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#### Abstract

Biphenyl-2,2'-bisfenchol (BIFOL) based chlorophosphite, BIFOP-Cl, exhibits surprisingly high stabilities against hydrolysis as well as hydridic and organometallic nucleophiles. Chloride substitution in BIFOP-Cl proceeds only under drastic conditions. New enantiopure, sterically demanding phosphorus ligands such as a phosphoramidite, a phosphite and a P-H phosphonite (BIFOP-H) are hereby accessible. In enantioselective Cu -catalyzed 1,4-additions of $\mathrm{ZnEt}_{2}$ to 2-cyclohexen-1-one, this P-H phosphonite (yielding $65 \%$ ee) exceeds even the corresponding phosphite and phosphoramidite.


## Introduction

Chiral monodentate phosphorus ligands with $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-symmetric diol backbones, e.g. with the prominent BINOLs or TADDOLs, are fundamental for the construction of efficient enantioselective transition metal catalysts, especially for copper-catalyzed 1,4additions. [1-18] Such asymmetric conjugate additions of diethylzinc to enones are often highly enantioselective, espe-
cially with phosphoramidites (amidophosphites) and phosphites. [2,19-41] These chiral ligands ( $L^{*}$ ) exhibit large steric demands and good metal to ligand back bonding abilities. Such ligands generate active R-CuI-L* catalysts and support the rate determining reductive elimination in the catalytic cycle (Scheme 1).
[42-47]


Feringa, phosphoramidite (98\%ee) Alexakis, phosphite (96\%ee)
Scheme 1: Monodentate phosphorus ligands, e.g. BINOL-based phosphoramidites or TADDOL-based phosphites, are highly efficient in copper catalyzed enantioselective conjugate additions.


Scheme 2: Modular phosphoramidites $\left(R=N R^{\prime} 2\right)$ or phosphites ( $R=O R^{\prime}$ ) from reactive chlorophosphite intermediates.
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Scheme 3: Synthesis of biphenyl-2,2'-bisfenchol (BIFOL) based phosphane derivatives (BIFOPs).

Common basis for diol-based phosphoramidites and phosphites are highly reactive chlorophosphites. [48-51] These intermediates are converted usually in situ with amines or alcohols to the modular, enantiopure ligands (Scheme 2). [2,19-41].

Modular fencholates were recently applied in chiral organolithium reagents [52-58] and in organozinc [59-62] as well as in organopalladium catalysts [63-67] to study origins of enantioselectivities in C-C-couplings. The rigid, terpene-based bicyclo[2.2.1]heptane unit enables efficient, stereoselective access to crystalline diol ligands such as BIFOL (biphenylbisfenchol), [68-72] which we here apply for constructions of
new BIFOL-based, phosphorus ligands, i.e. biphenylbisfencholphosphanes (BIFOPs). BIFOPs with high steric demand and good acceptor abilities are then employed in enantioslective Cu-catalyzed 1,4-additions.

## Results and Discussion

Coupling of bis-lithiated biphenyl-2,2'-bisfenchol (BIFOL), synthesized from 2,2'-dilithiobiphenyl and (-)-fenchone, [68-72] with $\mathrm{PCl}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{PBr}_{3}$ yields the enantiopure halophosphites BIFOP-Cl, 1 ( $62 \%$ yield) and BIFOP-Br, 2 ( $69 \%$ yield, Scheme 3), which are air stable (no hydrolysis or oxidation) over weeks, crystalline and analyzable via X-ray diffraction. [73-76] In



Scheme 4: Geometries of BIFOP-systems with respect to biaryl dihedral angles ( $C_{2}-C_{1}-C_{1}-C_{2}$, BAA), fenchyl-aryl dihedral angles ( $C_{1}-C_{2}-C_{3}-O_{1}$ ) on the lone pair-side of phosphorus (FAA-Ip) and at the substituent ( X ) side (FAA), the pyramidality of phosphorus measured as angle sum and the distance of phosphorus to the biaryl axis $\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$.
close analogy to the hydrogen bonded $M$-BIFOL, [68-72] only minus- $(M)$-conformations of biaryl axes are found in these BIFOP (biphenylbisfencholphosphane) halides (Figure 1 and Figure 2).

Surprisingly, the halophosphites 1 (BIFOP-Cl) and 2 (BIFOP$\mathrm{Br})$ are very reluctant in reactions with various nucleophilic reagents to give halide substitution (Table 1). [77,78] No nucleophilic substitution [2,19-41] is observed for $\mathbf{1}$ in treatments with equimolar suspensions of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ in hexanes or THF at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h (Table 1, entries 1 and 2). Only elevated temperat-
ures $\left(69^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, longer reaction times $(12 \mathrm{~h})$ and an excess of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ yields the P-H phosphonite BIFOP-H, 3 (79\% yield, Table 1, entry 3, Figure 3). Even the strong C-nucleophilic reagents methyllithium, ethyllithium, $n$-butyllithium and $t$-butyllithium gave no expected conversions at low temperatures. Ethylation of BIFOP-Cl (1) yielding BIFOP-Et (4) was observed with ethyllithium only at elevated temperatures (Table 1, entry 7) or with a large excess of diethylzinc at room temperature (Table 1, entry 13). Similarly, 1 was converted to BIFOP$n \mathrm{Bu}(\mathbf{5}$, Figure 4) only with an excess of $n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ at elevated temperatures. The resistance of BIFOP-Cl (1) to O-and

| entry | Reagent | 1 : reagent | conditions | yield ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ | 1:1 | Rt, 3 h , THF | _b |
| 2 | $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ | 1:1 | $\mathrm{Rt}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$, hexanes | -b |
| 3 | $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ | 1:2.5 | reflux, 12 h , hexanes | 3(79\%) |
| 4 | MeLi | 1:1.2 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, hexanes, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{d}}$ | -b |
| 5 | MeLi | 1:5 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | reflux, 24 h hexanes, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | _b |
| 6 | EtLi | $1: 1.2^{\text {c }}$ | $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, hexanes, benzene ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | -b |
| 7 | EtLi | 1:5 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | reflux, 24 h , hexanes, benzene | 4 (63\%) |
| 8 | nBuLi | 1:1.2 | $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}$, hexanes ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | -b |
| 9 | nBuLi | 1:5 | reflux, 24 h , hexanes | 5 (74\%) |
| 10 | tBuLi | 1:1.2 | $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}$, hexanes ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | -b |
| 11 | tBuLi | 1:5 | reflux, 48 h , hexanes | -b |
| 12 | $\mathrm{ZnEt}_{2}$ | 1:2 | $\mathrm{rt}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$, toluene | _b |
| 13 | $\mathrm{ZnEt}_{2}$ | 1:140 | $\mathrm{rt}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$, toluene | 4 (89\%) |
| 14 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 1:110 | $\mathrm{rt}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$ | - b |
| 15 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{KOH}$ | 1: $220^{\text {e }}$ | reflux, 5 days | 8 (98\%) |
| 16 | LiOPh | 1:5 | $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}$, hexanes ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | -b |
| 17 | LiOPh | 1:5 | reflux, 24 h , hexanes | 6 (47\%) |
| 18 | $\mathrm{LiNEt}_{2}$ | 1:5 | $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}$, hexanes ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | - b |
| 19 | $\mathrm{LiNEt}_{2}$ | 1:5 | reflux, 24 h , hexanes | 7 (47\%) |
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Figure 1: X-ray crystal structure of BIFOP-CI (1). Distances are given in $\AA$. (BAA = biaryl angle between $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{1}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{C}_{2}{ }^{\prime} ; \mathrm{FAA}-\mathrm{lp}=$ fenchyl-aryl dihedral angle between $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{O}_{1}$; FAA $=$ fenchyl-aryl dihedral angle between $\mathrm{C}_{1}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{C}_{2}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{C}_{3}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{O}_{2}$ ). The probability of ellipsoids is $40 \%$ (CDC 270531)


Figure 2: X-ray structures of BIFOP-Br (2). Distances are given in $\AA$. (BAA = biaryl angle between $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}$ '; FAA-lp $=$ fenchyl-aryl dihedral angle between $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{O}_{1} ; \mathrm{FAA}=$ fenchyl-aryl dihedral angle between $\mathrm{C}_{1}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{C}_{2}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{C}_{3}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{O}_{2}$ ). The probability of ellipsoids is $30 \%$ (CCDC 270532).

N -nucleophiles is apparent from reactions with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{LiOPh}$ and $\mathrm{LiNEt}_{2}$. While no hydrolysis of $\mathbf{1}$ is observed at ambient temperature, only reflux and basic conditions ( KOH ) yield complete hydrolysis of $\mathbf{1}$ to $\operatorname{BIFOP}(\mathrm{O})-\mathrm{H}, \mathbf{8}$ ( $98 \%$, Table 1, entry 14, Figure 5). [79,80] The phosphite BIFOP-OPh, $6(40 \%$, Figure 6) and the phosphoramidite BIFOP-NEt 2 , 7 ( $47 \%$, Figure 7) are accessible from 1 only at elevated temperatures with LiOPh or $\mathrm{LiNEt}_{2}$. The oxo-derivative $\mathrm{BIFOP}(\mathrm{O})-\mathrm{Cl}(\mathbf{9})$ is synthesized by coupling of BIFOL with $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}(65 \%$, Figure 8$)$.

The high steric demand of the embedding fenchane units provides explanations for the unexpectedly low reactivity of the $>\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Cl}$ moiety in BIFOP-Cl (1). The geometries of all BIFOPderivatives are remarkable with respect to their biaryl-angles, the fenchyl-aryl-angles, the pyramidality at the phosphorus atoms as well as the positions of the phosphorus atom in the hydrophobic fenchane cavities (Scheme 4, Table 2).

A strong preference for the minus ( $M$ )-biaryl conformation was found in BIFOL (Scheme 3) and was attributed to hydrogen bond linked chiral fenchole units, in the solide state and in solution. [68-72] Similarly, all phosphorus linked BIFOPs exhibit $M$-biarly axes with dihedral angles varying from $-91^{\circ}$ to $-99^{\circ}$ (Scheme 4, Table 2). The alternative plus ( $P$ )-conformations were not found experimentally, they are computed to be disfavored by ca. 20 to $35 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ (Table 2). As in $M$-BIFOL, [68-72] the strong destabilization of these plus- $(P)$ conformations arise from steric repulsion of endo-oriented fenchane units in BIFOPs, endo-methyl groups are close to the phosphorus atoms (Figure 9).

Free rotation around the fenchyl aryl bonds is hindered in aryl fenchol derivaties by the methyl-methylene-bifurcation of the fenchane scaffolds (Scheme 4). These fenchyl aryl dihedral angles ( $\mathrm{O}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{FAA}$, Scheme 4) are crucial for the "bite" of the chiral diol unit and are constrained between $30^{\circ}$ and $46^{\circ}$ (Table 2), similar to fenchyl aryl angles previously analyzed in lithium fencholates. [54,55] In BIFOL, the asymmetry of the H -bond gives rise to two different fenchyl aryl angles ( $25^{\circ}$ and $31^{\circ}$, Table 2). [68-72] Likewise, the pyramidality at the phosphorus atoms in BIFOPs distorts the inherent $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-symmetry of the biphenylbisfenchol units to asymmetry $\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$. Fenchanes close to the phosphorus lone pairs (with FAA-lp) can be differentiated from fenchanes close to substituents at phosphorus (with FAA, Scheme 4). The difference between these two FAA dihedral angles is a meassure for the BIFOP-asymmetry, which is small for BIFOP-H (3) and BIFOP-NEt $2_{2}$ (7), but large for BIFOP-Cl (1) and BIFOP-OPh (6, Table 2).

The phosphorus atoms, essential for coordination to (late transition) metals, exhibit slightly different degrees of pyramidality,


Figure 3: X-ray structures of BIFOP-H (3). Distances are given in $\AA$. (BAA = biaryl angle between $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}$ '; FAA-lp $=$ fenchyl-aryl dihedral angle between $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{O}_{1}$; $\mathrm{FAA}=$ fenchyl-aryl dihedral angle between $\mathrm{C}_{1}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{C}_{2}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{C}_{3}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{O}_{2}$ ). The probability of ellipsoids is $40 \%$ (CCDC 270533).


Figure 4: X -ray structures of BIFOP-nBu (5). Distances are given in $\AA$. (BAA = biaryl angle between $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{1}^{\prime}-\mathrm{C}_{2}$ '; $\mathrm{FAA}-\mathrm{lp}=$ fenchyl-aryl dihedral angle between $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{O}_{1} ; \mathrm{FAA}=$ fenchyl-aryl dihedral angle between $\mathrm{C}_{1}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{C}_{2}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{C}_{3}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{O}_{2}$ ). The probability of ellipsoids is $40 \%$ (CCDC 270534).
as it is measured by angle sums (planarity) from $300^{\circ}$ to $309^{\circ}$ (Table 2, Scheme 4). The degree of encapsulation of the phosphorus atoms by the fenchane units is measured by the distance (d) of the phosphorus atoms to the center of the biaryl axes ( $\mathrm{C}_{1}{ }^{-}$


Figure 5: X-ray structures of BIFOP(O)-H (8). Distances are given in $\AA$. (BAA = biaryl angle between $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{1}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{C}_{2}^{\prime}$; $\mathrm{FAA}-\mathrm{Ip}=$ fenchyl-aryl dihedral angle between $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{O}_{1} ; \mathrm{FAA}=$ fenchyl-aryl dihedral angle between $\mathrm{C}_{1}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{C}_{2}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{C}_{3}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{O}_{2}$ ). The probability of ellipsoids is $40 \%$ (CCDC 270537).


Figure 6: X-ray structures of phosphite BIFOP-OPh (6). Distances are given in $\AA$. ( $\mathrm{BAA}=$ biaryl angle between $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{1}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{C}_{2}{ }^{\prime}$; $\mathrm{FAA}-\mathrm{Ip}=$ fenchyl-aryl dihedral angle between $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{O}_{1} ; \mathrm{FAA}=$ fenchyl-aryl dihedral angle between $\mathrm{C}_{1}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{C}_{2}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{C}_{3}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{O}_{2}$ ). The probability of ellipsoids is 40\% (CCDC 270535).
$\mathrm{C}_{1}$, Scheme 4). The tightest encapsulation and fenchane embedding of phosphorus atoms is apparent for the halophosphites BIFOP-Cl (1, $2.471 \AA$ ) and BIFOP-Br (2, $2.476 \AA$, Table 2), explaining their unusual low reactivity (Table 1).

Table 2: X-ray structure geometries (cf. Scheme 4) and computed energies of BIFOL and BIFOP-X (1-7).

| BIFOP (-X) | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {rel }}\left(\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}{ }^{-1}\right)^{\text {a }}$ | BAA $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)^{\text {b }}$ | angle sum ( $\left.{ }^{\circ}\right)^{\text {c }}$ | FAA-Ip ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | FAA $\left({ }^{\circ}{ }^{\text {) }}\right.$ | $d(\AA){ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M-BIFOL | +12.5 | $-95.0{ }^{\text {e }}$ | - | (25) | (31) | - |
| $1(-C l)$ | +28.4 | -91.3 | 305.2 | 37.9 | 46.3 | 2.471 |
| 2 (-Br) | +35. 0 | -91.7 | 306.6 | 38.9 | 36.9 | 2.476 |
| $3(-H)$ | +27.9 | -98.2 | 301.4 | 30.0 | 29.7 | 2.669 |
| 5 (-nBu) | +26.3 | -99.4 | 303.6 | 31.4 | 34.5 | 2.792 |
| 6 (-OPh) | +27.7 | -93.3 | 300.0 | 34.5 | 44.8 | 2.567 |
| 7 (-NEt ${ }_{2}$ ) | +22.5 | -91.1 | 309.2 | 35.8 | 35.1 | 2.877 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Relative Destabilization of plus $(P)$ conformations according to B3LYP/6-31G*//PM3 computations;
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Biaryl dihedral angle between C2-C1-C1'-C2' atoms (BAA) in degree;
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Angle sum at phosphorus atom (pyramydality) in degree;
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Distance (d) between phosphorus atoms and the center of the biaryl axis (C1-C1');
e Hydrogend bonded $M$-conformer.

Table 3: Enantioselective Cu-catalyzed 1,4-additions of diethylzinc to 2-cyclohexen-1-one (cf. Scheme 5). ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| $L^{*}$, i.e. $\operatorname{BIFOP}(0)-\boldsymbol{X}$ | substituent $\boldsymbol{X}$ | yield (\%) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \%ee (config.) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1{ }^{\text {d }}$ | Cl | $98{ }^{\text {d }}$ | $11(R)^{\text {d }}$ |
| 3 | H | 92 | $65(R)$ |
| 4 | Et | 98 | 11 (R) |
| 5 | $n \mathrm{Bu}$ | 97 | $7(R)$ |
| 6 | OPh | 73 | 16 (R) |
| 7 | $\mathrm{NEt}_{2}$ | 98 | $12(R)$ |
| 8 | (O)-H | 89 | $2(R)$ |
| 9 | (O)-Cl | 87 | 3 (S) |

[^1]

Scheme 5: Biphenyl-2,2'-bisfenchol based phosphanes (BIFOPs) as chiral ligands in enantioselective Cu-catalyzed 1,4-additions.


Figure 7: X-ray structures of phosphoramidite BIFOP-NEt2 (7) Distances are given in $\AA \AA$. (BAA = biaryl angle between $C_{2}-C_{1}-C_{1}{ }^{\prime}-C_{1}{ }^{\prime}$; FAA-lp $=$ fenchyl-aryl dihedral angle between $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{O}_{1} ; \mathrm{FAA}=$ fenchyl-aryl dihedral angle between $\mathrm{C}_{1}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{C}_{2}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{C}_{3}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{O}_{2}$ ). The probability of ellipsoids is 40\% (CCDC 270536).


Figure 8: X -ray structures of $\mathrm{BIFOP}(\mathrm{O})-\mathrm{Cl}$ (9). Distances are given in A. (BAA = biaryl angle between $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{1}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{C}_{2}{ }^{\prime}$; $\mathrm{FAA}-\mathrm{lp}=$ fenchyl-aryl dihedral angle between $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{O}_{1}$; FAA $=$ fenchyl-aryl dihedral angle between $\mathrm{C}_{1}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{C}_{2}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{C}_{3}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{O}_{2}$ ). The probability of ellipsoids is $40 \%$ (CCDC 270538).

Cu-catalyzed, enantioselecitve 1,4-additions of diethylzinc to 2-cyclohexene-1-one were employed as test reactions for the monodentate phosphorus ligands BIFOPs 1 and 3-7 as well as the oxo-derivatives 8 and 9 (Table 3, Scheme 5).

The low reactivity of the chlorophosphite 1 with metal organic nucleophiles (Table 1) points to its potential suitability as ligand for late, electron-rich transition metals, such as $\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{I}}$. The rate determining reductive elimination was expected to be favored by the good metal to ligand back bonding properties of the $\sigma^{*}(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Cl})$ acceptor as is well established in phosphites, i.e. $\sigma^{*}(\mathrm{P}-$ OR ), and phosphoramidites, i.e. $\sigma^{*}\left(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{NR}_{2}\right)$. Computed anharmonic CO-frequencies on $\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{I}}$-model complexes indeed point to highest vCO stretching frequencies for P -ligands with strongest acceptor character, i.e. the halophosphites (Scheme 6). [81-85]

However, under catalysis conditions, BIFOP-Cl (1) converts to the ethylphosphonite BIFOP-Et (4), which yields $R$-3-ethylcyclohexanone with $11 \%$ ee (Table 3). Apparently, the higher Lewis acidity of organozincs supports faster nucleophilic substitution in (1) than with organolithiums (Table 1). Unprecedented however is the P-H phosphonite BIFOP-H (3), which yields with $65 \%$ ee a much higher enantioselectivity than the corresponding phosphite (BIFOL-OPh, 16\%ee) and phosphoramidite (BIFOL-NEt $2,12 \%$ ee, Table 3). A good back bonding characteristic between halophosphites and phosphites is indeed apparent for the P-H unit (Scheme 6). [86,87] The relative high enantioselectivity of $\mathbf{3}$ is remarkable, as the asymmetry of $\mathbf{3}$, measured from the difference of its fenchyl-aryl angles, is rather small (FAA-lp $=30.0^{\circ}$ vs. FAA $=29.7^{\circ}$, Table 2 ). The phosphorus atom in $\mathbf{3}$ is only slightly encapsulated by the fenchane moieties, due to the rather long $d$-distance (Scheme 4, Table 2, $2.7 \AA$ ) and the low steric shielding by the H -atom. Indeed, $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{H}$ phopshonite 3 coordinates tightly to $\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{II}}$-ions. Metal free BIFOP-H (3) gives in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ a ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{NMR}$ signal at $\delta=139.6$ with a ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{H})$ coupling of 214.5 Hz . With half of an equivalent of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$, no free $\mathbf{1}$ is detectable, only a $\left[(\mathbf{1})_{2} \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}\right]$ complex is evident from a ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{NMR}$ signal at $\delta$ $=81.1$ with a stronger ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{H})$ coupling of 299.5 Hz . [86,89]

## Conclusion

The large steric demand of embedding fenchane units makes phosphorus atoms in BIFOPs hardly accessible by nucleophilic reagents and leads to an unusually high stability, e.g. for the chlorophosphite BIFOP-Cl (1). While 1 converts to the P-Et phosphonite BIFOP-Et (4) during Cu-catalyzed 1,4-additions of diethylzinc to cyclohexenone, the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{H}$ phosphonite BIFOP-H (3) is stable and gives even a higher enantioselectivity than a corresponding phosphite or phosphoramidite. Hence, the large steric demand and the relatively low accessibility of the phosphorus atoms in biphenyl-2,2'-bisfenchylphosphites (BIFOPs)


Figure 9: Computed geometry (PM3) of plus-(P)-BIFOP-CI with unnatural plus-( $P$ )-biaryl conformation. Distances are given in $\AA$. ( $\mathrm{BAA}=$ biaryl angle between $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}$ '; FAA-lp = fenchyl-aryl dihedral angle between $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{O}_{1} ; \mathrm{FAA}=$ fenchyl-aryl dihedral angle between $\mathrm{C}_{1}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{C}_{2}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{C}_{3}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{O}_{2}$ ).

$\begin{array}{lc}\text { X: } & v \mathrm{CO}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): \\ \mathrm{F}: & 2291 \\ \mathrm{Cl}: & 2290 \\ \mathrm{Br}: & 2288 \\ \mathrm{H}: & 2287 \\ \mathrm{OH}: & 2286 \\ \mathrm{NH}_{2}: & 2282\end{array}$

Scheme 6: Anharmonic B3LYP/6-31G*(C,H,N,O,F,CI,Br) /SDD(Cu) CO-stretching frequencies to assess metal to ligand back bonding characteristics.
founds the special suitability for BIFOP-H (3) as P-H phosphonite ligand in transition metal catalysis. This points to promising applications of $\mathbf{3}$ or analogue $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{H}$ ligands in enantioselective catalysis.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The reaction of 1 ( $\delta^{31} \mathrm{P}$ : 154.4) was monitored by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$-NMR-spectroscopy; isolated yields are given;
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Only pure BIFOP-CI (1) was recovered (> 91\%);
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ MeLi 1.6 M solution in diethylether; ELLi 0.5 M solution in benzene/cyclohexane (90/10);
    ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Reactions were performed at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h and subsequently at rt for 3 h ;
    ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ With $\mathrm{KOH}(1 \mathrm{M}, 0.01 \mathrm{~g}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water.

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reaction conditions: $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; $\mathrm{L}^{*}: \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$ ratio (2:1);
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Yield determined by GC;
    ${ }^{c}$ The ee's are determined by GC with the chiral column lipodex E $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 50 \mathrm{~m}, 0.25 \mathrm{~mm}$;
    ${ }^{d}$ Chlorophosphite 1 was converted to P-Et phosphonite BIFOP-Et, 4.

