

Supporting Information

for

Synthesis, spectral characterization, electron microscopic study and thermogravimetric analysis of a phosphorus containing dendrimer with diphenylsilanediol as core unit

E. Dadapeer¹, B. Hari Babu², C. Suresh Reddy¹ and Naga Raju Charmathi^{*1}

¹Department of Chemistry, Sri Venkateswara University, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh, India -517 502 and ²Department of Chemistry, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan -701, Taiwan

Email: Naga Raju Charmathi - rajuchamarthi10@gmail.com

*Corresponding author

Experimental details

General

All the reagents used in this study were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Company and used without further purification. THF and EtOH were dried by standard methods. TLC was performed on pre-coated plates with silica gel 60F₂₅₄ (Merk). Column chromatography was performed on silica gel (0.040–0.063 mm, Macherey Nagel). The melting points were determined on a Buchi R-535 melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. IR Spectra were recorded on JASCO FT IR-5300 Spectrometer at University of Hyderabad using KBr optics. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker A VIII 500 MHz NMR spectrometer at IIT, Chennai, operating at 500.13 MHz for ¹H, 125.75 MHz for ¹³C and 202 MHz for ³¹P NMR. NMR data were recorded in DMSO-*d*₆ and chemical shifts were referenced to TMS (¹H and ¹³C) and 85% H₃PO₄ (³¹P). Mass spectra of intermediate dendrons were recorded on JEOL GCMate at IIT, Chennai. MALDI spectrum of final dendrimer was recorded using an Applied Biosystems MALDI-TOF Voyager De-Pro spectrometer. The sample was run using Sinapic acid as the matrix with DMSO as the solvent in the dried-droplet preparation method at IIT Chennai. TGA-DTA measurement was taken using a SDT Q600 V8.2 Build instrument, performed at IISc, Bangalore. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) images were taken with a Carl Zeiss, EVO MA15 Instrument. SEM operated at 20 kV at the Department of Physics, S. V. University, Tirupati, India. Elemental analyses were performed using EA 1112 Thermo Finnigan instrument at University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India.

General procedure for Synthesis of dendrimer, G6

Preparation of G₁

A solution of POCl₃ (**2**) (0.01 mol, 0.93 mL) in 20 mL of dry THF was added dropwise over a period of 20 min to a stirred solution of diphenylsilanediol (**1**) (0.005 mol, 1.08 g) in 25 mL of dry THF and triethylamine (0.01 mol) at 0 to –15 °C. After stirring for 3 h at 30–40 °C,

formation of **G₁** was confirmed by TLC (average $R_f = 0.75$, 3:7 mixture of ethyl acetate and hexane). Triethylamine hydrochloride was removed by filtration and the product characterized by IR, GC-MS and ^{31}P spectral data. The solution (filtrate A) was then used for the next reaction step without further purification.

Spectral Data

G₁: Yield 80%, δ_{P} (202 MHz, 85%, H_3PO_4), -0.85 ppm; m/z (GCMS) 440.05 (M)⁺.

Preparation of **G₂**

To a stirred solution of the filtrate A and triethylamine (0.02 mol), a solution of 3-hydroxybenzaldehyde (**3**) (0.02 mol, 2.44 g) in 25 mL of dry THF was added dropwise over a period of 20 min at 0 to -15 °C. After stirring for 4 h at 40-45 °C, formation of **G₂** was confirmed by TLC (average $R_f = 0.65$, 4:6 mixture of ethyl acetate and hexane). Triethylamine hydrochloride was removed by filtration. The solvent was removed in a rotary evaporator to yield the crude product. It was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (60–120 mesh), ethyl acetate:hexane (2:8) to afford the pure compound. The compound thus obtained was characterized by IR, GC-MS and ^{31}P spectral data and used for the next reaction step.

Spectral Data

G₂: Yield 73%, ν_{max} (KBr), 1244 (P=O), 981, 1183 (P–O–C_{aromatic}), 1697 (Ar–CHO); δ_{P} (202 MHz, 85%, H_3PO_4), -11.91 ppm; m/z (GCMS) 792.19 (M)⁺.

Preparation of **G₃**

To a stirred solution of **G₂** in 25 mL dry EtOH, a solution of 3-aminophenol (**4**) (0.02 mol, 2.18 g) in 25 mL of dry EtOH, was added at room temperature. After stirring for 5 h at reflux temperature, formation of **G₃** was confirmed by TLC (average $R_f = 0.63$, 6:4 mixture of ethyl acetate and hexane). The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to give the crude product which was purified by washing with ethyl acetate and hexane. The imine (**G₃**) thus

obtained was characterized by IR, GC-MS and ^{31}P NMR spectral data and used for the next step reaction.

Spectral Data

G₃: Yield 70%, ν_{max} (KBr), 1257 (P=O), 962, 1176 (P–O–C_{aromatic}), 3362 (Ar-OH), 1602 (CH=N); δ_{P} (202 MHz, 85%, H₃PO₄), -11.41 ppm; m/z (GCMS) 1157.13(M)⁺.

Preparation of G₄

To a stirred solution of **G₃** and triethylamine (0.02 mol), a solution of POCl₃ (**2**) (0.02 mol, 1.87 mL) in 20 mL of dry THF was added dropwise over a period of 20 min at 0–5 °C. After stirring for 4 h at 40–45 °C, formation of **G₄** was confirmed by TLC (average R_{f} = 0.59, 5:5 mixture of ethyl acetate and hexane). Triethylamine hydrochloride was removed by filtration. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to give the crude product which was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (60–120 mesh, ethyl acetate:hexane, 2:8) to afford the pure compound. The compound thus obtained was characterized by IR, GC-mass and ^{31}P NMR spectroscopy and used for the next step reaction.

Spectral Data

G₄: Yield 69%, ν_{max} (KBr), 1261 (P=O), 960, 1186 (P–O–C_{aromatic}), 1615 (CH=N); δ_{P} (202 MHz, 85%, H₃PO₄), -11.49, -5.86 ppm; m/z (GCMS) 1624.62 (M)⁺.

Preparation of G₅

To a stirred solution of the filtrate **G₄** and triethylamine (0.04 mol), a solution of 3-hydroxybenzaldehyde (**3**) (0.04 mol, 4.88 g) in 30 mL of dry THF was added dropwise over a period of 20 min at 0–5 °C. After stirring for 4 h at 40–45 °C, formation of **G₅** was confirmed by TLC (average R_{f} = 0.55, 7:3 mixture of ethyl acetate and hexane). Triethylamine hydrochloride was removed by filtration and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure to obtain the crude product. Purification by column chromatography on silica gel (60–120 mesh, ethyl

acetate:hexane, 2:8) gave the pure compound. The compound thus obtained was characterized by IR, GC-MS and ^{31}P NMR spectroscopy and used for the next step reaction.

Spectral Data

G₅: Yield 61%, ν_{max} (KBr), 1277 (P=O), 923, 1202 (P–O–C_{aromatic}), 1620 (CH=N), 1660 Ar–CHO; δ_{P} (202 MHz, 85%, H₃PO₄), –11.92, –18.00 ppm; m/z (GCMS) 2309.90 (M)⁺.

Preparation of G₆

To a stirred solution of **G₅** in 30 mL dry EtOH, a solution of 4-aminophenol (**5**) (0.04 mol, 4.36 g) in 25 mL of dry EtOH, was added at room temperature. After stirring for 5 h at reflux temperature, formation of **G₆** was confirmed by TLC (average R_f = 0.51, 8:2 mixture of ethyl acetate and hexane). The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to give the crude product which was purified by washing with ethyl acetate and hexane. The imine thus obtained was characterized by IR, ^1H , ^{13}C , ^{31}P NMR, MALDI-TOF-MS and C, H, N analysis.

Spectral Data

G₆: Yield 65%, ν_{max} (KBr), 3360 (Ar–OH), 1307 (P=O), 970, 1197 (P–O–C_{aromatic}), 1616 (CH=N), δ_{H} (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆), 10.21 (8H, s, Ar–OH), 6.01–6.88 (64 H, m, Ar–H), 8.69, 9.04 (12H, s, –CH=N); δ_{C} (125 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆), 129.6 (C₀-1/ C¹₀-1), 128.6 (C₀-2 & C₀-6/ C¹₀-2 & C¹₀-6), 127.3 (C₀-3 & C₀-5/ C¹₀-3 & C¹₀-5), 129.1 (C₀-4/ C¹₀-4), Si-Ar carbons), 147.6 (C₁-1/ C¹₁-1/ C₃-1/ C¹₃-1), 120.8 (C₁-2/ C¹₁-2/ C₃-2/ C¹₃-2), 128.6 (C₁-3/ C¹₁-3/ C₄-3/ C¹₄-3), 119.8 (C₁-4/ C¹₁-4/ C₃-4/ C¹₃-4), 144.5 (C₁-5/ C¹₁-5/ C₃-5/ C¹₃-5), 115.1 (C₁-6/ C¹₁-6/ C₃-6/ C¹₃-6), P–O–Ar carbons), 154.4 (C₂-1/ C¹₂-1), 111.0 (C₂-2/ C¹₂-2), 147.6 (C₂-3/ C¹₂-3), 118.4 (C₂-4/ C¹₂-4), 127.7 (C₂-5/ C¹₂-5), 115.2 (C₂-6/ C¹₂-6), P–O–Ar carbons), 144.9 (C₄-1/ C¹₄-1), 127.3 (C₄-2 & C₄-6/ C¹₄-2 & C¹₄-6), 119.7 (C₄-3 & C₄-5/ C¹₄-3 & C¹₄-5), 156.9 (C₀-4/ C¹₀-4), 170.8 (CH=N); δ_{P} (202 MHz, 85%, H₃PO₄), –12.09, –18.29 ppm; m/z (Maldi-TOF) 3039.8 (MH)⁺; Anal. Calc. for C₁₄H₁₉N₂O₈P: C, 66.40; H, 4.18; N, 5.53%; found: C, 66.25; H, 4.22; N, 5.61%.