononuclear complex is calculated to be endothermic, probably due to a large energy barrier and difficult to occur. This reaction actually proceeded partially in reality, as per experimental data.